

# Acta Cardiologia Indonesiana

pISSN:2460-5700 eISSN:2579-4345

Web page: jurnal.ugm.ac.id/v3/jaci

# Cardiovascular Protection by Sodium-Glucose Co-Transporter 2 (SGLT2) Inhibitor: How to Optimize the Agent for Patients?

Budi Yuli Setianto\*

Cardiology Division Department of Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Public Health, and Nursing UGM – Sardjito Hospital Yogyakarta

### **ARTICLE INFO**

\*Corresponding author Email: budyuls@ugm.ac.id

Address: Jl. Kesehatan No. 1, Sekip, Sinduadi, Sleman,Yogyakarta 55284, Indonesia

Keywords: SGLT2 inhibitor; type2 diabetes; CVD; heart failure.

Manuscript submitted: 10 May 2022 Revised and accepted: 5 June 2022

# ABSTRACT

Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor such as empagliflozin and canagliflozin have been shown to decrease atherosclerotic cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes and overt cardiovascular disease (CVD). In the primary analysis, dapagliflozin did not appear to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular morbidity or cardiovascular mortality. However, it decreased cardiovascular outcomes in a sub-analysis of the primary trial. The cardiovascular trials to date have been carried out in very high-risk populations to increase the hazard rate for major CVD events and complete the studies in a relatively brief period of time. Compared with the empagliflozin and canagliflozin trials, the dapagliflozin trial had a lower fraction of participants with established CVD and a greater proportion of patients with multiple risk factors for CVD (multiple risk factors in 60 percent compared with 0 and 34 percent in the empagliflozin and canagliflozin trials, respectively). This difference in patient population may explain, in part, the differences in atherosclerotic CVD outcomes. However, the ertugliflozin cardiovascular trial only included patients with established CVD and did not show superior benefit in the composite outcome (cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke). In patients with type 2 diabetes and heart failure, all SGLT2 inhibitors have shown salutary effects.

# **INTISARI**

Inhibitor natrium-glukosa ko-transporter 2 (SGLT2) seperti empagliflozin dan canagliflozin telah terbukti mengurangi morbiditas dan mortalitas penyakit kardiovaskular aterosklerotik pada pasien dengan diabetes tipe 2 yang tegak dengan penyakit kardiovaskular (CVD). Dalam analisis utama, dapagliflozin tidak terbukti mengurangi morbiditas penyakit kardiovaskular aterosklerotik atau mortalitas kardiovaskular. Namun demikian, dalam subanalisis pada studi utama terbukti menurunkan luaran kejadian kardiovaskular. Sejauh ini, studi terhadap kejadian kardiovaskular telah dilakukan pada populasi berisiko sangat tinggi yang dimaksudkan untuk meningkatkan tingkat bahaya terhadap kejadian CVD mayor dan menyelesaikan periode waktu studi yang relatif singkat. Dibandingkan dengan studi empagliflozin dan canagliflozin, studi dapagliflozin memiliki fraksi partisipan dengan tegak CVD yang lebih rendah, dan proporsi yang lebih besar pasien dengan beberapa faktor risiko CVD (masing-masing, 60 persen dibandingkan dengan 0 persen, dan 34 persen pada studi empagliflozin dan canagliflozin). Perbedaan populasi pasien ini dapat menjelaskan, sebagian perbedaan dari luaran penyakit CVD aterosklerotik. Namun, pada studi kardiovaskular dengan ertugliflozin yang hanya mencakup pasien dengan tegak CVD, tidak menunjukkan manfaat yang lebih dalam luaran komposit (kematian kardiovaskular, infark miokard nonfatal, atau stroke nonfatal). Pada pasien dengan diabetes tipe 2 dan gagal jantung, semua inhibitor SGLT2 telah menunjukkan efek yang bermanfaat.

# Introduction

Current management of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) is aimed for increasing insulin availability (either through direct insulin administration or through drugs that promote insulin secretion), increasing insulin sensitivity, delaying delivery and absorption of carbohydrates from the gastrointestinal tract, or increasing urinary glucose excretion. Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors are drugs that reduce blood glucose by increasing urinary glucose excretion. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors is a relatively new antidiabetic drug, targeting the kidneys. This drug has a unique mechanism of action, particularly increasing glucosuria, osmotic diuresis and natriuresis, thereby improving glucose control with minimal risk of hypoglycaemia and providing additional positive effects of weight loss and lowering blood pressure. Multiple outcome studies with canagliflozin, dapagliflozin or empagliflozin, reported statistically significant reductions in major cardiovascular events, hospitalizations for heart failure and worsening of advanced renal disease in patients with T2DM who already had atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, multiple cardiovascular risk factors, albuminuria mild to moderate chronic kidney disease or heart failure. The current guidelines propose a new paradigm in the management of T2DM, namely giving a preferential site to SGLT2 inhibitors, after metformin, in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, heart failure and progressive renal disease. Ongoing studies might expand the therapeutic potential of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with, as well as without T2DM. This review provides an update on current knowledge about SGLT2 inhibitors moving from their use as glucose-lowering drugs to their new position as cardiovascular and renal protective drugs.1

#### Discussion

In a meta-analysis of three large studies of CVD outcomes (empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin), SGLT2 inhibitors compared with placebo were shown to reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) (86.9 versus 99.6 events per 1000 patient-years, hazard ratio [ HR] 0.89, 95% CI 0.83-0.96) and the combined outcome of CV death or hospitalization due to heart failure (48.2 versus 65.6 events per 1000 patient-years, HR 0.77, 95% CI 0.71-0.84). The clinical benefit of SGLT2 inhibitors in reducing the risk of major cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiovascular death) is limited to patients with atherosclerotic CVD, not to those with multiple CVD risk factors.<sup>2,3</sup> In contrast to the findings for adverse MACE, meta-analyses demonstrated a reduction in heart failure hospitalizations with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors regardless of the presence of atherosclerotic CVD or heart failure. In a subsequent meta-analysis of five studies comparing SGLT2 inhibitors (canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, empagliflozin, ertugliflozin, sotagliflozin) with placebo in people with type 2 diabetes and CVD, SGLT2 inhibitors reduced the risk of cardiovascular death (72 versus 86 per 1000 people; odds ratio [OR] 0.82, 95% CI 0.70-0.95) and heart failure hospitalization (78 versus 116 per 1000 people, OR 0.65. 95% CI 0.59-0.71).<sup>4</sup> SGLT2 inhibitors did not reduce the risk of fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction (54 versus 56 per 1000 people; OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.84-1.12) or stroke (34 versus 31 per 1000 people; OR 1.12.95 %CI 0.92-1.36). Until large, prospective, randomized studies are conducted, it is not known whether empagliflozin, canagliflozin, or other SGLT2 inhibitors will have a similar CVD effect in the majority of people with type 2 diabetes who do not have overt CVD.

No heterogeneity was found in terms of between-study variance in the subgroups (atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: Q statistic=0.94, p=0.63,  $I^2$ =0%; multiple risk factors: Q statistic=0.03, p=0.86,  $I^2$ =0%). Tests for subgroup differences were based on F tests in a random effect meta-regression estimated using restricted maximum likelihood and Hartung Knapp adjustment. The p value for subgroup differences was 0.0501. HR=hazard ratio. SGLT2i=sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors.<sup>2</sup>

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: Q statistic=3.49, p=0.17, I<sup>2</sup>=42.7%; multiple risk factors: Q statistic=0.00, p=0.96, I<sup>2</sup>=0%. The p value for subgroup differences was 0.41. Tests for subgroup differences were based on F tests in a random effect meta-regression estimated using restricted maximum likelihood and Hartung Knapp adjustment. HR=hazard ratio. SGLT2i=sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors.<sup>2</sup>

History of heart failure: Q statistic=2.02, p=0.37, I<sup>2</sup>=0.8%; no history of heart failure: Q statistic=5.89, p=0.0527, I<sup>2</sup>=66%. The p value for subgroup differences was 0.51. Tests for subgroup differences were based on F tests in a random effect meta-regression estimated using restricted maximum likelihood and Hartung Knapp adjustment. HR=hazard ratio. SGLT2i=sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors.<sup>2</sup>

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: Q statistic=0·19, p=0.91,  $I^2=0\%$ ; multiple risk factors: Q statistic=0·52, p=0.47,  $I^2=0\%$  The p value for subgroup differences was 0·71. Tests for subgroup differences were based on F tests in a random effect meta-regression estimated using restricted maximum likelihood and Hartung Knapp adjustment. HR=hazard ratio. SGLT2i=sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors.<sup>2</sup>

	Patients		Events	Events per 1000 patient-years		Weight (%)	HR		HR (95% CI)
	Treatment (n/N)	Placebo (n/N)	)	Treatment	Placebo				
Patients with athero	sclerotic cardiova	scular disease							
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	4687/7020	2333/7020	772	37.4	43·9	29.4			0.86 (0.74-0.99)
CANVAS Program	3756/6656	2900/6656	796	34.1	41·3	32.4	<b></b>		0.82 (0.72-0.95)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	3474/6974	3500/6974	1020	36.8	41·0	38.2			0.90 (0.79-1.02)
Fixed effects model f	or atherosclerotic	cardiovascula	ar disease	e (p=0-0002)			•		0-86 (0-80–0-93)
Patients with multip	le risk factors								
CANVAS Program	2039/3486	1447/3486	215	15.8	15.5	25.9	<b>_</b>		0.98 (0.74-1.30)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	5108/10186	5078/10186	539	13.4	13.3	74·1	<b>#</b>		1.01 (0.86-1.20)
Fixed effects model fe	or multiple risk fa	actors (p=0-98	5)				-		1.00 (0.87-1.16)
						0.35 0.	50 1.00	2.50	
						Favor	urstreatment Favours	placebo	

Figure 1: Meta-analysis of SGLT2i trials on the composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular death (major adverse cardiovascular events) stratified by the presence of established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.



Figure 2: Meta-analysis of SGLT2i trials on hospitalisation for heart failure and cardiovascular death stratified by the presence of established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

In a study designed specifically to evaluate cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, 7028 patients with type 2 diabetes (mean A1C of about 8 percent) and CVD were randomized to empagliflozin (10 or 25 mg) or placebo once a day.<sup>5</sup> The majority of patients were taking metformin, antihypertensives, and lipid-lowering drugs (both groups were evenly distributed) to control blood glucose, blood pressure, and cholesterol. About 48 percent of the patients in each group were taking insulin. After three years, the main outcome (combined cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke) occurred less in patients given empagliflozin than in placebo (10.5 versus 12.1 percent; HR pooled analysis 0.86, 95% CI 0.74- 0.99). The findings were supported by a significant reduction in the risk of death from cardiovascular causes (3.7 versus 5.9 percent with placebo; HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.49-0.77). There was no

significant difference in the occurrence of the individual components of nonfatal myocardial infarction (4.5 versus 5.2 percent with placebo) or nonfatal stroke (3.2 versus 2.6 percent). Similar findings were found in the individual empagliflozin dose groups. Hospitalization rates for heart failure were lower in the empagliflozin group (2.7 versus 4.1 percent in the placebo group). Compared with patients taking placebo, patients taking empagliflozin had lower A1C levels (mean A1C 7.8 versus 8.2 percent) and reductions in body weight, waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (without an increase in heart rate), and uric acid. There was a slight increase in lowdensity lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol in patients taking empagliflozin. In patients with heart failure with low ejection fraction (HFrEF), with or without diabetes, empagliflozin has been shown to reduce cardiovascular mortality and worsening of heart failure.6

	Patients		Events	Events per 1000 patient-years		Weight (%)	HR		HR (95% CI)
	Treatment (n/N)	Placebo (n/N)	)	Treatment	Placebo				
Patients with history	of heart failure								
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	462/706	244/706	124	63.6	85.5	23.6	<b>_</b>		0.72 (0.50-1.04)
CANVAS Program	803/1461	658/1461	203	35.4	56.8	34.1	<b>e</b>		0.61 (0.46-0.80)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	852/1724	872/1724	314	45.1	55.5	42.4	<b></b> _		0.79 (0.63-0.99)
Fixed effects model for	or history of hea	rt failure (p<0∙	0001)				-		0.71 (0.61–0.84
Patients with no hist	ory of heart failu	ire							
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	4225/6314	2089/6314	339	15.5	24.9	30-0	<b>_</b>		0.63 (0.51-0.78)
CANVAS Program	4992/8681	3689/8681	449	13.6	15.2	32.4	<b>_</b> _+		0.87 (0.72-1.06)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	7730/15436	7706/15436	599	8.9	10.5	37.6	<b></b>		0.84 (0.72-0.99)
Fixed effects model for	or no history of l	neart failure (p	<0.0001)				-		0.79 (0.71-0.88
						0.35	0.50 1.00	2.50	
							Favours treatment F	avours placebo	

Figure 3: Meta-analysis of SGLT2i trials on hospitalisation for heart failure and cardiovascular death stratified by history of heart failure.

	Patients		Events	Events per 1000 patient-years		Weight (%)	HR		HR (95% CI)	
	Treatment (n/N)	Placebo (n/N)	)	Treatment	Placebo					
Patients with atheros	clerotic cardiova	scular disease								
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	4645/6968	2323/6968	152	6.3	11.5	31.0	<b>_</b>		0.54 (0.40-0.75)	
CANVAS Program	3756/6656	2900/6656	179	6.4	10.5	35.6	<b>_</b>		0.59 (0.44-0.79)	
DECLARE-TIMI 58	3474/6974	3500/6974	183	4.7	8-6	33.4	<b>_</b>		0.55 (0.41-0.75)	
Fixed effects model fo	or atherosclerotic	cardiovascula	r disease	(p<0·0001)			-		0.56 (0.47-0.67)	
Patients with multiple	e risk factors									
CANVAS Program	2039/3486	1447/3486	70	4.1	6.6	29.5			0.63 (0.39-1.02)	
DECLARE-TIMI 58	5108/10186	5078/10186	182	3.0	5.9	70.5	<b>_</b>		0.51 (0.37-0.69)	
Fixed effects model fo	or multiple risk fa	ctors (p<0.000	01)						0.54 (0.42-0.71)	
						0.35	5 0.50 1.00	2.50		
							Favours treatment Favou	Jrs placebo		

Figure 4: Meta-analysis of SGLT2i trials on the composite of renal worsening, end-stage renal disease, or renal death stratified by the presence of established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

In two studies designed to assess the effects of canagliflozin on cardiovascular, renal, and safety outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and high cardiovascular risk, 10,142 patients (mean A1C of about 8.2 percent) were randomly assigned to either canagliflozin or placebo.<sup>7</sup> The majority of patients took metformin, antihypertensives, and lipid-lowering agents (both groups were evenly distributed) to manage blood glucose, blood pressure, and cholesterol. About 50 percent of the patients in each group were taking insulin. After a mean follow-up of 3.6 years. the primary outcome, combined cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke, occurred in fewer patients in the canagliflozin group (26.9 versus 31.5 patients per 1000 patient-years, HR 0.86, 95% CI 0.75-0.97). The reduction in occurrence of individual components of the composite outcome in those randomized, to canagliflozin (11.6 versus 12.8, 9.7 versus 11.6, and 7.1 versus 8.4 patients per 1000 patient-years) was not significantly significant. statistics. Hospitalization rates for heart failure were lower in the canagliflozin group (5.5 versus 8.7 patients per 1000 patient-years in the placebo group, HR 0.67, 95% CI 0.52–0.87). Compared with patients taking placebo, patients taking canagliflozin had lower A1C levels (mean difference -0.58 percent) and

decreased body weight and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. In a subsequent study designed to evaluate renal outcome in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy (mean eGFR 56.2 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, median urinary albumin-creatinine ratio 927 [mg/g]), there was a reduction in similar in cardiovascular events.<sup>8</sup>

In a study designed to assess the effects of dapagliflozin on cardiovascular and renal outcomes, 17,160 patients with type 2 diabetes (mean A1C of about 8.3 percent) who had or were at risk of CVD were randomized to receive dapagliflozin (10 mg) or placebo once daily.<sup>9</sup> The majority of patients took metformin, antihypertensives, and lipidlowering drugs (evenly distributed in both groups) to manage blood glucose, blood pressure, and cholesterol. About 40 percent of the patients in each group were taking insulin. After a median follow-up of 4.2 years, the first major outcome (combined cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal ischemic stroke) occurred in 8.8 and 9.4 percent of patients taking dapagliflozin and placebo (HR 0.93, 95). %CI 0.84-1.03). There was a significant reduction in the second primary outcome (combined cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure), particularly in terms of a

significant reduction in hospitalizations for heart failure (6.2 versus 8.5 percent with placebo, HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.61-0.88). There was no difference between the two groups in death from any cause (6.2 versus 6.6 percent in the placebo group, HR 0.93, 95% CI 0.82 to 1.04). The dapagliflozin study involved a large number of participants with cardiovascular disease or multiple risk factors at baseline, randomized to dapagliflozin or placebo, to perform a subanalysis in both groups.<sup>10</sup> Dapagliflozin reduced two major cardiovascular outcomes in participants with a previous myocardial infarction (15.2 versus 17.8 percent [HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.72-0.99]), but not in those without a previous myocardial infarction (7 .1 versus 7.1 percent [HR 1.00, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.13]). In a subsequent exploratory analysis, dapagliflozin also reduced the incidence of atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter.<sup>11</sup> In patients with or without diabetes, dapagliflozin has been shown to reduce all-cause mortality and worsening heart failure in adults with heart failure with a reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) with a New York Heart Association class II. III. or IV functional class.<sup>12</sup> Dapagliflozin in the treatment of HFrEF is reviewed separately. In a trial designed to evaluate the lack of noninferiority in the composite cardiovascular outcome, 8246 individuals with type 2 diabetes (mean A1C 8.2 percent) and common CVD were randomized to receive ertugliflozin (5 or 15 mg) or placebo once daily.13 The majority of participants were taking metformin (76 percent), and about 47 percent of the patients were taking insulin. After a mean follow-up of 3.5 years, ertugliflozin treatment was not superior to placebo in the primary composite end point of cardiovascular death, nonfatal

myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke (11.9 percent in each group [HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.85] -1.11]). There was a significant reduction in hospitalizations for heart failure (2.5 versus 3.6 percent with placebo [HR 0.7, 95% CI 0.54-0.90]). The findings of this study need to be kept in perspective. The relatively large cardiovascular benefit of empagliflozin and canagliflozin in a population at very high risk with CVD. In addition, the absolute risk reduction is approximately 10 to 15 cases per 1000 patient-years and the benefit in patients taking canagliflozin is particularly, followed by an increased risk of amputation. The difference in glycemia between the treatment groups was minimal, indicating that the extra-glycaemic effect of the drug was responsible for the CVD outcome. The benefit of SGLT2 inhibitors on the outcome of heart failure appears to be a class of effects.

#### Conclusions

Sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitor (SGLT2is) improves glucose control through direct and indirect mechanisms, with little risk of hypoglycaemia, and exerts other positive effects on body weight, blood pressure. An SGLT2 inhibitor added to standard care reduces the incidence of major cardiovascular events (cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) at high cardiovascular risk. SGLT2 inhibitors reduce the risk of hospitalization for heart failure and worsening end-stage renal disease in patients with T2DM who are at high cardiovascular risk, and are independent effects of

improving glucose control. SGLT2 inhibitors are now considered preferential to metformin, in patients with T2DM and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (as alternatives to peptide 1 receptor agonists such as glucagon), heart failure or chronic kidney disease. SGLT2is can be associated with several side effects, including genital infection, volume depletion, and diabetic ketoacidosis.

### References

- 1. Scheen, A.J. Sodium–glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitors for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Endocrinol 16, 556–577 (2020).
- Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. Lancet 2019; 393:31.
- 3. Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, et al. Comparison of the Effects of Glucagon-Like Peptide Receptor Agonists and Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors for Prevention of Major Adverse Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Circulation 2019; 139:2022.
- 4. Kanie T, Mizuno A, Takaoka Y, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists and sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors for people with cardiovascular disease: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2021; 10:CD013650.
- 5. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015; 373:2117.
- Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, et al. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. N Engl J Med 2020; 383:1413.
- Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, et al. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2017; 377:644.
- 8. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, et al. Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and Nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2019; 380:2295.
- Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, et al. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019; 380:347.
- 10. Furtado RHM, Bonaca MP, Raz I, et al. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Previous Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 2019; 139:2516.
- 11. Zelniker TA, Bonaca MP, Furtado RHM, et al. Effect of Dapagliflozin on Atrial Fibrillation in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Insights From the DECLARE-TIMI 58 Trial. Circulation 2020; 141:1227.
- McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, et al. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med 2019; 381:1995.
- 13. Cannon CP, Pratley R, Dagogo-Jack S, et al. Cardiovascular Outcomes with Ertugliflozin in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2020; 383:1425.