Research Article

First Report of Necrotic Spot Disease Caused by *Cactus virus X* on Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) in Peninsular Malaysia

Laporan Pertama Penyakit Bercak Nekrotik yang Disebabkan oleh Cactus virus X pada Buah Naga (Hylocereus spp.) di Semenanjung Malaysia

Masanto^{1)*}, Kamaruzaman Sijam²⁾, Yahya Awang³⁾, & Mohd Ghazali Mohd Satar⁴⁾

¹⁾Tanjung Priok Agricultural Quarantine Agency Jln. Enggano No. 17, Tanjung Priok, Jakarta Utara, 14310 Indonesia ²⁾Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

³⁾Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

⁴⁾Department of Land Management, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

*Corresponding author. E-mail: masanto@pertanian.go.id

Submitted April 6, 2017; accepted November 6, 2017

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to detect the status of virus causing necrotic spot disease on dragon fruit and to recognize its geographical distribution in Peninsular Malaysia. Fifty posts of crops were randomly sampled from dragon fruit orchards. The symptoms were characterized and the pathogen was observed under transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Disease incidence and severity were plotted, while the disease occurrence was statistically analyzed under Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) of General Linear Modeling (GLM) procedure and Pearson correlation test. The disease was initiated by necrotic small mottle or spot on young shoot which then turned to orange. Those symptoms were also found on mature stems and fruits. They could quickly expand on unexposed symptomatic parts in orchards. The maximum incidence and severity of disease were recorded in Durian Tunggal (Malacca), i.e. 98 and 52%, respectively. TEM technique viewed the spindle-shaped inclusion bodies of virus within symptomatic stems at 4000 and 20,000× magnification which were suspected as *Cactus virus X* (CVX), a potexvirus with filamentous and rod shape and 515–520 nm in size. Statistically, disease occurrence in Malacca was significantly higher than those in other states, while the minimum was found in Terengganu. The strong regression of disease incidence and severity was shown by R value= 0.9484. There were no significant correlations of disease occurrence either with weather or cultural factors. Further study on the transmission of virus within the crops in the field is important to be carried out in order to monitor the spread of disease and to develop the integrated disease management strategies on dragon fruit in Peninsular Malaysia.

Keywords: necrotic spot, CVX, dragon fruit

INTISARI

Kajian ini dilakukan untuk mendeteksi status virus yang menyebabkan penyakit bercak nekrotik pada buah naga dan untuk mengetahui distribusi geografisnya di Semenanjung Malaysia. Lima puluh tiang tanaman disampel secara acak dari kebun buah naga. Gejala-gejala dikarakterisasi dan patogen diamati di bawah transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Insidensi dan keparahan penyakit diplot, sedangkan kejadian penyakit secara statistik dianalisis menggunakan Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT), General Linear Modeling (GLM) dan uji Pearson correlation. Penyakit dimulai dengan bercak nekrotik kecil pada tunas muda dan kemudian berubah menjadi jingga. Gejala-gejala tersebut juga dijumpai pada batang dewasa dan buah. Penyakit dapat berkembang dengan cepat pada bagian bergejala yang tidak terlihat di kebun. Insidensi dan keparahan penyakit yang paling banyak ditemukan di Durian Tunggal (Melaka), yaitu masing-masing 98 dan 52%. Teknik TEM menunjukkan badan inklusi berbentuk benang dari virus pada batang yang bergejala pada perbesaran 4000 dan 20.000×yang diduga sebagai Cactus virus X (CVX), suatu potexvirus yang berbentuk benang dan batang serta berukuran panjang 515–520 nm. Secara statistik, kejadian penyakit di Melaka secara nyata lebih tinggi daripada di negara bagian lainnya, sedangkan kejadian penyakit yang paling sedikit dijumpai di Terengganu. Regresi insidensi dan keparahan penyakit, baik dengan faktor cuaca maupun budidaya. Kajian lebih lanjut terkait penularan virus dalam tanaman di lapangan penting untuk dilakukan dalam rangka memantau penyebaran penyakit dan untuk mengembangkan strategi pengendalian penyakit yang terpadu pada buah naga di Semenanjung Malaysia.

Kata kunci: bercak nekrotik, buah naga, CVX

INTRODUCTION

Dragon fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) is a climbing cacti species bearing the fruit characterized by dragon-like scales covering its skin (Mizrahi *et al.*, 2002). It is popular fruit in South America and has been consumed by the general population since the pre-Columbian times (Crane & Balerdi, 2005). French brought it into Vietnam about 100 years ago as ornamental crop for the king (Luders & McMahon, 2006).

The commercial cultivation of dragon fruit in Malaysia was initiated in Kluang (Johore), Kuala Pilah (Negeri Sembilan) and Sitiawan (Perak) at the beginning of 1999. However, the Golden Hope Company, which is located at Sungai Wangi Estate (Perak), had introduced and cultivated this crop in a large scale plantation two decades ago (Halimi & Satar, 2007). According to the statistics reported on 2006, Malaysia planted around 962.3 ha of dragon fruit with the total production about 2,534.2 ton (production value around US\$3.5 millions) (Cheah & Zulkarnain, 2008).

Most of diseases on dragon fruit documented either in Malaysia or other countries were dominantly caused by plant pathogenic bacteria and fungi (Valencia-Botin *et al.*, 2003; Wang and Lin, 2005; Le Bellec *et al.*, 2006; Taba *et al.*, 2006; Palmateer *et al.*, 2007; Paull, 2007; Taba *et al.*, 2007; Hoa, 2008; Masratul-Hawa *et al.*, 2008; Masyahit *et al.*, 2008, 2009a, 2009b). Meanwhile, plant pathogenic virus, primarily *Cactus virus X* (CVX) has been also detected in California (Fudl-Allah *et al.*, 1983), Taiwan (Liou *et al.*, 2001; Liao *et al.*, 2003), and Japan (Keiko & Miki, 2001). Furthermore, the characteristic of this virus has been reported by Attanthom *et al.* (1978) and Liou *et al.* (2004).

However, there is no scientific report regarding on the occurrence and distribution of this pathogen in Peninsular Malaysia. Therefore, this study was carried out to detect the presence of plant pathogenic virus causing disease on dragon fruit and to recognize its geographical distribution on dragon fruit-growing areas in Peninsular Malaysia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Surveys of Dragon Fruit-Growing Areas

Surveys were conducted in dragon fruit-growing areas in Peninsular Malaysia since December 2007 until August 2008. At least two dragon fruit orchards from each sampling state were surveyed. Data of disease occurrence and cultural (age of crops and acreage of surveyed farms) were recorded. Longitude and latitude data were documented using GPS device (SILVA Multinav-Version 2.01) and then mapped with Mapinfo Software (Troy, New York; USA). Weather data i.e., relative humidity (RH), temperature and wind velocity were obtained from Malaysian Meteorological Department (period of 1998–2008).

Assessment of Disease

Fifty posts of dragon fruit plants were sampled using pseudo-random method with specifying an approximate W-shaped path from each surveyed plantation with at least about 0.4 ha in acreage. Each plot was generally occupied by 4 cuttings. Disease incidence (DI) was calculated by the following equation (Cooke, 2006):

$$DI = \frac{Number of diseased plant units}{Total number of plant units assessed} \times 100\%$$

Meanwhile, disease severity (DS) was determined according to alternative rating scale proposed by Bowen (2004) in which scale 0 = no symptom, scale 1 = 0-20% of severity level on infected plants, scale 2 = 20-40%, scale 3 = 40-60%, scale 4 = 60-80%, and scale 5 = 80-100%, respectively and then measured using the equation proposed by Kranz (1988) as follows:

$$DS = \frac{\sum (a \times b)}{N.Z} \times 100\%$$

N = Total number of sampled plant Z = Highest score scale

In the meantime, the frequency of certain disease which occurred in surveyed area was computed using this following equation (Cooke, 2006):

$$Disease frequency = \frac{Infected area by certain diseased}{Total surveyed area} \times 100\%$$

Detection of Plant Pathogenic Virus

Suspected pathogenic virus was characterized by observing size and shape under Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) (LEO912AB, EFTEM) following procedure provided by Institute of Biological Science, University Putra Malaysia. The tissues were cut into 1 mm³ slices and then put into fixative solution (4%) of Glutaraldehyde) for 48 h at 4°C. Afterwards, the slices were washed with 0.1 M Sodium Cacodylate Buffer for three times in 30 min each and post-fixed with 1% Osmium Tetroxide solution for 2 h at 4°C. Again, the slices were washed with 0.1 M Sodium Cacodylate Buffer for three times in 30 min each. They were consecutively involved in serial dehydration within 35, 50, 75 and 95% acetone for 60 min each, as well as in 100% acetone during 120 min for three times.

Hereinafter, the slices were infiltrated into mixture of acetone and resin with the ratio of 1:1 and 1:3 for one day and one night, respectively, and 100% resin overnight and 2 h, consecutively. The samples were then placed into resin-filled up beam capsules and polymerized in oven at 60°C for 24–48 h.

Thick sectioning procedures were started with the cutting of samples into 1 μ m sections using glass knife and ultramicrotome. They were then placed onto glass slide and stained with toluidine blue; the slides were dried on hot plate, while the stain was washed; the slides were observed under light microscope.

Meanwhile thin sectioning was initiated by the selection of interested area on samples. They were then cut for ultrathin section; the silver or golden sections were selected and picked up with a grid and dried using filter paper. The sections were consecutively stained and washed with uranyl acetate for 10 min and filtered alcohol, followed by lead-staining for 10 min and rinsing with double-distilled water. Finally, the samples were viewed under TEM.

Statistical Analysis

Test of normality for disease occurrence data (DI and DS) was previously employed (Cardoso *et al.*, 2004). The polynomial regression was analyzed using Microscoft Excel 2003 program (Microsoft Corporation, Washington; USA). Both data of disease occurrence under General Linear Modeling (GLM) procedure with Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) and Pearson correlation were analyzed using SAS® System for Windows V8 software (SAS Institute Cary, North California; USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cactus virus X (CVX) is considered as one of important plant pathologic virus on cacti species (Zimmermann & Granata, 2002). Early detection of CVX on dragon fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) in Peninsular Malaysia could be the basic information in developing the appropriate strategies to prevent the spread of disease, particularly in investigating the virus transmission within crops in the field and in selecting the resistant dragon fruit varieties.

Surveys of Dragon Fruit-Growing Areas

The present study recorded that the red-fleshed species was planted nationwide in Peninsular Malaysia, followed by white-fleshed and yellow species, with age of crops 0.25–6 years and 0.4–10.11 ha in acreage and the altitude of 3.35–146.91 m above sea level (asl) (Table 1). The 43 surveyed orchards and the distribution of disease could be mapped as shown by Figure 1. The disease was widely distributed in Peninsular Malaysia and could quickly expand on unexposed infected plant parts in dragon fruit orchards. The 11-year of average weather data in Peninsular Malaysia affecting the establishment of current surveyed disease occurrences was also given in Figure 2.

Assessment of Disease

This disease initially appeared as necrotic small mottle or spot on base of young shoots and unripe fruits which later turned to orange. The secondary found pathogens such as Alternaria sp., Botryosphaeria sp., Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Curvularia sp., Fusarium sp., Monilinia sp., Pestalotiopsis sp., Phytophtora sp., and Thielaviopsis sp. might cause the rot of infected tissues. Those symptoms were also found on some mature stems and ripe fruits (Figure 3). The highest disease occurrence was recorded in Durian Tunggal (Malacca), with DI and DS about 98 and 52%, respectively (Table 1). It was presupposed that the most severe disease in this orchard likely due to unwell-sanitized farm. The farmer did not prune the damaged stems and ignored debris of diseased stems around the farm (Figure 4).

The lowest disease prevalence was found in Mata Ayer (Kedah), while there were some zero incidences of disease in certain surveyed areas (Table 1). We found that the location of those areas were far away from infected orchards. Several farms were possibly not contaminated by this disease as they were wellsanitized by pruning, burning and then burying the in-



Figure 1. Distribution of necrotic spot disease on dragon fruit in Peninsular Malaysia

		oyou magon-growing area		214		(
Location ^a	Dragon fruit species	Farm environment	Altitude (m asl)	Age (years) ^b	Acreage (ha) ^c	Occurre DI	nce (%) DS
Johor							
Batu Pahat	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	12.50	4.5	1.42	0	0
Kluang	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	81.38	4	1.42	70	23.2
Mersing	Rf (Hp), Wf (Hu), Yf (Sm)	Opened high hills	47.55	9	10.11	72	17.6
			Mean o	f disease occurren	ced	47.33ab	13.60ab
			Di	sease frequency		9.99	7%
Malacca Durian Tunggal	Rf (Hn)	Rubber plantation	1036	Y	7 7 7	98	62
Machan Umboo	Rf (Hn)	Rubber plantation	61.26	o vo	2 4 3	20	18.8 18.8
Naning	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	42.36	1.5	1.21	62	19.2
)	× •	4	Mean c	of disease occurrer	lce	72.00a	30.00a
			Di	sease frequency		100	%
Negeri Sembilan							
Rembau	Rf (Hp)	Village situtation	54.56	1.5	0.48	4	0.8
Kuala Pilah	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	80.77	1.5	2.83	92	22.0
Pajam	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	60.96	2.5	2.43	50	15.6
			Mean c	of disease occurrer	Ice	48.67ab	12.80ab
			Di	sease frequency		100%	
Selangor							
Sepang	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	51.20	С	0.65	0	0
Hulu Langat	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	75.90	0.67	2.43	46	14.8
Sabak Bernam	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	13.41	б	1.21	62	17.6
			Mean c	of disease occurrer	lce	36.00ab	10.80ab
			Di	sease frequency		66.6	7%
Perak							
Slim River	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	44.50	4	0.81	38	10.4
Teluk Intan	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	5.80	4	0.40	48	12.8
Tapah	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	40.54	3.5	0.81	48	12.4
Batu Gajah	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	42.36	2.5	0.61	48	14.8
Pantai Remis	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	6.40	1.5	2.43	38	9.6
Taiping	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	20.42	1	0.81	42	10.4
			Mean c	of disease occurrer	Ice	43.67ab	11.73ab
			Di	sease frequency		100	%

Table 1. (continued)							
Location ^a	Dragon fruit species	Farm environment	Altitude (m asl)	Age (years) ^b	Acreage (ha) ^c –	Occurre DI	nce (%) DS
Pahang							
Pekan	Rf (Hp)	Village forest	11.88	3	4.45	78	30
Kuantan	Rf (Hp)	Urban situation	17.37	4	2.02	24	7.2
Raub	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	146.91	4	3.64	14	4
Jerantut	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	121.31	0.5	1.62	0	0
			Mean o	f disease occurren	ce	29.00ab	10.30ab
			Di	sease frequency		750	%
Terengganu							
Paka	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	8.53	0.6	0.48	54	15.6
Merchang	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	6.09	ы	1.01	0	0
Marang	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	4.57	2.5	2.02	0	0
Setiu	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	5.79	1.5	0.40	14	4.4
Kerteh	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	11.27	2.5	0.81	0	0
			Mean o	f disease occurren	ce	13.60b	4.00b
			Di	sease frequency		40	%
Kelantan							
Batang Merbau	Rf(Hp) and $Wf(Hu)$	Experimental plot	51.81	3	0.40	0	0
Kota Bharu	Rf (Hp)	Experimental plot	10.97	2	0.40	10	2.4
Gua Musang	Rf (Hp)	Rubber plantation	31.67	4	1.21	0	0
Kuala Krai	Rf (Hp)	Village forest	34.74	2	2.02	54	16
			Mean o	f disease occurren	ce	16.00b	4.60b
			Di	sease frequency		50%	
Pulau Pinang							
Bukit Mertajam	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	30.78	ω	2.02	54	15.2
Seberang Perai Tengah	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	22.55	1.5	0.81	36	9.6
Seberang Perai Utara	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	9.44	S	5.95	40	13.2
			Mean o	f disease occurren	ce	43.33ab	12.67ab
			Di	sease frequency		100%	

Location Diagonality Fail reprint of the structure Autor (Hab) Age (years) Acted of the bit of the b	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	T contiona	Duaran funit anadae	Loun oncinonnout	Altitudo (m. acl)	A conference (A anonco (ha)6	Occurre	ence (%)
Kedah Kedah Merbau Pulas Rf (Hp) Village situation 14.63 0.58 0.40 0 0 Merbau Pulas Rf (Hp) Village situation 19.81 0.40 0 0 0 Pokok Sena Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 2.43 0 0 0 Gurun Rf (Hp) Intercropping 3.35 2 0.40 14 3.6 Ayer Hitam Rf (Hp) Intercropping 3.35 2 0.40 0 0 Ayer Hitam Rf (Hp) Rubber plantation 28.95 3.5 0.40 62 27.2 Ayer Hangat Rf (Hp) Village situation 27.43 1.5 0.40 62 27.2 Ayer Hangat Rf (Hp) Village situation 2.66 2.02 2.72 2.72 Ayer Hangat Rf (Hp) Village situation 2.743 1.5 0.40 62.5% Ayer Hangat Rf (Hp) Village situation	KedahKedahMerbau PulasRf (Hp)Village situation14.630.580.4000Pokok SenaRf (Hp)Intercrophing25.6022.43000OurunRf (Hp)Intercropping3.9622.43000YarRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rice field3.3522.43000AvenRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rice field3.3520.40143.6AvenRf (Hp)Rubber plantation28.953.52.0220.400Aven HiamRf (Hp)Rubber plantation28.953.52.0220.400Aver HangatRf (Hp)Rubber plantation27.431.50.40000Aver HangatRf (Hp)Rubber plantation27.431.50.40000Aver HangatRf (Hp)Nillage situation27.431.50.40000Aver HangatRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rubber plantation27.431.50.40000Aver HangatRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rt (Hp)Nillage situation27.232.022.022.722.72Aver HangatRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Experimental plot3.960000000000000000000000<	KedahKedahMerbau PulasRf (Hp)Village situation14.630.580.4000Merbau PulasRf (Hp)and Yf (Sm)Rubber planation19.810.4000OdurunRf (Hp)Intercropping25.6022.4.3000YanRf (Hp)Intercropping3.9620.40143.6Ayer HiamRf (Hp)Rubber planation28.953.52.0220.40Ayer HiamRf (Hp)Village situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HiamRf (Hp)Village situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Village situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Village situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Nillage situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Nillage situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Nillage situation27.431.50.406227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Nillage situation2.5.6020.616227.2Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Intercropping2.5.6020.613610.40PartisRf (Hp)Intercropping2.5.6020.613610.40Partis <t< th=""><th>LOCAU011"</th><th>Dragon Iruit species</th><th>FALID EUVIFORMENT</th><th>Alutude (III asl)</th><th>Age (years)"</th><th>Acreage (na)⁻ -</th><th>DI</th><th>DS</th></t<>	LOCAU011"	Dragon Iruit species	FALID EUVIFORMENT	Alutude (III asl)	Age (years)"	Acreage (na) ⁻ -	DI	DS
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{Merbau Pulas} & \mbox{Rf}(\mbox{Hp}) & \mbox{Village situation} & \mbox{I4}(\mbox{Hp}) & \mbox{Melber plantation} & \mbox{I4}(\mbox{Hp}) & \mbox{Mubber plantation} & \mbox{I9},\mbox{R} & \mbox{Melber plantation} & \mbox{I9},\mbox{R} & \mbox{R} & \mbox{Melber plantation} & \mbox{I9},\mbox{R} & \mbox{R} & \mbox{Melber plantation} & \mbox{I9},\mbox{R} & \mbox{R} & \$	$ \begin{array}{cccccc} Merbau Pulas & Rf (Hp) & Village situation & 14.63 & 0.58 & 0.40 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Pokok Sena & Rf (Hp) and Yf (Sm) & Rubber plantation & 19.81 & 0.4 & 0.40 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Gurun & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & 25.60 & 2 & 2.43 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Yan & Rf (Hp) & Rt (Hp) & Rt (Hp) & 1.5 & 0.40 & 14 & 3.6 & 3.6 & 3.3 & 3.3 & 3.5 & 3.5 & 3.6 & 3.5 & 3.5 & 3.6$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Kedah							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} Pokok Sena & Rf (Hp) and Yf (Sm) & Rubber plantation & 19.81 & 0.4 & 0.40 & 0 & 0 \\ Curun & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & 25.60 & 2 & 2.43 & 0 & 0 \\ Yan & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & 3.96 & 2 & 0.40 & 14 & 3.6 \\ Ayer Hitam & Rf (Hp) & Ricber plantation & 28.95 & 3.5 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ Pantai Kok & Rf (Hp) & Nillage situation & 28.95 & 3.5 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ Pantai Kok & Rf (Hp) & Nillage situation & 27.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) and Wf (Hu) & Experimental plot & 3.96 & 4 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) and Wf (Hu) & Experimental plot & 27.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ Pantai Kok & Rf (Hp) and Wf (Hu) & Experimental plot & 26.60 & 2 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) and Wf (Hu) & Experimental plot & 3.96 & 4 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ Partai Kok & Rf (Hp) & Intercopping & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36 & 0.40 & 62.5\% \\ Perlis & Rf (Hp) & Intercopping & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36 & 0.61 & 0.40$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} Pokok Sena & Rf (Hp) and Yf (Sm) & Rubber plantation & 19,81 & 0.4 & 0.40 & 0 & 0 \\ Curun & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & 25,60 & 2 & 2.43 & 0 & 0 \\ Yan & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & 3.96 & 2 & 0.40 & 14 & 3.6 \\ Ayer Hitam & Rf (Hp) & Rubber plantation & 3.35 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ Mata Ayer & Rf (Hp) & Rubber plantation & 2.8.95 & 3.5 & 0.40 & 6.2 & 2.7 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) & Village situation & 2.7.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 6.2 & 2.7 & 0.4 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) & Village situation & 2.8.95 & 3.5 & 0.40 & 6.2 & 2.7 & 0.4 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) & Village situation & 2.8.95 & 3.5 & 0.40 & 6.2 & 2.7 & 0.4$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Merbau Pulas	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	14.63	0.58	0.40	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pokok Sena	Rf (Hp) and Yf (Sm)	Rubber plantation	19.81	0.4	0.40	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccc} {\rm Yan} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Intercoping} & 3.96 & 2 & 0.40 & 14 & 3.6 \\ {\rm Ayer Hitam} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Rice field} & 3.35 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ {\rm Mata Ayer} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Rubber plantation} & 28.95 & 3.5 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ {\rm Pantai Kok} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Village situation} & 27.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ {\rm Ayer Hangat} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) {\rm and Wf}({\rm Hu}) & {\rm Experimental plot} & 3.96 & 4 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ {\rm Ayer Hangat} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) {\rm and Wf}({\rm Hu}) & {\rm Experimental plot} & 2.7.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ {\rm Ayer Hangat} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) {\rm and Wf}({\rm Hu}) & {\rm Experimental plot} & 2.6.6 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ {\rm Ayer Hangat} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) {\rm and Wf}({\rm Hu}) & {\rm Experimental plot} & 2.6.6 & 0.41 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ {\rm Hoto Reservec} & {\rm Controperve} & {\rm Controperve} & 26.25 {\rm ab} & 10.40 {\rm ab} \\ {\rm Derlis} & {\rm Perlis} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Intercoping} & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36.0 {\rm ab} & 10.40 {\rm ab} \\ {\rm Beseri} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Intercoping} & {\rm Controperve} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Intercoping} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Reave frequency} & {\rm Rf}({\rm Hp}) & {\rm Rf}({\rm Rh}) & $	YanRf (Hp)Intercropping 3.96 2 0.40 14 3.6 Ayer HitamRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rice field 3.35 2 0.81 78 3.6 Mata AyerRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rubber plantation 28.95 3.5 2.02 2 0.40 Pantai KokRf (Hp)Village situation 28.95 3.5 2.02 2 0.40 Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 54 16 Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 52 $10.40a$ BaseriRf (Hp)Intercrophing 25.60 2 0.61 36 $10.40a$ PerlisNean of disease occurrence $26.25ab$ $10.40a$ $10.40a$ BeseriRf (Hp)Intercrophing 25.60 2 0.61 36 $10.40a$ Reart: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selargor and Perak), eastern (Palan Brian) 10.09% $10.40a$ *Are of cross which were recorded duti $3.50a$ $3.56a$ $10.40a$ $10.40a$ *Are of cross which were recorded duti 2.560 2 0.61 36 $10.40a$ *Are of cross which were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selargor and Perak), eastern (Palangar) and Kelantan) 10.90% *Are of cross which were scorded duti 2.560 2 0.61 36 $10.40a$ </td <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>Gurun</td> <td>Rf (Hp)</td> <td>Intercropping</td> <td>25.60</td> <td>2</td> <td>2.43</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Gurun	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	25.60	2	2.43	0	0
Ayer HitamRf (Hp)Rice field 3.35 2.02 0.81 78 3.6 Mata AyerRf (Hp)Rubber plantation 28.95 3.5 2.02 2 0.4 Pantai KokRf (Hp)Rubber plantation 28.95 3.5 2.02 2 0.4 Pantai KokRf (Hp)Village situation 27.43 1.5 0.40 62 27.2 Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 54 16 Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 54 16 Aper HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 54 16 Aper HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.56 4 0.81 54 16 Aper HangatRf (Hp)Intercopping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.40 BeseriRf (Hp)Intercopping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.40 PartisPartisPartisPartis 10.61 36.00 10.40 PartisPartisPartisPartis 10.61 36.00 10.40 PartisPartisPartisPartis 10.61 36.00 10.40 PartisPartisPartisPartisPartis 10.40 PartisPartisPartis 25.00 2 0.61 36.00 PartisPartisP	Ayer HitamRf (Hp)Rice field 3.35 2 0.81 78 36 Mata AyerRf (Hp)R (Hp)R (ubber plantation 28.95 3.5 2.02 2 0.4 Pantai KokRf (Hp)Village situation 27.43 1.5 0.40 62 27.2 Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Village situation 27.43 1.5 0.40 62 27.2 Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 54 16 Ayer HangatRf (Hp)Intercropping 2.660 2 0.61 36 $10.40ab$ PerlisRearingRf (Hp)Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 $10.40ab$ BeseriRf (Hp)Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 $10.40ab$ Amean of disease occurrence $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ $10.40ab$ $10.40ab$ Amean of disease occurrence $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ $10.40ab$ Amean of disease occurrence $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ Amean of currence 100.61 $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ Amean of currence 10.61 $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ <	Ayer HitamRf (Hp)Rice field3.3520.817836Mata AyerRf (Hp)Rt (Hp)Rubber plantation28.953.52.0220.40Pantai KokRf (Hp)Village situation27.431.50.406227.23Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot3.9640.815416Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot3.9640.815416PerlisMean of disease occurrence0.81541610.40abBeseriRf (Hp)Intercropping25.6020.613610.40abBeseriRf (Hp)Intercropping25.6020.613610.40abMean of disease occurrence0.6136.00ab10.40ab10.40ab10.40abBeseriNean of disease occurrence0.6136.00ab10.40abMean of disease occurrence0.6136.00ab10.40abMean of disease occurrence1.6436.00ab10.40abAud outhern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis).100%10.40ab* Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date.*Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date.*Acreage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre. which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants pr post.	Yan	Rf(Hp)	Intercropping	3.96	2	0.40	14	3.6
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccc} \mbox{Mata Ayer} & \mbox{Rf (Hp)} & \mbox{Rf (Hp)} & \mbox{Rt (Hp)} & \mbox{Village situation} & 28.95 & 3.5 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ \mbox{Pantai Kok} & \mbox{Rf (Hp)} & \mbox{Village situation} & 27.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ \mbox{Ayer Hangat} & \mbox{Rf (Hp)} & \mbox{Experimental plot} & 3.96 & 4 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ \mbox{Mean of disease occurrence} & \mbox{Rf (Hp)} & \mbox{Starmental plot} & Sta$	$\label{eq:relation} \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} Mata Ayer & Rf (Hp) & Rubber plantation & 28.95 & 3.5 & 2.02 & 2 & 0.4 \\ Pantai Kok & Rf (Hp) & Village situation & 27.43 & 1.5 & 0.40 & 62 & 27.2 \\ Ayer Hangat & Rf (Hp) and Wf (Hu) & Experimental plot & 3.96 & 4 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ Perlis & Perlis & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & Disease frequency & 26.25ab & 10.40ab \\ Perlis & Mean of disease occurrence & 26.25b' & 10.40ab \\ Beseri & Rf (Hp) & Intercropping & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36 & 10.4 \\ Perlis & Mean of disease occurrence & 36.00ab & 10.40ab \\ Intercropping & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36 & 10.40ab \\ Perlis & Mean of disease occurrence & 36.00ab & 10.40ab \\ Intercropping & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36 & 10.40ab \\ Perlis & Mean of disease occurrence & 36.00ab & 10.40ab \\ Intercropting & Disease frequency & 100\% & 0.61 & 36 & 0.61 \\ Perlis & Mean of corpos which were recorded until surveyed date. \\ ^{b} Areage of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. \\ ^{c} Arcrage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post. \\ \end{array} \right. $	Ayer Hitam	Rf (Hp)	Rice field	3.35	2	0.81	78	36
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mata Ayer	Rf(Hp)	Rubber plantation	28.95	3.5	2.02	2	0.4
Ayer HangatRf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)Experimental plot 3.96 4 0.81 54 16 Mean of disease occurrence $26.25 ab$ $10.40 ab$ PerlisDisease frequency 62.5% $10.40 ab$ PerlisRf (Hp)Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 $10.40 ab$ BeseriRf (Hp)Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 $10.40 ab$ Disease frequencyDisease frequency 10.61 $36.00 ab$ $10.40 ab$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\label{eq:relation} \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Aper Hangat} & \mbox{Rf}(\mbox{Hp}) \mbox{and Wf}(\mbox{Hu}) & \mbox{Experimental plot} & 3.96 & 4 & 0.81 & 54 & 16 \\ \mbox{Mean of disease occurrence} & 26.25ab & 10.40ab \\ \mbox{Disease frequency} & 62.5\% & 62.5\% & 10.40ab \\ \mbox{Disease frequency} & \mbox{Rf}(\mbox{Hp}) & \mbox{Intercropping} & 25.60 & 2 & 0.61 & 36 & 10.40ab \\ \mbox{Mean of disease occurrence} & \mbox{Mean of disease occurrence} & 36.00ab & 10.40ab \\ \mbox{Disease frequency} & \mbox{Disease frequency} & \mbox{Intercrence} & \mbox{Intercrence} & 36.00ab & 10.40ab \\ \mbox{Remark: $D coations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) \\ \mbox{and southern (Pulan Pinang, Kedah and Perlis).} \end{array} \right. \\ \end{tabular}$	Pantai Kok	Rf (Hp)	Village situation	27.43	1.5	0.40	62	27.2
Mean of disease occurrence26.25ab10.40abPerlisDisease frequency62.5%10.40abRescriRf (Hp)Intercropping25.6020.6136Mean of disease occurrence36.00ab10.40abDisease frequencyDisease frequency100%	Perlis Mean of disease occurrence 26.25ab 10.40ab Perlis Disease frequency 62.5% 0.40ab Beseri Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.40ab Beseri Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.40ab Remark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pirang, Kedah and Perlis). 100% 10.40ab "Age of crops which were recorded until surveved date. base of crops which were recorded until surveved date. 100% 100% 100%	Peris Mean of disease occurrence 26.25ab 10.40ab Peris Disease frequency 62.5% 0.61 36 Peris Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.40ab Beseri Rean of disease occurrence 0.61 36 10.40ab 10.40ab Remark: «Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) 36.00ab 10.40ab *Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. *Acreage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post. *Acreage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post.	Ayer Hangat	Rf (Hp) and Wf (Hu)	Experimental plot	3.96	4	0.81	54	16
PerlisDisease frequency 62.5% PerlisBeseriRf (Hp)Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 BeseriMean of disease occurrence $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ Disease frequency 100%	PerisDisease frequency 62.5% PerisBeseriRf (Hp)Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 BeseriRean of disease occurrence $36.00ab$ $10.40ab$ Remark: ^{all} Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan)Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date.	Peris Disease frequency 62.5% Peris Disease frequency 62.5% Resci Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Beseri Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab 10.40ab Remark: ^a Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 100% Remark: ^a Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) Mean of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. Acreage of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. Mean of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post. Mean of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post.				Mean c	of disease occurre	nce	26.25ab	10.40ab
Perlis Derlis Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Beseri Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Disease frequency 100%	Perlis Perlis Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Beseri Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Mean vertice Disease frequency 100% Remark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). •Aae of crons which were recorded until surveyed date.	Peris Peris Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Beseri Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab 10.40ab Remark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). 100% 100% 100% Remark: "Acreage of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. •Acreage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post. 6<				Di	sease frequency		62.5%	
Beseri Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Disease frequency 100%	Beseri Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Stanski Disease frequency 100% Remark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) ^b Age of crons which were recorded until surveyed date.	Beseri Rf (Hp) Intercropping 25.60 2 0.61 36 10.4 Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Mean set frequency 100% 10.40ab Remark: «Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) 36.00ab b Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. • c creage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post.	Perlis							
Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Disease frequency 100%	Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Remark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). 100% ^b Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date.	Mean of disease occurrence 36.00ab 10.40ab Temark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) 100% *Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. *Acreage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post. 36.00ab 10.40ab	Beseri	Rf (Hp)	Intercropping	25.60	2	0.61	36	10.4
Disease frequency 100%	Disease frequency 100% Remark: "Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). bAge of crops which were recorded until surveyed date.	Disease frequency 100% Remark: ^a Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). ^b Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. ^c Arceage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post. 100%				Mean c	of disease occurre	nce	36.00ab	10.40ab
	Remark: ^a Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). ^b Age of crops which were recorded until surveved date.	Remark: ^a Locations were arranged successively from the northern (Johor, Malacca and Negeri Sembilan), western (Selangor and Perak), eastern (Pahang, Terengganu and Kelantan) and southern (Pulau Pinang, Kedah and Perlis). ^b Age of crops which were recorded until surveyed date. ^c Arreage of cultivated orchards in acre. One acre, which equals to 0.4 ha, consists of approximately 449 posts with 4 plants per post.				Di	sease frequency		100%	

Rf = red-fleshed species (Hp = Hylocereus polyrhizus); Wf = white-fleshed species (Hu = Hylocereus undatus); Yf = yellow-fleshed species (Sm = Selenicereus megalanthus); DI = dis ease incidence; DS = disease severity.



Figure 2. The 11-year (1998-2008) of average weather data in Peninsular Malaysia from Meteorological Malaysia Department



Figure 3. Symptoms of necrotic spot disease on infected young shoot (a), mature stem (b) and unripe (c) and ripe fruit (d) of dragon fruit



Figure 4. The unwell-sanitized farm in Durian Tunggal, Malacca



Figure 5. The spindle-shaped of viral inclusion bodies (arrow) on infected necrotic spot stems of dragon fruit under TEM at (a) 4000X and (b) 20,000X

fected plants out of the orchards. Le Bellec *et al.* (2006) recommended pruning all the injured and entangled stems at the first year after planting. Some orchards were regularly treated with effective microbe and application of insecticide, such as in Sepang (Selangor) and Jerantut (Pahang), respectively.

Moreover, this disease was encountered in all sampling states with the range of disease frequency around 40–100% (Table 1). This indicated that necrotic spot disease has infected at least one dragon fruit orchard in each surveyed state.

Detection of Plant Pathogenic Virus

Viral infection was detected on young stem with as necrotic small mottle or spot symptoms. TEM technique viewed the spindle-shaped inclusion bodies of suspected virus on infected stems at 4000 and 20,000X magnification (Figure 5). The inclusion bodies with similar shape have been observed in infected barrel cactus [*Ferrocactus acanthodes* (Lemaire) Britton & Rose] (Attanthom *et al.*, 1978) and whitefleshed species of dragon fruit (Fudl-Allah *et al.*, 1983) in California (USA).

Such inclusion bodies were suspected as aggregation of Cactus virus X, a filamentous rod potexvirus with 515-520 nm in size, which correspondingly caused distorted areoles, malformed spines, necrotic (Attanthom et al., 1978) stunted and systemic mottle symptoms (Fudl-Allah et al., 1983). That virus was also reported infecting same species in Taiwan with such symptoms on the infected white-fleshed dragon fruit (Liou et al., 2001; Liao et al., 2003) and on both white-fleshed and yellow species of dragon fruit in Okinawa Prefecture, Japan (Keiko & Miki, 2001). Its complete nucleotide sequence and genome organization have been determined as well (Liou et al., 2004). Attanthom et al. (1978) characterized that this virus was transmissible by plant sap inoculation and grafting.



Figure 6. Disease incidence (DI) and disease severity (DS) relationships for necrotic spot disease

Table 2. The Pearson coefficient correlation (r value) of disease occurrence with weather and cultural factors

Disease occurrence	Temperature	Rainfall	RH	Wind velocity	Acreage of orchard	Age of crops	Altitude
Incidence	0.126	-0.098	-0.174	0.172	0.401	0.398	0.115
Severity	0.217	-0.018	-0.227	0.166	0.284	0.389	0.076

Statistical Analysis

The relationship of DI and DS for this surveyed disease was indicated by value of $R^2 = 0.8995$ with coefficient correlation, R = 0.9484 (Figure 6). This value clarified that the incidence of disease could strongly affect the increase of disease severity level. Seem (1984) noted that such relationship could create an epidemiologically significant concept. It meant that the diseases occurred more severe and endemic unless control measures were immediately applied. Zimmermann and Granata (2002) explained once the wounded part of cacti plants was infected, disease development in the tissue was very rapid as the biochemical characteristics of their juicy cells were appropriate for the growth conditions of various biotic agents.

Statistical analysis showed that necrotic spot disease took place maximum in Malacca, with mean of incidence and severity level around 72.00% and 30.00%, respectively. In contrast, the minimum occurrence of disease was found on dragon fruit crops which were planted far away from infected orchards in Terengganu, i.e. around 13.60% and 4.00% for mean of DI and DS, respectively (Table 1).

Neither with climatic nor cultural factors had significant the Pearson coefficient (Table 2). The incidence and severity of disease weakly correlated with those factors indicated by both small negative and positive of r values. Higher coefficient correlations were shown by correlation of disease prevalence with age of crops and acreage of orchard. These results explained that disease frequently occurred and more severe on older plants as well as on larger plantation.

CONCLUSION

Necrotic spot disease has been widely detected in dragon fruit-growing areas in Peninsular Malaysia with necrotic small mottle or spot which later turned orange and rotted symptomps. The spindle-shaped inclusion bodies of virus, which was then suspected as *Cactus virus X*, have been observed with TEM technique. The most severe disease significantly occurred in Malacca, while the less occurrence was recorded in Terengganu. No considerable correlation was found between disease prevalence either with weather or cultural factors. Further study on the transmission of virus and disease-resistant variety of dragon fruit is important to be carried out in order to monitor the spread of disease and to develop the integrated disease management strategies on dragon fruit in Peninsular Malaysia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge and thank the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia for funding this research under Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) 01-01-07-094FR.

LITERATURE CITED

- Attanthom, S., L.G. Weathers, & D.J. Gumpf. 1978. Identification and Characterization of a Potexvirus from California Barrel Cactus. *Phytopathology* 68: 1401–1406.
- Bowen, K.L. 2004. Plant Disease Epidemiology, p. 281–294. In R. N. Trigiano, M. T. Windham & A. S. Windham (eds.), Plant Pathology: Concepts and Laboratory Exercises. 2nd Edition. CRC Press, New York.
- Cardoso, J.E., A.A. Santos, A.G. Rossetti, & J. C. Vidal. 2004. Relationship between Incidence and Severity of Cashew Gummosis in Semiarid North-eastern. *Brazilian Plant Pathology* 53: 363–367.
- Cheah, L.S. & W.M. Zulkarnain. 2008. Status of Pitaya Cultivation in Malaysia. *In* Seminar on Pitaya: Production, Market and Export-Challenges, and Prospects. IOI Hotel and Resorts, Putrajaya, Malaysia, 20 October, 2008. http://www.itfnet.org., modified 23/10/08.
- Cooke, B.M. 2006. Disease Assessment and Yield Loss, p. 43–80. *In* B.M. Cooke, D.G. Jones & B. Kaye (eds.), *The Epidemiology of Plant Diseases*. 2nd Edition. Springer, The Netherlands.
- Crane, J. & C. Balerdi, 2005. Pitaya Growing in the Florida Home Landscape. *IFAS Extension*, HS1068: 1–9.
- Fudl-Allah, A.E.-S.A., L.G. Weathers, & F.C. Greer. 1983. Characterization of a Potexvirus Isolated from Night-Blooming Cactus. *Plant Disease* 67: 438–440.
- Halimi, M.A. & M.G.M. Satar. 2007. *Mata Naga*. Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka, Kuala Lumpur. 74 p.

- Hoa, N.V. 2008. Current Research Activities and the Development of Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) for Pitaya in Vietnam. *In*: Seminar on Pitaya: Production, Market and Export-Challenges, and Prospects. Putrajaya, Malaysia, 20 October 2008. Available from: http://www.itfnet.org/, modified 23/10/08.
- Keiko, N. & S. Miki, 2001. Characterization of *Cactus virus X* from *Hylocereus undatus* and *Selenicereus megalanthus* Showing Mosaic Symptom. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 45: 325–330.
- Kranz, J. 1988. Measuring Plant Disease, p. 35–50. In J. Kranz & J. Rotem (eds.), Experimental Techniques in Plant Disease Epidemiology. Springer, The Netherland.
- Le Bellec, F., F. Vaillant & E. Imbert. 2006. Pitahaya (*Hylocereus* spp.): A New Fruit Crop, a Market with a Future. *Fruits* 61: 237–250.
- Liao, J.Y., C.A. Chang, C.R. Yen, & T.C. Deng. 2003. Detection and Incidence of *Cactus virus X* in Taiwan. *Plant Pathology Bulletin* 12: 225–234.
- Liou, M.R., Y.R. Chen & R.F. Liou. 2004. Complete Nucleotide Sequence and Genome Organization of a *Cactus virus X* strain from *Hylocereus undatus*. *Archives of Virology* 149: 1037–1043.
- Liou, M.R., C.L. Hung, & R.F. Liou. 2001. First Report of *Cactus virus X* on *Hylocereus undatus* (Cactaceae) in Taiwan. *Plant Disease* 85: 229.
- Luders, L. & G. McMahon. 2006. The Pitaya or Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus undatus*). *Agnote* 778: 1–4.
- Masratul-Hawa, M., P.Y. Hew, Z. Latiffah, Z. Maziah, H.D. Nagao, & B. Salleh. 2008. Aetiology of New Disease on Red-Fleshed Dragon Fruit (Hylocereus polyrhizus) Caused by Fusarium proliferatum. In International Conference on Plant Protection in the Tropics. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 27–29 August, 2008. http://www.mapps. org.my., modified 25/11/08.
- Masyahit, M., K. Sijam, Y. Awang, & M.G.M. Satar, 2008. Fungi Associated with Diseases on Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) in Peninsular Malaysia, p. 234–237. *In* A.R. Raha, K.L. Thong, B.C. Jong, W.Z. Saad & A.T.C. Leow (eds.), *Microbes: Biotechnology Engine for Health and Wealth Creation*. Malaysian Society for Microbiology, Kuantan, Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia, 16–19 August 2008.
- Masyahit, M., K. Sijam, Y. Awang, & M.G.M. Satar. 2009a. The First Report of the Occurrence of Anthracnose Disease Caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* on Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) in Peninsular Malaysia. *American Journal of Applied Science* 6: 902–912.

- Masyahit, M., K. Sijam, Y. Awang, & M.G.M. Satar. 2009b. First Report on Bacterial Soft Rot Disease on Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) in Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Agriculture* and Biology 11: 659–666.
- Mizrahi, Y., A. Nerd, & Y. Sitrit. 2002. New Fruits for Arid Climates, p. 378–384. *In J. Janick & A.* Whipkey (eds.), *Trends in New Crops and New Uses*. ASHS Press, Virginia.
- Palmateer, A.J., R.C. Ploetz, & E. van Santen. 2007. First Report of Anthracnose Caused by *Colletotrichum* gloeosporioides on Pitaya. *Plant Disease* 91: 631.
- Paull, R.E. 2007. Dragon Fruit. Department of Tropical Plant and Soil Sciences University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu. http://www.twowests.co.uk/weblog/archives/2006/07/dragon_frui t.html., modified 12/7/07.
- Seem, R.C. 1984. Disease Incidence and Severity Relationships. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 22: 133–150.

- Taba, S., N. Miyahara, K. Nasu, T. Takushi, & Z.-I. Moromizato. 2007. Fruit Rot of Strawberry Pear (Pitaya) Caused by *Bipolaris cactivora. Journal* of Genetic Plant Pathology 73: 374–376.
- Taba, S., D. Mikami, K. Takaesu, A. Ooshiro, Z.-I. Moromizato, S. Nakasone, & S. Kawano. 2006. Anthracnose of Pitaya (*Hylocereus undatus*) by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Japanese Journal Phytopathology 72: 25–27.
- Valencia-Botin, A.J., J.S. Sandoval-Islas, E. Cardenas-Soriano, T.J. Michallides & G. Rendon-Sanchez. 2003. *Botryosphaeria dothidea* Causing Stem Spots on *Hylocereus undatus* in Mexico. *Plant Pathology* 52: 803.
- Wang, C. L. & C.C. Lin. 2005. Fruit Rot of Pitaya and Stem Rot of Cacti in Taiwan. *Plant Pathology Bulletin* 14: 269–274.
- Zimmermann, H.G. & G. Granata, 2002. Insect Pests and Diseases, p. 235–254. *In P. S. Nobel* (ed.), *Cacti: Biology and Uses*. University of California Press, California.