

## Hybrid Education Program for Couples: A Strategy to Enhance Pregnancy Quality of Life

### *Edukasi Hybrid untuk Pasangan: Strategi Peningkatan Kualitas Hidup pada Kehamilan*

Sri Widatiningsih<sup>1</sup>✉, Mundarti<sup>1</sup>, Esti Handayani<sup>1</sup>, Christin Hiyana Tungga Dewi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Poltekkes Kemenkes Semarang, Indonesia

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Pregnancy involved physical, emotional, and social changes, making spousal support crucial in reducing stress, anxiety, and improving maternal quality of life. However, participation of mothers and husbands in prenatal classes in Indonesia remained low. A hybrid education model (online and face-to-face) had the potential to address these limitations.

**Objective:** This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of a digital-based hybrid companion class on improving the quality of life of pregnant women in Magelang City.

**Methods:** This was a quasi-experimental study with a non-randomized pretest-posttest with control group design. The sample consisted of 60 couples of reproductive age (30 intervention, 30 control) selected through simple random sampling. The hybrid class intervention included modules, educational videos, and mentoring through WhatsApp, conducted in four sessions. Quality of life was measured using WHOQOL-BREF at three time points and analyzed with GLM-RM.

**Results:** The intervention significantly improved the quality of life of pregnant women in the psychological domain ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $\eta^2 = 69.3\%$ ), social domain ( $p = 0.021$ ;  $\eta^2 = 34.2\%$ ), and environmental domain ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $\eta^2 = 65.7\%$ ), while the physical domain was not significant ( $p = 0.510$ ).

**Conclusion:** The digital-based hybrid companion class was effective in improving the quality of life of pregnant women in psychological, social, and environmental aspects. Integration of the program into antenatal services was recommended to enhance the role of husbands in pregnancy care.

**Keywords:** pregnancy; hybrid education; quality of life; antenatal

#### **ABSTRAK**

**Latar Belakang:** Masa kehamilan melibatkan perubahan fisik, emosional, dan sosial, sehingga dukungan suami berperan penting dalam menurunkan stres, kecemasan, serta meningkatkan kualitas hidup ibu. Namun, partisipasi ibu dan suami di Indonesia dalam kelas prenatal masih rendah. Model edukasi hybrid (daring dan tatap muka) berpotensi menjadi solusi atas keterbatasan tersebut.

**Tujuan:** Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menilai efektivitas kelas pendamping hybrid berbasis digital terhadap peningkatan kualitas hidup ibu hamil di Kota Magelang.

**Metode:** Penelitian quasi-experimental dengan desain non-randomized pretest-posttest with control group. Sampel terdiri dari 60 pasangan usia subur (30 intervensi, 30 kontrol) dipilih dengan simple random sampling. Intervensi kelas hybrid dengan modul, video edukasi, dan pendampingan melalui WhatsApp, dilakukan dalam empat sesi. Kualitas hidup diukur menggunakan WHOQOL-BREF pada tiga waktu dan dianalisis dengan GLM-RM.

**Hasil:** Intervensi meningkatkan kualitas hidup ibu hamil secara signifikan pada domain psikologis ( $p = 0,001$ ;  $\eta^2 = 69,3\%$ ), sosial ( $p = 0,021$ ;  $\eta^2 = 34,2\%$ ), dan lingkungan ( $p = 0,001$ ;  $\eta^2 = 65,7\%$ ), sedangkan domain fisik tidak signifikan ( $p = 0,510$ ).

**Kesimpulan:** Kelas pendamping hybrid berbasis digital efektif meningkatkan kualitas hidup ibu hamil, pada aspek psikologis, sosial, dan lingkungan. Integrasi program ke layanan antenatal direkomendasikan untuk meningkatkan peran suami dalam perawatan kehamilan.

**Kata Kunci:** kehamilan; kelas hybrid, kualitas hidup; antenatal

✉Corresponding author: [s.widatiningsih@gmail.com](mailto:s.widatiningsih@gmail.com)

Submitted 23 April 2025

Revised 09 August 2025

Accepted 09 October 2025

## INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy was an important stage in a woman's life that was characterized by various complex physical, emotional, and social transformations (Alizadeh-Dibazari, Abdolalipour and Mirghafourvand, 2023; Wallace et al., 2023). The presence and active involvement of husbands during this period was key in supporting the overall well-being of pregnant women (Eze et al., 2023; van Lonkhuijzen et al., 2023; Degefa et al., 2024).

Husband participation, especially in the form of emotional and physical support, was proven to reduce stress and anxiety levels due to hormonal changes and psychological challenges during pregnancy (Meirna Eka Fitriasnani et al., 2022; Rasidah and Rohmah, 2024). Studies showed that the role of husbands as primary supporters was able to improve the quality of life of pregnant women, including physical, mental, and social well-being (Boutib et al., 2022; Özer and Güvenç, 2024). Conversely, lack of husband support was associated with increased perinatal depression, health problems, and suboptimal fetal development (Tabita et al., 2021; Woromboni et al., 2022).

Antenatal education for husbands about aspects of pregnancy, childbirth, postpartum, and baby care was proven to be an effective intervention in increasing husbands' knowledge and role in supporting their wives (Kortsmit et al., 2020; Dagla et al., 2023; Jeong, Sullivan and Mccann, 2024). This education not only increased their insight, but also expanded husbands' ability to provide the necessary emotional, physical, and informational support, thus having a positive impact on the well-being of pregnant women (Mohammad Alipour et al., 2022; Palioura et al., 2023). Antenatal education programs involving husbands were proven effective in increasing couples' readiness to welcome the birth of a baby, strengthening family relationships, and preparing them for

postpartum challenges (Lafaurie-Villamil and Valbuena-Mojica, 2020; Anakwe et al., 2022).

In Indonesia, husband involvement in direct antenatal classes was still low (Lafaurie-Villamil and Valbuena-Mojica, 2020; Anakwe et al., 2022), which caused their lack of understanding about the vital role that should be played during pregnancy (Kucukkaya and Basgol, 2023; Wynter et al., 2024). Work busyness, limited time, and difficulty obtaining permission from workplaces were frequently found reasons (Wallace et al., 2023). Prenatal education based on hybrid methods (combination of online and face-to-face learning) for husbands was a promising solution to overcome these challenges (Sae-Eun Park and 1Assistant, 2022; Wallace et al., 2023). This education provided the necessary flexibility for husbands who had difficulty attending face-to-face sessions due to time or location constraints, so that more couples could be actively involved (Wallace et al., 2023).

The use of digital platforms for antenatal education increased husbands' knowledge and awareness, and strengthened their role in providing pregnancy care (Dagla et al., 2023). Research revealed that the use of training modules or digital media could increase husbands' understanding and concern for their responsibilities during pregnancy (Iskandar et al., 2023; Rahayu, 2023).

Husband involvement in supporting pregnancy care was proven to provide benefits not only for maternal health (Dehshiri et al., 2023; Arenlila Jamir, Sangeeta Kharde, 2024), but also for fetal development and more harmonious family relationships (Woromboni et al., 2022). Therefore, it was important to design antenatal education specifically targeted at husbands and integrated into antenatal services. This program would contribute to improving the quality of life of pregnant women by strengthening the role of

husbands in accompanying pregnant women throughout the pregnancy process.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Research Design

This study used a quasi-experimental design with a non-randomized pretest-posttest with control group approach. The research was conducted from June to August 2024 in Magelang City.

### B. Population and Sample

The research population consisted of couples of reproductive age in Magelang City who participated in KIH, totaling 255 pregnant women and their partners. The sample was calculated using a formula for known populations and resulted in 60 couples (30 intervention group and 30 control group). Groups were selected randomly using simple random sampling method. Research inclusion criteria included pregnant women with gestational age of 12–32 weeks, who had made at least one antenatal visit, had communication devices, and were willing to participate in all stages of the research.

### C. Data Collection Technique

The intervention was delivered through a hybrid-based companion class that combined face-to-face and online meetings. Learning media used included modules, educational videos, and mentoring through WhatsApp groups with midwife facilitators. Classes were conducted in 4 sessions with themes of pregnancy, childbirth, postpartum, and childcare.

### D. Research Instruments

Data collection was conducted using structured questionnaires and the standardized WHOQOL-BREF measurement tool to measure the quality of life of mothers during pregnancy. Measurements were taken at three time points: pretest, posttest 1, and posttest 2 (1 week and 3 weeks after intervention) to capture longitudinal changes in quality of life.

### E. Data Analysis Technique

Bivariate analysis was performed using paired t-test and independent t-test. Multivariate analysis used General Linear Model-Repeated Measures (GLM-RM) to estimate changes in the quality of life of pregnant women at the three measurement time points and considered the significant effect of the intervention. Ethical approval was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Jenderal Achmad Yani University Yogyakarta (Skep/350/KEPK/XI/2022).

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### A. Research Results

A complete description of the characteristics of pregnant women respondents could be observed in Table 1. Table 1 showed the characteristics of respondents with an average maternal age of 28 years. The majority of pregnant women in both groups had 1–2 pregnancies. In terms of education, most participants had a high school education level, namely 53.3% in the intervention group and 50% in the control group. For occupation, the majority of respondents in the intervention group worked as self-employed (36.7%), while the control group were mostly housewives (36.6%). The majority of respondents in both groups had income below the minimum wage, namely 43.3% in the intervention group and 50% in the control group.

Table 2 showed the results of the *paired t-test* analysis to examine changes in each group. There were significant changes in pregnant women's behavior across all domains (physical, psychological, social, and environmental) in both groups.

In the physical domain, the intervention group recorded a greater increase between pretest and posttest 1 with a mean difference (Mean Diff) of 1.867  $\pm$  2.080 ( $p = 0.001$ ) compared to the control group. The increase from posttest 1 to posttest 2 in the intervention group was

also higher ( $1.500 \pm 1.358$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ) compared to the control group.

In the psychological domain, the intervention group showed a greater significant change between pretest and posttest 1 (Mean Diff  $3.233 \pm 1.524$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ). The increase between posttest 1 and posttest 2 was also greater in the intervention group ( $1.133 \pm 1.042$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ).

The social domain also showed significant increases in both groups. In the intervention group, the mean difference from pretest to posttest 1 was  $2.167 \pm 1.555$  ( $p = 0.001$ ), much higher compared to the control group. The change from posttest 1 to posttest 2 was greater in the intervention group ( $1.000 \pm 0.871$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ).

In the environmental domain, the intervention group showed a greater significant change from pretest to posttest 1 (Mean Diff  $5.667 \pm 2.354$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ). The increase between posttest 1 and posttest 2 was also significant in both groups, with a Mean Diff of  $1.700 \pm 1.622$  ( $p = 0.001$ ) in the intervention group.

**Table 1. Profile of Pregnant Women by Intervention and Control Groups**

| No. | Characteristics               | Intervention (N=30) |      | Control (N=30)    |                   |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|
|     |                               | (Mean $\pm$ SD)     |      | (Mean $\pm$ SD)   |                   |
|     |                               | f                   | %    | f                 | %                 |
| 1   | Age                           |                     |      | $28.10 \pm 4.544$ | $28.07 \pm 4.487$ |
| 2   | Number of Pregnancies         |                     |      | $1.70 \pm 0.877$  | $2.07 \pm 0.691$  |
| 3   | Education                     |                     |      |                   |                   |
|     | Elementary School             | 2                   | 6.7  | 2                 | 6.7               |
|     | Junior High School            | 5                   | 16.7 | 6                 | 20                |
|     | Senior High School            | 16                  | 53.3 | 15                | 50                |
|     | Diploma                       | 1                   | 3.3  | 2                 | 6.7               |
|     | Bachelor's Degree             | 6                   | 20   | 5                 | 16.7              |
| 4   | Occupation                    |                     |      |                   |                   |
|     | Laborer                       | 4                   | 13.3 | 2                 | 6.7               |
|     | Housewife                     | 7                   | 23.3 | 11                | 36.6              |
|     | Private Sector                | 6                   | 20   | 7                 | 23.3              |
|     | Self-employed                 | 11                  | 36.7 | 8                 | 26.7              |
|     | Civil Servant/Military/Police | 2                   | 6.7  | 2                 | 6.7               |
| 5   | Income                        |                     |      |                   |                   |
|     | Below Minimum Wage            | 13                  | 43.3 | 15                | 50                |
|     | At Minimum Wage               | 8                   | 26.7 | 7                 | 23.3              |
|     | Above Minimum Wage            | 9                   | 30   | 8                 | 26.7              |

**Table 2. Analysis of Paired T-Test QOL Domain of Pregnant Women in Magelang City Before and After Intervention**

| Domain        | Intervention       |        | Control            |      |
|---------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|------|
|               | N = 30             |        | N = 30             |      |
|               | Mean Diff $\pm$ SD | Sig.   | Mean Diff $\pm$ SD | Sig. |
| Physical      | Pre                | Post 1 | $1,867 \pm 2,080$  | 0    |
|               | Post 1             | Post 2 | $1,500 \pm 1,358$  | 0    |
| Psychological | Pre                | Post 1 | $3,233 \pm 1,524$  | 0    |
|               | Post 1             | Post 2 | $1,133 \pm 1,042$  | 0.02 |
| Social        | Pre                | Post 1 | $2,167 \pm 1,555$  | 0    |
|               | Post 1             | Post 2 | $1,000 \pm 0,871$  | 0    |
| Environmental | Pre                | Post 1 | $5,667 \pm 2,354$  | 0    |
|               | Post 1             | Post 2 | $1,700 \pm 1,622$  | 0    |

Table 3 showed the results of the independent t-test analysis to compare the intervention and control groups. The results indicated significant differences in the quality of life of pregnant women between the two groups across various

domains (physical, psychological, social, and environmental) after the intervention. In the pretest, no significant differences were found in all domains.

In the physical domain, posttest 1 showed a significant increase in the

intervention group (Mean =  $23.33 \pm 1.155$ ). This result continued in posttest 2, with the intervention group consistently showing higher scores (Mean Diff = 0.800;  $p = 0.003$ ). In the psychological domain, no significant difference was found in the pretest (Mean Diff = 0.167;  $p = 0.692$ ). However, in posttest 1 and posttest 2, the intervention group showed higher scores.

In the social domain, significant differences were observed in posttest 1 (Mean Diff = 1.867;  $p = 0.001$ ) and posttest 2

(Mean Diff = 1.767;  $p = 0.001$ ), with the intervention group's scores being consistently higher. The environmental domain also recorded significant differences after the intervention. In posttest 1, the intervention group showed a much greater increase (Mean =  $31.70 \pm 1.512$ ). This increase continued in posttest 2, with the intervention group recording a higher average score (Mean Diff = 4.167;  $p = 0.001$ ).

**Table 3. Independent T-Test Analysis of QOL Domains of Pregnant Women in Magelang City Before and After Intervention Between Groups**

| Domain        | Intervention Group (N=90) |       | Control Group (N=80) |       | Mean Diff. | Sig.  |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|-------|
|               | Mean                      | SD    | Mean                 | SD    |            |       |
| Physical      | Pretest                   | 21,47 | 1,613                | 21,2  | 1,648      | 0,267 |
|               | Posttest 1                | 23,33 | 1,1155               | 21,93 | 1,437      | 1,4   |
|               | Posttest 2                | 24,23 | 0,728                | 23,43 | 1,223      | 0,8   |
| Psychological | Pretest                   | 17,9  | 1,626                | 18,07 | 1,617      | 0,167 |
|               | Posttest 1                | 21,13 | 1,074                | 18,37 | 1,474      | 2,767 |
|               | Posttest 2                | 21,53 | 0,9                  | 19,5  | 1,432      | 2,033 |
| Social        | Pretest                   | 10,73 | 1,818                | 10,7  | 1,418      | 0,033 |
|               | Posttest 1                | 12,9  | 0,923                | 11,03 | 1,629      | 1,867 |
|               | Posttest 2                | 13,8  | 0,805                | 12,03 | 1,217      | 1,767 |
| Environmental | Pretest                   | 26,03 | 3,09                 | 26,23 | 3,07       | 0,2   |
|               | Posttest 1                | 31,7  | 1,512                | 27,33 | 2,604      | 4,367 |
|               | Posttest 2                | 33,2  | 1,064                | 29,03 | 1,65       | 4,167 |

**Table 4. Fixed Model GLM-RM Test of Quality of Life Variables of Pregnant Women**

| Dependent Variable           | Physical                        | Psychological                                   | Social                          | Environmental                                       |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Sig. Intervention            | 0,51                            | 0,001   | 0,021                           | 0,001   |
| Confounding                  | No proven confounding variables | Number of Pregnancies (0,046)<br>Income (0,008) | No proven confounding variables | Age (0,008)<br>Occupation (0,001)<br>Income (0,006) |
| <b>Posttest 1 vs Pretest</b> |                                 |   |                                 |   |
| Sig.                         | 0,245                           | 0,001   | 0,005                           | 0,001   |
| Partial Eta Squared          | 0,07                            | 0,693   | 0,342                           | 0,657   |
| <b>Posttest 2 vs Pretest</b> |                                 |   |                                 |   |
| Sig.                         | 0,385                           | 0,001   | 0,012                           | 0,004   |
| Partial Eta Squared          | 0,04                            | 0,524   | 0,29                            | 0,359   |

## B. Discussion

The research results showed that the hybrid companion class intervention significantly improved the quality of life of pregnant women, particularly in the psychological, social, and environmental domains. In the psychological domain, a significant improvement was observed from the GLM-RM test results with a large effect size of 69.3% from pretest to posttest 1 in the intervention group compared to the control group, demonstrating the effectiveness of this approach. The education provided to partners not only increased their knowledge but also strengthened empathy and involvement in supporting pregnant women (Wulandari RD, Laksono AD, 2022). This was important because pregnancy was often accompanied by hormonal and psychological changes that could increase the risk of stress and anxiety (Mohammadpour et al., 2021; Dehshiri, Ghorashi and Lotfipur, 2023; Arenlila Jamir, Sangeeta Kharde, 2024). Emotional support from partners helped create emotional stability in pregnant women, making them feel more valued and able to face pregnancy challenges (Mohammadpour et al., 2021).

The identification of confounding factors such as birth order ( $p = 0.046$ ) and income ( $p = 0.008$ ) provided important insights into how previous pregnancy experience and economic conditions influenced intervention outcomes. Mothers who had previous pregnancy experience tended to be more adaptive to emotional challenges because they had gone through the same process before (Penner and Rutherford, 2022; Semeia et al., 2023). On the other hand, higher income enabled partners to provide additional resources, such as access to quality healthcare services or supportive environments, which contributed to improving maternal quality of life (Puspitasari, 2023; Wójcik, Anisko and Siatkowski, 2024).

In the social domain, the intervention also provided significant improvement, with results showing a higher mean difference in posttest 1 in the intervention group. These results indicated that the intervention successfully improved the interpersonal relationships of pregnant women through strengthening communication and partner support. Studies stated that adequate social support during pregnancy increased mothers' confidence in facing pregnancy challenges (Teskereci, Akgün and Boz, 2022; Santos et al., 2023). In this study, the hybrid approach (face-to-face and online) that facilitated direct and virtual interactions with partners and healthcare providers contributed to strengthening social support (Jiang and Zhu, 2022; Rosenbaum, Gillen and Hutson, 2024).

In the environmental domain, the intervention provided a highly significant effect, with differences in improvement between groups at posttest 1. This showed that partner support strengthened with access to educational resources influenced pregnant women's perceptions of their environment. Access to adequate health information could increase pregnant women's sense of security (Rosenbaum, Gillen and Hutson, 2024). In this study, confounding factors such as age, occupation, and income showed that socioeconomic conditions had a significant effect on mothers' perceptions of environmental quality.

Conversely, in the physical domain, although there was an increase from pretest to posttest 1 in the intervention group, this change was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.510$ ). This result indicated that education-based interventions, although effective in the psychological and social domains, had limitations in directly affecting physical changes in pregnant women. Factors such as mothers' basic health conditions, physical fatigue due to daily activities, and unavoidable physiological changes during

pregnancy were likely to be obstacles (Wu et al., 2021). For example, physical complaints such as back pain, nausea, or sleep disturbances often occurred during pregnancy and were difficult to overcome with education alone without more specific physical intervention support (Imelda, 2021; Altaş, Lüleci and Hidiroğlu, 2023).

Changes in the physical domain often required specifically designed programs, such as structured physical exercise or dietary management. Programs such as pregnancy yoga or prenatal exercise, which could help improve body flexibility, reduce pain, and improve posture, proved to have a direct positive impact on the physical health of pregnant women (Franisia, Dahlan and Suralaga, 2022; Ribeiro, Andrade and Nunes, 2022; Altaş, Lüleci and Hidiroğlu, 2023). In addition, proper nutritional management through dietary counseling could improve physical conditions by ensuring the fulfillment of nutritional needs required to support maternal health and fetal growth (Marshall et al., 2022). Although partner education provided significant emotional support, additional components were needed in the intervention program to address physical problems more directly and effectively (Alizadeh-Dibazari, Abdolalipour and Mirghafourvand, 2023).

Overall, this study showed that the hybrid-based companion class with partner participation was an effective strategy to improve the quality of life of pregnant women (Mazaheri Habibi et al., 2024). This intervention strengthened social

support, increased emotional stability, and created a more supportive environment for pregnant women. However, it was important to consider socioeconomic factors as an integral part of this intervention to ensure its success in broader groups. Policy support that expanded access to similar programs could also enhance the sustainability of this intervention on a population scale.

## CONCLUSION

Intervention based on hybrid education with partner involvement significantly improved the quality of life of pregnant women, particularly in the psychological, social, and environmental domains. Partner support strengthened with structured education became a key factor in enhancing emotional stability, social relationships, and pregnant women's perception of their environment. Hybrid-based partner class programs involving partners could be widely adopted by health facilities as a strategy to improve the quality of life of pregnant women and partner participation in maternal and child health programs. This study had limitations in sample coverage and had not explored external factors such as family culture or socioeconomic conditions. Further studies with larger populations, longitudinal designs, and integration of contextual variables were needed to strengthen generalization and assess the sustainability of intervention effects.

## REFERENCES

Alizadeh-Dibazari, Z., Abdolalipour, S. and Mirghafourvand, M. (2023) 'The effect of prenatal education on fear of childbirth, pain intensity during labour and childbirth experience: a scoping review using systematic approach and meta-analysis', *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 23(1), pp. 1–26. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-05867-0>.

Altaş, Z.M., Lüleci, N.E. and Hidiroğlu, S. (2023) 'Evaluation of Physical Activity Level and Related Factors in Pregnancy During the COVID-19 Period', *International Journal of Public Health*, 68(May), pp. 1–9. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/ijph.2023.1605800>.

Anakwe, A. et al. (2022) 'Men's Preconception Health and Fertility Intentions: A Latent Class Analysis Approach', *Jurnal Kesehatan Vokasional*, Vol. 10 No. 3, 2025 <https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/jkesvo> Published online August 31, 2025

*American Journal of Men's Health*, 16(6). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/15579883221135764>.

Arenlila Jamir, Sangeeta Kharde, A.D. (2024) 'Attitudes of First-Time Fathers in South India Toward Their Role in Their Wives' Prenatal Period', *Nursing for Women's Health* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nwh.2024.01.001>.

Boutib, A. et al. (2022) 'Quality of Life During Pregnancy from 2011 to 2021: Systematic Review', *International Journal of Women's Health*, 14(August), pp. 975–1005. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S361643>.

Dagla, C. et al. (2023) 'The Effect of Antenatal Education on Expectant Fathers' Attitudes toward Breastfeeding and Attachment to the Fetus', *Nursing Reports*, 13(1), pp. 243–254. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/nursrep13010023>.

Degefa, N. et al. (2024) 'Male partners involvement in their wives' antenatal care and its associated factors in southern Ethiopia. A community-based cross-sectional study', *Helijon*, 10(7), p. e28276. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.helijon.2024.e28276>.

Dehshiri, M., Ghorashi, Z. and Lotfipur, S.M. (2023) 'Effects of husband involvement in prenatal care on couples' intimacy and postpartum blues in primiparous women: A quasi-experimental study', *International Journal of Community Based Nursing and Midwifery*, 11(3), pp. 179–189. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.30476/ijcbnm.2023.97739.2204>.

Eze, I.I. et al. (2023) 'Males' perceptions and practices towards maternity care in rural southeast Nigeria: Policy implication of participatory action research for safe motherhood', *PLoS ONE*, 18(3 March), pp. 1–15. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0282469>.

Franisia, C.A., Dahlan, F.M. and Suralaga, C. (2022) 'Science Midwifery The Effectiveness of Prenatal Yoga on Back Pain in Third Trimester Pregnant Women at the Depok Clinic in 2022', *Science Midwifery*, 10(3), pp. 2721–9453. Available at: [www.midwifery.iocspublisher.org](http://www.midwifery.iocspublisher.org).

Imelda, M. (2021) 'Effectiveness of Prenatal Massage on Reducing Physical Complaints in Pregnant Women Trimester Iii', *Science Midwifery journal*, 8(2), pp. 2014–2017. Available at: <https://midwifery.iocspublisher.org/index.php/midwifery/article/view/157>.

Iskandar, R., Sunaryanto Sunaryanto and Agung Haryono (2023) 'Online Learning: a Challenge for Parents', *International Journal of Education and Literature*, 2(1), pp. 01–11. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.55606/ijel.v2i1.48>.

Jeong, J., Sullivan, E.F. and Mccann, J.K. (2024) 'Effectiveness of father-inclusive interventions on maternal, paternal, couples, and early child outcomes in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review', pp. 1–30. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2023.115971>.Effectiveness.

Jiang, L. and Zhu, Z. (2022) 'Maternal mental health and social support from online communities during pregnancy\*', *Health and Social Care in the Community*, 30(6), pp. e6332–e6344. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.14075>.

Kortsmit, K. et al. (2020) 'Paternal Involvement and Maternal Perinatal Behaviors: Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System, 2012-2015', *Public Health Reports*, 135(2), pp. 253–261. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033354920904066>.

Kucukkaya, B. and Basgol, S. (2023) 'The effect of perceived spousal support on childbirth self-efficacy on pregnant women in turkey', *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 23(1), pp. 1–8. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-05508-6>.

Lafaurie-Villamil, M.M. and Valbuena-Mojica, Y. (2020) 'Male partner participation in pregnancy, childbirth and postpartum: Health teammembers' perceptions in Bogota', *Enfermería: Cuidados Humanizados*, 9(2), pp. 129–148. Available at:

<https://revistas.ucu.edu.uy/index.php/enermeriacuidadoshumanizados/article/view/2288>.

Lonkhuijzen, R.M. *et al.* (2023) 'The role of the partner in the support of a pregnant woman's healthy diet: an explorative qualitative study', *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 23(1), p. 760.

Marshall, N.E. *et al.* (2022) 'The importance of nutrition in pregnancy and lactation: lifelong consequences', 226(5), pp. 607–632. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.12.035>.

Mazaheri Habibi, M.R. *et al.* (2024) 'Mobile health apps for pregnant women usability and quality rating scales: a systematic review', *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 24(1), pp. 1–9. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-06206-z>.

Meirna Eka Fitriasnani *et al.* (2022) 'Husband Support on Pregnant Mother's Anxiety in Facing Labor During the Covid-19 Pandemic in Tamanan Kediri 2022', *Journal of Global Research in Public Health*, 7(2), pp. 163–168. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.30994/jgrph.v7i2.413>.

Mohammad Alipour, M. *et al.* (2022) 'The Effect of Parent Education on the Extent of Paternal Adaptation in First-Time Fathers', *International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine*, 13(2), pp. 532–542. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.47552/ijam.v13i2.2741>.

Mohammadpour, M. *et al.* (2021) 'The effect of counseling on fathers' stress and anxiety during pregnancy: a randomized controlled clinical trial', *BMC Psychiatry*, 21(1), pp. 1–8. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03217-y>.

Özer, E. and Güvenç, G. (2024) 'Developing the quality of life in pregnancy scale (PREG-QOL)', *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 24(1). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-024-06771-x>.

Palioura, Z. *et al.* (2023) 'Fathers' Educational Needs Assessment in Relation to Their Participation in Perinatal Care: A Systematic Review', *Healthcare (Switzerland)*, 11(2). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11020200>.

Penner, F. and Rutherford, H.J.V. (2022) 'Emotion regulation during pregnancy: a call to action for increased research, screening, and intervention', *Archives of Women's Mental Health*, 25(2), pp. 527–531. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-022-01204-0>.

Puspitasari, N. (2023) 'Quality of Life During Pregnancy: a Comparative Study Between Urban and Rural Areas in Indonesia', *Jurnal Biometrika dan Kependudukan*, 12(1), pp. 64–73. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.20473/jbk.v12i1.2023.64-73>.

Rahayu, P.D. (2023) 'View of The Role of Fathers in Early Childhood Care Programs During the Covid-19 Pandemic from the Paternal Involvement Dimension', *Jurnal Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini Undiksha*, 11, pp. 17–23. Available at: <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JPPAUD/article/view/56199/26280>.

Rasidah, R. and Rohmah, F.N. (2024) 'The Relationship Between Husband's Support and Anxiety Level of Primigravida Pregnant Women in Third Trimester at Puskesmas Gamping I, Sleman Yogyakarta', *Jurnal Ilmiah Kebidanan (The Journal Of Midwifery)*, 12(1), pp. 12–22. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.33992/jik.v12i1.3162>.

Ribeiro, M.M., Andrade, A. and Nunes, I. (2022) 'Physical exercise in pregnancy: Benefits, risks and prescription', *Journal of Perinatal Medicine*, 50(1), pp. 4–17. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2021-0315>.

Rosenbaum, D.L., Gillen, M.M. and Hutson, D.J. (2024) 'The relationship between social media use and pregnancy-related body image', *Women's Health*, 20. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/17455057241309496>.

Sae-Eun Park, K.-S.B. and 1Assistant (2022) 'interactions in South Korea: a quasi-experimental study', 28(2), pp. 132–141.

Santos, P.C. *et al.* (2023) 'Influence of physical activity on self-esteem and anxiety during pregnancy: a longitudinal prospective study', pp. 340–350.

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| Semeia, L. <i>et al.</i> (2023) 'Impact of maternal emotional state during pregnancy on fetal heart rate variability', <i>Comprehensive Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 14(February), p. 100181. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpne.2023.100181">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpne.2023.100181</a> .                       | 1–9.   | Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63355-7">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63355-7</a> . |
| Tabita, E. <i>et al.</i> (2021) 'Dukungan Suami Terhadap Ibu Hamil di Kelurahan Banyumodal Jawa Tengah', <i>Nursing Current: Jurnal Keperawatan</i> , 8(2), pp. 205–216.  | Woromboni, M.S., Ernawati and Nurafriani (2022) 'Pengaruh Dukungan Suami Terhadap Kesiapan Ibu Hamil Dalam Mencapai Becoming A Mother', <i>Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa &amp; Penelitian Keperawatan</i> , 2(1), pp. 141–147.   |   |
| Teskereci, G., Akgün, M. and Boz, İ. (2022) 'The precursors's adaptation to pregnancy, prenatal attachment and maternal self-confidence', <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 42(8), pp. 3552–3559. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615.2022.2158312">https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615.2022.2158312</a> .  | Wu, H. <i>et al.</i> (2021) 'Health-related quality of life in different trimesters during pregnancy', <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 19(1), pp. 1–11. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-021-01811-y">https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-021-01811-y</a> .                             |   |
| Wallace, H.J. <i>et al.</i> (2023) 'How should online antenatal and parenting education be structured according to parents? Qualitative findings from a mixed-methods retrospective study', <i>Women's Health</i> , 19. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/17455057221150098">https://doi.org/10.1177/17455057221150098</a> . | Wulandari RD, Laksono AD, M.R. (2022) 'Does Husband's Education Level Matter to Antenatal Care Visits? A Study on Poor Households in Indonesia', <i>Indian J Community Med</i> , 47(2), pp. 192–195. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.4103/ijcm.ijcm_981_21">https://doi.org/10.4103/ijcm.ijcm_981_21</a> . |   |
| Wójcik, M., Anisko, B. and Siatkowski, I. (2024) 'Quality of life in women with normal pregnancy', <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 14(1), pp. 1–20. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.846">https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.846</a> .   | Wynter, K. <i>et al.</i> (2024) 'Barriers and opportunities for health service access among fathers: A review of empirical evidence', <i>Health Promotion Journal of Australia</i> , (January), pp. 1–20. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.846">https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.846</a> .            |   |