VOL 32 (4) 2021: 522-528 | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Box-Behnken Design for Optimization of Nanostructured Lipid Carrier Sonication Conditions from Mixtures of Palm Stearin and Palm Olein

Akbartina Solikah*, Iskandarsyah, Harmita

Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia

Info Article	ABSTRACT
Submitted: 08-04-2021	In this study we aimed to determine the optimum conditions for
Revised: 13-09-2021	sonication in the manufacture of nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC). NLC
Accepted: 10-12-2021	were developed using a mixture of palm stearin and palm olein, water, and
*Corresponding author Akbartina Solikah	tween as surfactants. The optimum conditions of NLC is defined as having a size below 200 nm, a zeta potential of ± 30 mV, and a polydispersity index below 0.5. We used response surface methodology with a Box-Behnken
Email:	experimental design to obtain the optimum conditions for the production of NLC. The independent variables used in this study were amplitude (X ₁ , kHz), pulse on pulse off (X ₂ , minute), and time of sonication (X ₃ , minute), each having three levels. The zeta potential (Y ₁ , mV), polydispersity index (Y ₂), and particle size (Y ₃ , nm) were used as the dependent variables. The dependent variables were determined by dynamic light scattering using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS. The closest to optimum formulation was obtained at a combination of 35 kHz amplitude, pulses on 9 pulses off 3, and 3 min 25 seconds sonication. This regime resulted in the production of NLCs with a particle size 127.9 nm, a polydispersity index of 0.191, and a zeta potential of -27.3 mV.
akbartina@gmail.com	Keywords: nanostructured lipid carrier, sonicator probe, response surface methodology

INTRODUCTION

Crude palm oil is one of main commodities exported by Indonesia to many countries. The main fractions of palm oil are palm stearin (solid fraction) and palm olein (liquid fraction). To increase the benefits of palm oil in the pharmaceutical sector, further development of palm stearin and palm olein must be carried out, including the manufacture of Nanostructured Lipid Carriers (NLCs). NLCs are composed of a lipid core consisting of a mixture of solid and liquid lipids, in an aqueous phase with a surfactant or surfactant mixture (Shah et al., 2017). NLCs have an average size of 10-500 nm (Sharma & Baldi, 2018). NLCs as drug delivery systems have many advantages, including excellent biocompatibility (Sharma & Baldi, 2018), controlled drug release, and high bioavailability. They can be produced on an industrial scale, and can be administered via several routes, such as oral, intravenous. pulmonary, and transdermal administration (Surya Tej et al., 2016). An NLC composed of palm stearin solid lipid and palm olein liquid lipid has been produced by Rohmah et al 2020 (Rohmah, et al., 2020; Rohmah, et al., 2020).

To obtain NLCs with the best characteristics, optimization of the production methods must be performed (Subramaniam et al., 2020). The NLC in this study was produced using the High Shear Homogenization and ultrasonication methods. High shear homogenization was performed using an Ultra-Turrax homogenizer to form NLCs of nano size, while ultrasonication is used to reduce the size of the NLC to less than 200 nm. The independent variables involved in the use of Ultra-Turrax are amplitude, pulse on pulse off, and duration of sonication. This experiment was performed to investigate the effects of amplitude, pulse on pulse off, and duration of sonication on the resulting NLC. The independent variable in the process of making NLC using a sonicator must be optimized to obtain an optimum research design response. The used а statistical approach, a Box-Behnken design, which can reduce the number of experiments to be performed to less than is necessary for the traditional method. Process optimization can be done using response surface methodology (RSM) (Stamenković et al., 2018).

Table I. V	ariable and	respective	coded leve	ls of BBD
10.010 1. 1		10000000000		

	Coded Levels	
Low Level (–1)	Medium Level (0)	High Level (+1)
30	45	60
1 (3:3)	2 (6:3)	3 (9:3)
2	3	4
-30 kHz		
Minimum		
Minimum		
Minimum		
	Low Level (-1) 30 1 (3:3) 2 -30 kHz Minimum Minimum Minimum	Low Level (-1) Coded Levels Medium Level (0) 30 45 1 (3:3) 2 (6:3) 2 3 -30 kHz 3 Minimum Minimum Minimum Minimum

To conduct the experiment, this study used the Box-Behnken design (BBD), which has been proven to minimize the number of experiments needed in order to find an optimum condition for manufacturing (Ferreira *et al.*, 2007). The Box-Behnken design is also suitable for analyzing quadratic response surfaces while allowing optimization of the process with a minimum of experimentation (Agrawal *et al.*, 2021; Kudarha *et al.*, 2015).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used were palm stearin (Chemical Point, Deisenhofen, Bayern, Germany), palm olein (Green Gaia Solutions, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia), and Tween 80 (Kao, Jakarta, Indonesia). The equipment used were a homogenizer IKA-Ultra-Turrax T25 (Staufen, Germany), a sonicator probe (QSonica, Newtown, CT, USA), a vortex mixer (VM–300 Germany Industrial Corporation, USA), a hot plate, a thermometer, and a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, UK; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA).

Preparation of NLCs

NLC formulations were prepared using hot high shear homogenization and ultrasonication. A mixture of palm stearin and palm olein (7:3) was melted at 60°C. Tween 80 and water were also heated to 60°C. The aqueous phase was gradually added to the oil phase under continuous stirring at 700 rpm, and maintained at a temperature of 60°C. The mixture was homogenized at 12,000 rpm for 30 min using a high shear homogenizer (IKA T25 Digital Ultra-Turrax, Staufen, Germany) (Rohmah, Raharjo, Hidayat, & Martien, 2019). The NLC was sonicated using a sonicator probe for different amplitudes, pulse on pulse off times, and times of sonication.

Experimental Design

A Box-Behnken Design was used in the study for the optimization of NLC. A three-level design was employed for exploring responses, using Minitab software Version 19.

A non-linear, polynomial quadratic equation model explaining the three-factor three level design is given below:

 $y=\beta_0+\beta_1X_1+\beta_2X_2+\beta_3X_3+\beta_{12}X_1X_2+\beta_{13}X_1X_3+\beta_{23}X_2X_3+\beta_{11}X^2_1+\beta_{22}X^2_2+\beta_{33}X^2_3$ (Agrawal *et al.*, 2021; NIST/SEMATECH, 2012; Pinto *et al.*, 2019),

where *Y* represents the dependent variables, including the zeta potential (Y_1) , PDI (Y_2) , mean particle size (Z avg) (Y_3), and particle size distribution D90 (*Y4*). β_0 is the intercept, and β_1 to β_{33} are the regression coefficients. *X* represents the independent variables, where X₁ represents amplitude (kHz), X_2 is pulse on pulse off (min), and X_3 is the time of sonication (*C*, min) (Table I). The determination of the low level and the high level for independent variables was based on the preliminary studies. The determination of the low and high values of the independent variables was obtained based on observations of liquid moving at several amplitudes (QSonica, 2021). Based on these observations, samples could flow well at an amplitude of 30 kHz, while at an amplitude of more than 60 kHz the liquid movements and cavitation were too large.

Particle size analysis and Zeta potential

One drop of NLC was dissolved in 10 mL of water. The particle size and zeta potential were measured using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, 2013; PT.DKSH Indonesia, 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NLC was prepared by heating the lipid phase (palm olein and palm stearin), and aqueous phase (Tween 80 and water) to a temperature of 60°C.

CTD	Independent Variables					Dependent Variables					
order	Code	ed varia	bles	Re	al variab	les	$V_{\rm c}$ (mV)	V-	V (nm)	V. (nm)	
oruer	X 1	X ₂	X 3	X 1	X_2	X 3	11 (mv)	12	13 (IIII)	14 (IIII)	
1	-1	-1	0	30	(3:3)	3	-21.6	0.243	120.8	216	
2	1	-1	0	60	(3:3)	3	-15.1	0.201	129.7	256	
3	-1	1	0	30	(9:3)	3	-22.6	0.271	128.9	267	
4	1	1	0	60	(9:3)	3	-23.7	0.183	130.8	261	
5	-1	0	-1	30	(6:3)	2	-28.7	0.183	134.2	247	
6	1	0	-1	60	(6:3)	2	-30.7	0.397	184	597	
7	-1	0	1	30	(6:3)	4	-24.6	0.226	131.8	282.7	
8	1	0	1	60	(6:3)	4	-21	0.267	152.9	476	
9	0	-1	-1	45	(3:3)	2	-26.5	0.207	122.4	228	
10	0	1	-1	45	(9:3)	2	-28.1	0.221	123.1	217	
11	0	-1	1	45	(3:3)	4	-23.6	0.337	179.4	635	
12	0	1	1	45	(9:3)	4	-39.8	0.204	128.4	265	
13	0	0	0	45	(6:3)	3	-38.7	0.182	132.9	268	
14	0	0	0	45	(6:3)	3	-39.2	0.172	134.3	248	
15	0	0	0	45	(6:3)	3	-38.9	0.357	181.8	604	

Table II. Box-Behnken Design Matrix and Response

Research that has been conducted with the Box-Behnken model is then evaluated using RSM.

Table III. Summary of regression model.

		ANOVA Testing		
Response	Model	P value		D2 (0/)
		Linear	Square	K-(%)
Zeta potential	$Y = 86.7 - 4.251X_1 - 16.7X_2 - 8.1X_3 + 0.04759X_1^2 + 7.47X_2^2 + 1.97X_3^2$	0.192	0.008	91.21
Zeta potentiai	-0.127X ₁ *X ₂ +0.093X ₁ *X ₃ -3.64 X ₂ *X ₃	0.17	0.000	
PDI	$Y = -0.264 + 0.0084 X_1 + 0.208 X_2 + 0.059 X_3 + 0.000031 X_{1^2}$	0.942	0.930	30.78
	$-0.0191 X_{2}^{2} + 0.0246 X_{3}^{2} - 0.00077 X_{1}^{*} X_{2} - 0.00288 X_{1}^{*} X_{3} - 0.0368 X_{2}^{*} X_{3} - 0.0368 X_{2}^{*} X_{3} - 0.00191 X_{2}^{*} + 0.0$			
Mean particle	$Y = -93 + 4.28 X_1 + 107.9 X_2 + 15.4 X_3 - 0.0215 X_1^2 - 17.2 X_2^2 + 5.9 X_3^2$	0.679	0.627	48 57
size	$-0.117 X_1^* X_2 - 0.478 X_1^* X_3 - 12.9 X_2^* X_3$	0.079	0.027	40.57
000	$Y = -990 + 26 X_1 + 639 X_2 + 2 X_3 - 0.131 X_1^2 - 93.9 X_2^2 + 56.8 X_3^2 - 0.77$	0 5 9 /	0 706	1.8 28
50	X ₁ *X ₂ -2.61 X ₁ *X ₃ -89.8 X ₂ *X ₃	0.394	0.700	40.20

The aqueous phase was gradually added to the oil phase under continuous stirring at 700 rpm, and maintained at a temperature of 60°C. This process resulted in mixture homogenization at 12,000 rpm for 30 min using an Ultra-Turrax high shear homogenizer. The mixture was then ultrasonicated with the sonicator probe under the conditions determined by the Box-Behnken design experiments. The particle size and zeta potential of the NLC were measured using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS. Processing was performed using the software supplied with the equipment, and the particle size evaluated using data were the volume distribution.

The selected independent variables were found to influence the four responses measured. All batches showed particle sizes in the range 120.8– 184 nm and zeta potential (-15.1 mV)-(-39.2 mV)(Table II). The Box-Behnken design is one of the tools used to reduce the amount of research required for optimizing research conditions in the manufacture of NLC. Based on the Box-Behnken design, the following results were obtained (Table II): Research that has been conducted with the Box-Behnken model is then evaluated using RSM. Based on the analysis using RSM, it was found that the regression equation that applied was the quadratic regression equation, as apparent by the P value of < 0.5 (0.008) (Table III).



Figure.1. Pareto chart showing the standardized effect of the independent variables and their interactions on the zeta potential (Y_1), $\alpha = 0.05$



Figure 2. Response surface and contour plots showing the effects of independent variables with major influence on the zeta potential (Y_1). A. amplitude (X_1) and pulse on pulse off (X_2), B. amplitude (X_1) and time (X_3), C. pulse on pulse off (X_2) and time of sonication (X_3)

Based on the zeta potential factor, the factors that had the most significant influence were amplitude and pulse on pulse off. Time did not have a significant effect on zeta potential. Based on this model, amplitude, pulse on and pulse off did not significantly affect PDI and particle size (Table III).

Effect of independent variables on zeta potential

The value of the zeta potential (*Y1*) ranged between -15.1 mV and (-)39.8 mV (Table II). The interaction amplitude in the quadratic response, interaction pulse on pulse off in the quadratic response, and interaction time in the quadratic response had positive effects ($\beta_{11} = 0.04759$, p =0.008; $\beta_{22} = 7.47$, p = 0.014; $\beta_{33} = 1.97$, p = 0.371) (Figure 1). The increase in the amplitude, pulse on pulse off, and sonication time resulted in the increase in the zeta potential value.

In this research, the zeta potential response target was ± 30 mV. In the graph, the relationship between amplitude and pulse on pulse off, amplitude with time, pulse on pulse off with time, and zeta potential ± 30 mV, is shown in the green area of the contour plot (Figure 2).

Effect of independent variables on PDI

The value PDI (*Y2*) ranged between 0.172 and 0.397. In this model, the amplitude, pulse on and pulse off did not significantly affect the PDI (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Pareto chart showing the standardized effect of independent variables and their interactions on PDI (Y_2), $\alpha = 0.05$

Effect of independent variables on mean particle size

The mean particle size (*Y3*) ranged between 120.8 and 184 nm. In this model, amplitude and

pulse on and pulse off did not significantly affect the mean particle size (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Pareto chart showing the standardized effect of independent variables and their interactions on mean particle size (*Y*₃), $\alpha = 0.05$

Effect of independent variables on particle size distribution D-90

The value particle size D–90 (Y4) ranged between 216 and 635 nm. In this model, amplitude and pulse on and pulse off did not significantly affect particle size distribution D–90 (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Pareto chart showing the standardized effect of independent variables and their interactions on D-90 (Y_4), $\alpha = 0.05$

Optimization and response prediction

Optimization of the response was performed using Minitab software Version 19 (Table IV). Based on the RSM, the optimum conditions were obtained at amplitude 35 kHz, pulse on 9 pulse off 3, and time 3 min 25 seconds. The composite desirability was 0.5023, while the desirability values for zeta potential, PDI, mean particle size, and D-90 were 0.96206; 0.4893; 0.82030 and 0.16480, respectively (Figure 6).

Table IV. Components and optimized response

Respon	se co	mp	onent	Target/ goal	Low lim	ver l lit	Upp lin	oer nit
Zeta pot	entia	l (m	IV)	Range	-3	0	-2	9
PDI				Minimum	0.0)1	0.3	97
Mean pa	rticle	e siz	e (nm)	Minimum	10	0	20	0
D90 (nn	1)			Minimum	10	0	20	0
Optimal D: 0.5023	High <mark>Cur</mark> Low	Amj 60.0 (34. 30.0	plitudo) 2424))	Pulse on 3.0 (3.0) 1.0		Гіте 4.0 [<mark>3.414</mark> 2.0	41)	
Compo Desira D: 0.05	osite bility 523	Λ					ſ	
D90 vo minim y=183. d=0.16	ol un 5204 5480	/	_				-	_
Zagvg minim y=117 d=0.82	vol um .9696 2030	_				>	_	_
PDI minim y=0.20 d=0.48	um 76 930	~					_	2
Zeta po Targ:-3 y=-29. d=0.96	ot 30.0 9621 5206				7		_	-

Figure 6. Prediction of optimum conditions.

Verification of optimum conditions

To confirm the validity of the optimum conditions, the experiment was carried out under the optimum conditions. The results of the zeta potential, mean particle size, and polydispersity index of the predictions and experiments were very similar. However, the result of the D90 value was above 200 nm (Table 5). There have been several reports of average particle size, and some of these are based on the particle distribution of D–90 (Patel *et al.*, 2012). In this study, the mean particle size was used as an indicator of particle size (Lakhani *et al.*, 2019)

TableV. Results verification optimum condition

	Prediction	Results
Zeta potential (mV)	-29.96	-27.3 <u>+</u> 1
Polydispersity Index	0.2076	0.191 <u>+</u> 0.027
Mean particle size (nm)	118	127.9 <u>+</u> 0.9
D-90 vol (nm)	183.52	241

CONCLUSION

In this study, the major factor that affected the particle size, polydispersity index, and zeta potential in the sonication process was the amplitude. The closest condition for optimum formulation was obtained at a combination of an amplitude of 35 kHz, pulse on 9 pulses off 3, and time of sonication of 3 min 25 seconds. This set of conditions produced NLC with a particle size of 127.9 nm, a polydispersity index of 0.191, and a zeta potential of -27.3 mV. The zeta potential was significantly affected by the amplitude, pulse on pulse off, and time of sonication. Further research is needed to optimize the zeta potential parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Indonesian Food and Drug Authority (Indonesian FDA) that funded this research.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, M., Saraf, S., Pradhan, M., Patel, R. J., & Singhvi, G. (2021). Design and optimization of curcumin loaded nano lipid carrier system using Box-Behnken design. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 141, 111919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2021.1119 19
- Ferreira, S. L. C., Bruns, R. E., Ferreira, H. S., Matos, G. D., David, J. M., Brandão, G. C., da Silva, E. G. P., Portugal, L. A., dos Reis, P. S., Souza, A. S., & dos Santos, W. N. L. (2007). Box-Behnken design: An alternative for the optimization of analytical methods. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 597(2), 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2007.07.011
- Kudarha, R., Dhas, N. L., Pandey, A., Belgamwar, V. S., & Ige, P. P. (2015). Box-Behnken study design for optimization of bicalutamideloaded nanostructured lipid carrier: Stability assessment. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 20(5), 608–618. https://doi.org/10.3109/10837450.2014.90 8305
- Lakhani, P., Patil, A., Wu, K. W., Sweeney, C., Tripathi, S., Avula, B., Taskar, P., Khan, S., & Majumdar,

S. (2019). Optimization, stabilization, and characterization of amphotericin B loaded nanostructured lipid carriers for ocular drug delivery. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 572, 118771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.118 771

- Malvern. (2013). Zetasizer nano series user manual. Malvern Instruments Ltd.
- NIST/SEMATECH. (2012). *e-Handbook of Statistical Methods*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.18434/

M32189

- Patel, D., Dasgupta, S., Dey, S., Roja Ramani, Y., Ray, S., & Mazumder, B. (2012). Nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC)-based gel for the topical delivery of aceclofenac: Preparation, characterization, and in vivo evaluation. *Scientia Pharmaceutica*, *80*(3), 749–764. https://doi.org/10.3797/scipharm.1202-12
- Pinto, F., de Barros, D. P. C., Reis, C., & Fonseca, L. P. (2019). Optimization of nanostructured lipid carriers loaded with retinoids by central composite design. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.1114 68

PT.DKSH Indonesia. (2019). Zetaclass Training.

- QSonica. (2021). FAQ / Qsonica. https://www.sonicator.com/pages/faq#faq 8
- Rohmah, M., Choiri, S., Raharjo, S., Hidayat, C., & Martien, R. (2020). Palm stearin and olein binary mixture incorporated into nanostructured lipids carrier: Improvement food functionality for micronutrient delivery. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 44(10), 1–10.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14761

Rohmah, M., Raharjo, S., Hidayat, C., & Martien, R.

(2020). Application of Response Surface Methodology for the Optimization of β -Carotene-Loaded Nanostructured Lipid Carrier from Mixtures of Palm Stearin and Palm Olein. *JAOCS, Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 97(2), 213–223. https://doi.org/10.1002/aocs.12310

- Shah, M. R., Imran, M., & Ullah, S. (2017). Chapter 11

 Niosomal drug delivery BT
 Lipid-Based

 Nanocarriers for Drug Delivery and Diagnosis.

 In Micro and Nano Technologies.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B9
 78-0-323-52729-3.00005-6
- Sharma, A., & Baldi, A. (2018). Nanostructured Lipid Carriers : A Review Journal of Developing Drugs. *Journal of Developing Drugs*, 7(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6631.10001
- Stamenković, O. S., Kostić, M. D., Radosavljević, D. B., & Veljković, V. B. (2018). Comparison of boxbehnken, face central composite and full factorial designs in optimization of hempseed oil extraction by n-hexane: A case study. *Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering*, 62(3), 359–367.

https://doi.org/10.3311/PPch.11448

- Subramaniam, B., Siddik, Z. H., & Nagoor, N. H. (2020). Optimization of nanostructured lipid carriers: understanding the types, designs, and parameters in the process of formulations. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 22(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-020-04848-0
- Surya Tej, K. V. M., Moin, A., Gowda, D. V., Anjali, Karunakar, G., Patel, N. P., & Kamal, S. S. (2016). Nano structured lipid carrier based drug delivery system. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 8(2), 627–643.