NITROGEN RECOVERY AS AFFECTED BY FORM AND TIME OF FERTILIZER APPLICATION

Zuhdi S. Wibowo*)

Abstract

The experiment to know the nitrogen recovery as affected by form and time of fertilizer application has been conducted at the Laboratory of Biotechnology of IAEA, Seibersdorf, Austria. The fertilizer used was ammonium sulfate with 1,027% 15 N atom exess applied in liquid and solid form. The fertilizer application was done three days before seeding (T_1 and T_2) and two weeks after seeding (T_3 and T_4). Sudangrass was used as test plant which fertilized with rate of 100 kg N ha-1

The results of the experiment shows that ammonium sulfate applied in liquid form on an alkaline soil with high content of calcium carbonate had undergone a significant lost. This loss was probably due to ammonia volatilization and this could not be avoided although the fertilizer was applied two weeks after seeding.

Introduction

One of the processes which affects the nitrogenous fertilizer recovery is loss of nitrogen from soil in gaseous form by two major mechanisms, ammonia volatilization and denitrification. Ammonia gas may be lost to the atmosphere whenever ammonium compounds are applied to the soil surface and the greatest losses will occur from cal soil at high soil pH (Fenn & Kessel, 1976 cit. Rolston, 1978). Fertilizers such as urea and ammonium sulphate will undergo loss of more than 50% of the amount applied, if precautions are not taken. The best solution for minimizing ammonia loss is to incorporate or place ammonium compounds approximately 10 cm below relatively dry surface soil (Rolston, 1978).

On a dry land farming, loss of nitrogen due to denitrification may not occur except at a particular period when soil oxygen becomes depleted in narrow soil-water content range near saturation.

In this experiment, instead of measuring nitrogen losses to atmosphere by sophisticated equipments (Denmead et al., 1974, 1976, cit. Rolston, 1978), an object of the present experiment was to quantify the recovery of applied ammonium sulphate expressed in % 15N atom excess, nitrogen derived from fertilizer (NdfF) and fertilizer use efficiency (% FUE). The calculations of the above parameters can only be carried out by using isotope techniques and in this case ¹⁵N labelled ammonium sulphate was used.

^{*)}IAEA Follow from BPTK Gambung, Indonesia.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in the field of the IAEA Seibersdorf Laboratory, Austria, from July 13 to October 9, 1984. The soil characteristics of the field are as follows, pH (H₂O) 8.3 and (Kal) 7.7, texture clay loam with clay content 35.9%, loam content 30.0% and sand content 34.1%, gravel at 0 — 40 cm soil depth 0.2 — 5 mm ranging from 15 — 30%, total nitrogen content 0.3%, humus content 6.76%, CaCO₃ content 14.3% and high content of available K and P (Claus et al., 1984).

The fertilizer used in this experiment was ammonium sulphate with 1.027% ¹⁵N atom excess applied in solid and liquid form. Application of fertilizer was done first at three days before seeding (T₁ and T₂) and the second at two weeks after seeding (T₃ and T₄) when the young plants had 3 — 4 leaves. The solid form was broadcasted on the plot surface and followed by incorporation into the soil about 5 cm depth. The liquid form was made by dissolving solid fertilizer into 400 ml demineralized water per plot and applied by means of spray on the plot surface. In order to have the same condition with solid application after spraying the soil surface was also worked in. Soon after application of the fertilizers, the field was irrigated about one hour using sprinkler irrigation just to get it homogeneously moist. The rate of application per plot was calculated equal to the amount of 100 kg N/ha. This relatively high rate of application was aimed to keep enough nitrogen supply during the plant growth and a better experimental result.

Sudangrass was seeded at 40 cm inter-row distance and 10 cm interplants distance. The plot size was 1.2×1.6 m located close to each other in 0.5 m rectangle distance. The whole experiment therefore consists of 4 treatment (T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) with 5 times replication. The field was kept clean from weeds during the experimental time and frequently irrigated by means of sprinkler irrigation, 2-4 hours a day, depending on the dryness of the field at a particular time.

The plot was harvested on October 9, 1984, and the area harvested per plot 1.2×0.9 m after taking into account the plot border. Fresh weight of harvested plant per plot and its subsample was recorded. The subsamples were put dried at 70° C overnight and subsequently the dry weights recorded. After grinding, plant samples were analyzed for 15 N and total nitrogen.

Results and Discussion

m aı The figures presented in Table 1 show very clearly that by applying ammonium sulphate in liquid form to such type of soil, the ¹⁵N atom excess (%) decreased significantly (LSD 0.05). The ¹⁵N atom excess was also used to calculate nitrogen derived from fertilizer (% NdfF) and the fertilizer use efficiency (% FUE).

A significant difference in ¹⁵N at. exc. between solid compared to liquid application could be observed when the fertilizer was given before seeding. The soil surface was almost dry at the time of application of liquid form and the fertilizer got therefore into direct contact with time gravels which resulted in ammonia formation.

Table 1. Fertilizer nitrogen recovery of three month old sudangrass (% 15N at. exc., % NdfF, % FUE) applied with different times and forms of 15N labelled ammonium sulphate.

| Time and form of fertilizer application | % 15N excess | % NdfF | % F.U.E. | |
|---|--------------|----------|----------|--|
| $T_1 = $ solid, before seeding | 0.294 bcd | 28.8 bcd | 28.5 bcd | |
| T ₂ = liquid, before seeding | 0.170 a | 16.4 a | 13.9 a | |
| $T_3 = $ solid, after seeding | 0.287 bc | 28.0 abc | 25.2 abc | |
| T ₄ = Liquid, after seeding | 0.228 ab | 22.4 ab | 17.2 ab | |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.117 | 11.5 | 13.2 | |

The same letter on the figures refers to no significant difference at LSD 0.05.

Same trend in ¹⁵N at. exc. was found between solid and liquid application two weeks after seeding. However, this was not statistically significant.

From the record of dry matter yield, it could lead to misinterpretation because of its unsensitivity compared to the figure on nitrogen fertilizer yield as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Dry matter yield (ton/ha) and nitrogen fertilizer yield (kg/ha) of three month old sudangrass applied with different times and forms of ¹⁵N ammonium sulphate.

| Time and form of application | Dry matter yield (ton/ha) | N fertilizer yield (kg/ha) |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $T_1 = \text{solid}$, before seeding | 5.0 abc | 28.5 bcd · |
| T ₂ = liquid, before seeding | 5.4 bcd | 13.9 a |
| T ₃ = solid, after seeding | 4.9 ab | 25.2 abc |
| T ₄ = liquid, after seeding | 4.3 a | 17.2 ab |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.06 | 13.2 |

Conclussion

Ammonium sulphate applied in liquid form on an alkaline soil with high content of calcium carbonate has undergone a significant loss. This loss was probably due to ammonia volatilization and this could not be avoided although the fertilizer was applied two weeks after seeding.

References

- 1. Rolston, D.E., (1978) Pratt, P.F. (ed.), Volatile losses of nitrogen from Soil, Management of nitrogen in irrigated agriculture. New York, 169 191.
- 2. Broeshart, H., (1974) Quantitative measurement of fertilizer uptake by crops. Neth. J. Agric. Sci., 22: 245 — 254.

Appendix 1. Data obtained from the field and laboratory (Exp. XIII/84 — Wibowo)

| Treati Re | | Fresh w.h. plant | DM Yield/ha | % N | N Yield/ha | ₀₁5 _{N a.e.} | | ifF F.U |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|------|---------------|-----------------------|------|------------|
| | 1 | 3.282 | 4907 | 2.14 | 105.0 | 0.367 | 0.36 | 37.8 |
| | 2 | 2.723 | 4283 | 2.36 | 101.1 | 0.309 | 0.30 | 30.3 |
| | 3 | 3.720 | 6255 | 1.52 | 95.1 | 0.325 | 0.32 | 30.4 |
| • | 4 | 3,499 | 5572 | 2.07 | 115.3 | 0.326 | 0.32 | 36.9 |
| | 5 | 2.390 | 4027 | 1.26 | 50.7 | 0.142 | 0.14 | 7. |
| $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | | | | | | | | 28.5 |
| т2 | 1 | 3.345 | 5382 | 1.68 | 90.4 | 0.275 | 0.27 | 24.4 |
| - | 2 | 3.126 | 4894 | 1.73 | 84.7 | 0.310 | 0.30 | 25.4 |
| | 2 3 4 | 2.424 | 4212 | 1.13 | 47.6 | 0.052 | 0.05 | 2.4 |
| | 4 | 3.347 | 5432 | 1.84 | 99.9 | 0.054 | 0.05 | 5.0 |
| 5 | 5 | 3.897 | · 6877 | 1.17 | 80.5 | 0.158 | 0.15 | 12.1 |
| $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ | | | | | | | | 13.9 |
| т ₃ 1 | 1 | 3.284 | 4868 | 2.41 | 117.3 | 0.275 | 0.27 | 32.7 |
| , | 2 | 2.869 | 4582 | 1.75 | 80.2 | 0.373 | 0.36 | 28.9 |
| | 3 | 3.187 | 5137 | 1.99 | 102.2 | 0.286 | 0.26 | 28.6 |
| | 4 | 3.204 | 4888 | 1.98 | 96.8 | 0.239 | 0.23 | 22.3 |
| | 5 | 2.681 | 4798 | 1.16 | 55.6 | 0.264 | 0.26 | 14.4 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | | | | | | | | 25.2 |
| T ₄ | 1 | 2.775 | 4128 | 1.99 | 82.1 | 0.311 | 0.30 | 24.6 |
| 7 | | 3.294 | 4876 | 2.02 | 98.5 | 0.261 | 0.26 | 25.6 |
| | 2 | 1.816 | 2924 | 1.34 | 39.2 | 0.141 | 0.14 | 5.5 |
| | 4 | 2.924 | 4805 | 1.82 | 87.4 | 0.143 | 0.14 | 12.2 |
| | 4 5 | 2.775 | 4725 | 1.38 | 65.2 | 0.286 | 0.28 | 18.3 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | | | | | | | | 17.2 |

 $^{^{15}\}mathrm{N}$ at. exc. of fertilizer -- 1.022% with rate 100 kg N/ha.

Į

n a