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ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the dimensions of hierarchical service quality in the area of the banking sector as well as to determine its relationships with other constructs, namely corporate image and customer loyalty. One hundred and eleven respondents participated in this study. Partial Least Squares were employed to analyse the data. The inner and outer model evaluations showed that the proposed model was robust. This study found that the interaction quality, outcome quality and physical quality were the dimensions of service quality which were formed in the hierarchical model. In addition, this study indicated that service quality was a robust determinant of corporate image and customer loyalty in the banking sector. Similar to service quality, corporate image was also found to be an essential predictor of customer loyalty as well as the mediator between service quality and customer loyalty. To enhance the understanding of service quality in the banking sector, further studies might add additional constructs such as brand engagement, perceived value, and customer experience.
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INTRODUCTION

As one of the competitive business service sectors in Indonesia, the banking industry creates tight market competition. As of now, around 120 commercial banks – both state-owned and private, operate in Indonesia (Bank Indonesia, 2011). This situation creates competition among the banks to obtain funds from third parties and therefore challenges the banking sector to maintain their customers’ loyalty.

Theoretically, maintaining customer loyalty is essential for any business sector, including the banking industry. Gremler and Brown (1996) argued that customer loyalty was the degree to which a customer exhibits repurchasing behavior from a service provider, possesses a positive attitudinal disposition toward the provider, and considers using only this provider when a need for this service emerges. Based on this notion, customer loyalty would provide great benefits to an institution, such as in minimizing the cost of it attracting new customers, more efficient operational costs, lowering the psychological and social costs, and making loyal customers defend the institution and even to attract others to it, and recommend the institution to them.

Service quality has been recognized as an important factor in maintaining loyal customers. Pollack (2009) mentioned that service quality had a positive effect in creating loyalty. It means a business organization offering a high quality service will likely have more loyal customers.

While service quality has been understood to be an important factor in the service industry including in banking services, there is still a debate among scholars about its dimensions (Brady and Cronin Jr, 2001). To date, the SERVQUAL framework proposed by
Parasuraman et al. (1988) is widely used by scholars to analyze service quality. However, there are some criticisms addressed at the SERVQUAL framework (Cronin and Taylor, 1994; Shu, 2010).

Apart from its role in creating customer loyalty, scholars also claim that service quality has an essential role in creating a positive corporate image. Alfin et al. (2013) suggested that service quality had a positive effect on corporate image, which implied that a higher service quality, as perceived by customers, resulted in a greater positive image of the corporation. Similar to Alfin et al.’s (2013) study, Cham and Easvaralingam (2012) explained that corporate image is significantly influenced by service quality.

As an important element in the marketing field, corporate image is recognized to have an important role in creating loyalty. Scholars suggest that corporate image has a significant effect on customer loyalty (Cheng and Rashid, 2013; Saleem and Raja, 2014). The more positive the image of the company is, as perceived by its customers, the more loyalty will be shown by the customers to the company. Although some studies confirm the significant effect of image on loyalty, scholars such as Hart and Rosenberger Ilabovel (2004) found that corporate image only had a marginally significant influence on customer loyalty. For that reason, there is still a need to examine this relationship in a different field.

Based on the research issues stated, we were led to the following two research objectives of this study:

1. To examine the hierarchical service quality dimensions as perceived by banking service customers.
2. To test the relationships among several essential marketing constructs, namely service quality, corporate image, and customer loyalty.

This study provided some contributions by confirming the two research objectives above. Firstly, differing from the previous studies, this study developed and tested a comprehensive hierarchical model that provided a complete and integrated analysis of the dimensions underlying customers’ perceptions of service quality, and also analyzed the relationships that exist among several important higher order marketing constructs in the banking industry. Secondly, this study provided empirical support for the use of a hierarchical model to conceptualize and measure customers’ perceptions of service quality in the banking industry. Thirdly, the results of this study will benefit marketers and practitioners who are already operating in or preparing to enter the banking industry market, as the findings may assist these organizations in developing and implementing successful business strategies.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES

1. Service Quality

Service is any act or performance that one can offer to another that is essentially intangible and does not result in the ownership of anything (Kotler and Armstrong, 2006). In the area of service marketing studies, Parasuraman et al. (1985) stated that service quality was an elusive construct. Furthermore, Rust and Oliver (1994) contended that service quality was a subjective manner. Hence, Brady and Cronin (2001) claimed that the construct of service quality was difficult to grasp.

Today the SERVQUAL scale is the instrument which is most widely used by scholars to measure service quality (Amin and Isa, 2008; Barroso et al., 2010; Huang, 2010; Yang et al., 2006). This scale was developed by Parasuraman et al. (1988) based on the disconfirmation paradigm. According to Parasuraman et al., (1988) there were five dimensions of service quality namely (1) Tangibility – the physical facilities, equipment, and appearance of personnel, (2) Reliability - the ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately, (3) Responsiveness – the willingness to help customers and provide prompt service, (4) Assurance – the knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trust and confidence, and (5)
Empathy – the caring individualized attention that the firm provides to its customers.

Although SERVQUAL has been used extensively to measure service quality, several criticisms are addressed at this instrument (Babakus and Boller, 1992; Carman, 1990). Fernie et al. (2003) contend that two criticisms can be directed at the SERVQUAL framework. Firstly, SERVQUAL generalises the relationship between customers and service providers; secondly, it disregards the crucial relationship between customers and service providers. Other scholars such as Babakus and Boller (1992) and Carman (1990) argued that SERVQUAL was being applied inappropriately to measure service quality because: 1) the dimensions of the SERVQUAL measures may not apply in all service settings, 2) items in some dimensions reveal that those are not distinct during scale purifications, 3) SERVQUAL focuses on the comparison between expectations and perceptions of actual service delivery and 4) SERVQUAL cannot adequately cover the complexity of customers’ perceptions.

While there is no agreement about the conceptualization of service quality, scholars agree that service quality is multidimensional (Brady and Cronin, 2001; Dabholkar et al., 1995; Parasuraman et al., 1988). To overcome the inadequate measurements of SERVQUAL, Brady and Cronin (2001) proposed the hierarchical service quality framework. This framework stated that the overall perception of service quality was affected by three dimensions, namely the interaction quality, physical quality, and outcome quality.

This study employs a hierarchical service quality framework that has been used by other studies to measure service quality. A study by Wu (2009) of the hotel industry in Taiwan found that the hierarchical service quality framework was a robust framework to measure service quality in the Taiwanese hotel industry. This study revealed that service quality was formed in a hierarchical model consisting of the interaction quality, outcome quality, and physical quality that were taken separately as primary dimensions. Similar to this study, Mohi (2012) found that a hierarchical service quality framework was a robust framework to measure the service quality of Malaysia’s restaurants. In the telecommunications industry, Clemes et al. (2014) found that hierarchical service quality can be used to measure the quality of services provided. Furthermore, this study declared that interaction quality is the dimension which had the most dominant effect in determining the service quality.

Based on these contentions, these following hypotheses were proposed:

H1a: interaction quality has a significant effect on the overall perception of service quality

H1b: physical quality has a significant effect on the overall perception of service quality

H1c: outcome quality has a significant effect on the overall perception of service quality

2. Corporate Image

Image is one way the public perceives a company or its products (Kotler and Keller, 2006) Corporate image is the perception that different audiences have of an organization and results from the audiences’ interpretation of the cues presented by an organization. Corporate image in service marketing literature was identified as an important factor in the overall evaluation of the service and the company quite early on. Grönroos (1984) stated that brand image was largely affected by the overall assessment of the services received by the customers. Baker et al. (1994) explained that service quality was the determinant of image. In accordance with Baker (1994), Clemes et al. (2009) found that service quality significantly influenced brand image. Nguyen and LeBlanc (1998) indicated that the overall image of an organization, including its brand, was influenced by the perception of its customers about the quality of services offered.

Andreassen and Lindestad (1998) identified that customer satisfaction, loyalty, and reputation (including corporate image) were important indicators of the market orientation in the public sector, which influenced government performance. Reputation (corporate image) was found to be the strongest driver of loyalty. Moreover,
they claimed that the customers’ voice was believed to be important in this respect. According to this study, as a service company develops closer relations with its customers by offering services of a high quality and by stimulating its customers’ voice, a strong correlation between image and loyalty will emerge. The study of Clemes et al. (2013) in the telecommunications industry found that corporate image was an important predictor of customer loyalty.

Hence, the following hypotheses were proposed:

H2: corporate image is significantly affected by service quality
H3: corporate image has a significant effect on customer loyalty

3. Customer Loyalty

Loyalty is a consumer’s voluntary decision to continue patronizing a specific service from the same firm over an extended period of time (Lovelock and Wirtz, 2011). Oliver (1997) claimed that customer loyalty was a deeply held commitment to rebury or re-patronize a preferred product or service consistently in the future, thereby causing repetitive same-brand or same brand-set purchasing, despite situational influences and marketing efforts having the potential to cause switching behavior.

According to Mardalis (2005), there were six reasons why an institution needed to get its customers’ loyalty. First, loyal customers gave great benefits to the institution. Second, the cost of getting new customers was more expensive than that of keeping and maintaining existing customers. Third, the customers who already believed in the institution would also believe in other things it offered. Fourth, the operational costs of an institution were more efficient if it had many loyal customers. Fifth, the institution may deduct the psychological and social costs, because long time customers had many positive experiences with the institution. Sixth, loyal customers would defend the institution, and even attract and recommend it to other people.

Customers receive several benefits from being a loyal customer. These benefits can include a feeling of optimal satisfaction, a knowledge of what to expect from the service provider, trust in the provider, friendship with employees, time savings from not having to search for a provider, and various types of special treatment (Gremler and Brown, 1996).

Customers are the driving force for profitable growth and therefore customer loyalty can lead to profitability (Hayes, 2008). Loyal customers are less likely to switch to other competitors and they even make more purchases than non-loyal customers. Therefore, loyal customers are considered to be the most important assets of a company.

Some studies have shown that service quality has an important role in creating loyalty (Ostrowski et al., 1993; Wong and Sohal, 2003). In this case, customers tend to be more loyal to a company when they perceive a high service quality. Furthermore, scholars also suggest that service quality has an indirect effect on loyalty through the corporate image (Shu, 2010).

The proposed hypotheses are:

H4: customer loyalty is significantly affected by service quality
H5: corporate image mediates the relationship between service quality and customer loyalty

Based on the review of the literature, the conceptual framework proposed in this study is as shown below.
RESEARCH METHOD

1. Sample

The respondents of this study were the customers of a national banking company in Indonesia. One hundred and fifty questionnaires were distributed. A total of 111 respondents returned the questionnaires giving a 74 percent response rate. These respondents were recruited using a convenience sampling method.

The following demographic profile emerged from the sample: 75 percent of the respondents were aged between 26 and 45 years old, 60 percent had a tertiary education, 51% were male, and 47% had an income between Rp1,000,000 - Rp 3,000,000. Table 1 presents the demographic profile of the respondents in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>=&lt; Senior high school</td>
<td>30.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diploma 3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelor/ undergraduate</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Master/Doctoral Degree</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ Rp 1,000,000</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rp 1,000,000 - Rp 2,000,000</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rp 2,000,001 - Rp 3,000,000</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rp 3,000,001 - Rp 4,000,000</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;Rp 4,000,000</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Questionnaire

A self-administered questionnaire survey of a national bank’s customers was conducted in Malang to collect empirical data for this study. The questionnaire was designed based on the review of the related literature. The questionnaire consisted of two parts. The first part collected information about the demographic characteristics of the respondents; and the second part measured the variables investigated in this study. The measures used in this study were adapted from several studies measuring hierarchical service quality and its effects, especially on corporate image and customer loyalty.

The questionnaire which examined the interaction quality, physical quality, and outcome quality was adapted from Brady and Cronin Jr (2001) and Parasuraman, et al. (1988). The interaction quality was measured by 6 items, including: bank employees are quick at eliminating potential errors. The physical quality was measured by 3 items, for example: bank offices are visually appealing. The outcome quality was measured by 4 items, such as: I feel safe in my transactions with the Bank. The questions to measure the corporate image were adapted from Kotler and Armstrong (2009) – like: the bank had high integrity in providing services demanded by customers; further, the questions for customer loyalty were adapted from Jones and Taylor (2007), for instance: do (you the customer) make regular repeat purchases?.

Apart from the questions about the respondents’ demographics, which were measured by categorical scales, all the other constructs were measured using a five-point Likert’s scale ranging from ‘strongly disagree (1)’ to ‘strongly agree (5)’.

3. Data Analysis

This study used Partial Least Squares (PLS) to analyze the data. There are several reasons for selecting PLS as the analysis technique. First, PLS is distribution-free, which does not require or exclude any distributional form for the measured variables (Wold, 1982). Hence, this technique is suitable for analyzing data from non-normal distributions (Falk and Miller, 1992). Aibinu and Al-Lawati (2010) suggested that measuring individuals’ perceptions using Likert scales was likely to yield non-normally distributed responses. Bonitis et al. (2007) proposed that the use of bootstrapping was the reason why PLS works well with non-normal data. For that reason, since this study relies on Likert scales to measure individuals’ behavioral intentions and its antecedents, PLS is a suitable technique.
Another reason for using PLS was based on Abdi’s suggestion (2007) stating that the aim of PLS was to predict the effects of a set of independent variables on a set of dependent variables. He explained that PLS was a multivariate technique to compare multiple response variables and multiple explanatory variables. Though it is similar to Principal Components Analysis (PCA), PLS is believed to be a better option than multiple linear regression and PCA regression techniques since it presents more vigorous model parameters that do not change with new calibration samples from the population (Falk and Miller, 1992; Geladi and Kowalski, 1986).

RESULTS

Following PLS’s rule of thumb, the evaluation of the outer and inner models were performed to ensure that the proposed model was robust. For this study, the outer model was evaluated by using some tests, namely the convergent validity, discriminant validity, and reliability. The inner model was evaluated by using the score of coefficient determinant and goodness of fit. The following section discusses the evaluation of the outer and inner models.

1. The Evaluation of the Outer Model

The assessment of the outer model was accomplished in three steps: examinations of the convergent validity, discriminant validity, and reliability. The investigation of these problems was essential since these problems had the potential to affect the outcome of the statistical test.

The first assessment was the convergent validity. In this study, the evaluation of convergent validity was determined by the score of the factor loadings and Average Variance Extracted (AVE). The score of the factor loadings for this study varied between 0.610 and 0.910 which were above the recommended threshold of 0.50 as proposed by Fornell and Larcker (1981). As the loading score was greater than 0.50, the score indicates that all measurement indicators have sufficient convergent validity, meaning that each indicator has a high level of connection with its corresponding variable. In this study, the score of AVE ranged from 0.610 to 0.795. Fornell and Larcker (1981) suggested that a score of 0.50 for AVE indicated an acceptable level of validity for the measures. Therefore, all constructs used in this study can be used to explain the measurement variance. Table 2 summarizes the result of the convergent validity.

The last outer model evaluation was unidimensionality. The composite reliability score for each construct ranged from 0.824 to 0.953, which were beyond the cut-off value (0.70) (Nunnally, 1978). Based on these unidimensionality tests, all constructs used in this study have an acceptable degree of consistency and thus are considered reliable. Table 3 summarizes the score of composite reliability and AVE.

The discriminant validity was assessed using an approach proposed by Chin (2010). This approach suggested that none of the items should load more highly on another construct than they do on the construct they intend to measure. In other words, the value of the factor loading should be higher than the cross loading. For this study, the value of the factor loading for each construct was higher than the cross loading. Therefore, it can be said that there is no discriminant validity. See Appendix 1 for the cross loading calculation.

2. The Evaluation of the Inner Model

After determining the robustness of the measures, the next phase was to provide confirmation that supports the theoretical model as demonstrated by the structural model (Chin, 2010). The structural model was evaluated through the score of the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) and the Goodness of Fit Index (GoF).

Similar to the OLS regression, the value of $R^2$ in PLS represents the amount of variance explained by the model (Chin, 2010). Falk and Miller (1992) suggested that the variance explained for endogenous variables should exceed 0.10. The value of $R^2$ in this study ranged from 0.547 to 0.943. Table 4 shows the $R^2$ for each endogenous construct.
Table 2. Convergent Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Factor Loadings</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Factor Loadings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PQ1</td>
<td>Bank offices are visually appealing</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td></td>
<td>CI_1</td>
<td>The Bank had high integrity in providing services demanded by customers.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ3</td>
<td>Bank employees are suitably dressed and neat, considering the work they perform</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>CI_2</td>
<td>The Bank showed good innovation in providing the services</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ4</td>
<td>Easy to find the ATMs and office of this bank</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td></td>
<td>CI_3</td>
<td>The employees of bank are very friendly in providing service especially during the transaction</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ1</td>
<td>The Bank performs a service exactly as promised</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td></td>
<td>CI_4</td>
<td>The employees of bank know the information needed by customers in relation to services offered.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ2</td>
<td>Information provided by bank employees was clear and understandable.</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>CI_5</td>
<td>Bank Bukopin Malang branch has a good reputation</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ3</td>
<td>I feel safe in my transactions with the Bank</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL_1</td>
<td>Make regular repeat purchase</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ4</td>
<td>The Bank considers my wishes and needs.</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL_2</td>
<td>Not switch to another bank</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ1</td>
<td>The Bank performs its services without errors</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL_3</td>
<td>Purchases across product and service lines</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ2</td>
<td>Bank employees quickly respond to my requests</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL_4</td>
<td>Positive word-of-mouth about the bank</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ3</td>
<td>Bank employees are always willing to help</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td></td>
<td>CL_5</td>
<td>Recommend the bank to other consumers</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ4</td>
<td>Bank employees are quick to eliminate potential errors</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>CL_6</td>
<td>I considers the bank is my only choice when purchasing this type of service</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ5</td>
<td>Bank employees are trustworthy</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ6</td>
<td>Bank employees know to advise me what would be the most appropriate service for my specific needs.</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Composite Reliability and AVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Composite reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The $R^2$ Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQ</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To ensure the robustness of the inner model, this study also evaluated the Goodness of Fit (GoF) index. Since PLS is a variance based SEM, it does not have a formal GoF. Therefore, the calculation of GoF refers to Tenenhaus et al.'s (2004) suggestion. Derived from Tenenhaus et al. (2004), Daryanto et al. (2009) proposed that the baselines for GoF were small = 0.1, medium = 0.25 and large = 0.36. The calculation of GoF yielded a score of 0.716. Since the GoF index of the model tested in this study exceeded 0.36, the model proposed in this study is robust. See Appendix 2 for the calculation of the GoF

The outcomes of the inner model evaluation indicated that the model tested for this study is robust. Therefore, hypotheses testing can proceed.

3. Hypotheses Testing

The first research objective was to assess the dimensions of service quality perceived by the bank’s customers. The outcomes of the second-order confirmatory factor analysis showed that interaction quality ($t = 179.226; \beta = 0.970$), outcome quality ($t = 128.510; \beta = 0.957$), and physical quality ($t = 26.886; \beta = 0.802$) were the dimensions of the service quality. Therefore, hypothesis 1a, 1b, and 1c were confirmed. Among these dimensions, interaction quality was considered as the most important dimension, as the results found that interaction quality had the most significant effect in the formation of service quality.

The second research objective was to evaluate the relationships among service quality, corporate image, and customer loyalty. The result of the hypotheses testing showed that service quality had a significant positive effect on corporate image ($t = 22.167; \beta = 0.818$). It means hypothesis 2 is supported; further, it implies that the higher the quality of service, as perceived by a bank’s customers, will result in a more positive image of the bank.

This study indicated that corporate image had a positive effect on customer loyalty ($t = 3.431; \beta = 0.435$); thus, hypothesis 3 is supported. This significant relationship means the more positive the image is of a corporation, as perceived by its customers, the more loyal the customers will be to the corporation. This study also found that customer loyalty was significantly affected by service quality ($t = 2.555; \beta = 0.339$); hence, it supports hypothesis 4. This relationship indicates that customers will be more loyal to the bank when they perceive high quality in the offered services.

Hypothesis 5 proposed that there was an indirect effect of service quality on customer loyalty through corporate image. The result of the indirect effect examination showed that the value of the t-statistics was 2.773 ($p<0.01$), which means that hypothesis 5 is supported.

Table 5. Hypotheses Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1a</td>
<td>SQ -&gt; IQ</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>179.226**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1b</td>
<td>SQ -&gt; OQ</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>128.510**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1c</td>
<td>SQ -&gt; PQ</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>26.886**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>SQ -&gt; CI</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>22.167**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>CI -&gt; CL</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>3.431**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>SQ -&gt; CL</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>2.555*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>SQ-&gt; CI-&gt; CL</td>
<td>3.335**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p <0.01
DISCUSSION

This study determined the dimensions of service quality in the Indonesian banking service and evaluated the relationships among service quality, corporate image, and customer loyalty. This study proposed that the interaction quality, outcome quality, and physical quality are the dimensions of service quality.

This study found that these three dimensions – interaction quality, physical quality, and outcome quality – were the dimensions of service quality in the banking service. This finding supports previous studies which employed a hierarchical model of service quality (Brady and Cronin Jr 2001; and Dagger et al., 2007).

Employees are an essential capital asset for service companies, especially in the banking sector. Employees are important since they assist the company to deliver the product to customers. Employees, as the service providers, need appropriate skills such as interpersonal skills, professional skills, and problem solving skills to ensure the operational success of the organization.

Bitner (1992) contended that the surrounding physical environment, in which the process of a service encounter takes place, played an important role in influencing customers’ overall perceptions of service quality. Researchers state that a physical or “built” environment affects customer service evaluations, as the quality of the physical environment is an important aspect of the service assessment by customers (Baker, 1987; Bitner, 1992; Gustafsson et al., 2006; Rust & Oliver, 1994; Wakefield & Blodgett, 1996). The importance of the physical quality proposed in this hierarchical model is similar to tangible aspects of the SERVQUAL proposed by Parasuraman et al. (1985). However, the concept of physical quality has a broader meaning than just its tangible aspect (Lai et al., 2007). Reflecting on this finding, the banking sector should provide a good physical environment in order to support the process of service delivery.

Outcome quality or technical quality is what customers receive after the service delivery process and buyer-seller interactions are completed (Gronroos, 1984). Furthermore, similar to
Gronroos (1984), Czepiel et al. (1985) explained that the outcome quality referred to the technical outcome as the "actual" service, and posits that it is a determinant in assessing the quality of a service encounter. In determining the outcome quality in the banking service, several dimensions should be considered. Since customers are involved in the service production, the waiting time is one of the important dimensions in determining service quality. Parasuraman et al. (1985) contended that customers identified the service promptness as an integral part of service quality. Similar to SERVQUAL’s proposition that the reliability of service would influence the perceived service quality, in a hierarchical model, the reliability aspect is also considered as an essential aspect in defining outcome quality (Shu, 2010).

As proposed in this study, service quality has a positive significant effect on corporate image. This finding supports the study of Alfin et al. (2013) and Huei and Easvaralingam (2011) as they found that service quality had a positive influence on corporate image. These findings prove that a higher service quality results in a better image for the company. On the other hand, a lower quality of service causes a poorer image of the company.

Corporate image is the perception that different audiences have of an organization and results from the audiences’ interpretation of the cues presented by an organization. For example, if the employees are willing to help customers and provide a prompt service, then the customers will think that the company has high integrity in serving and delivering the customers’ needs and in providing their services.

As an important construct in the marketing field, corporate image is found to have a significant role in influencing customer loyalty. This study finds that the more positive the image is, as perceived by customers, then this results in greater loyalty by the customers to the bank. This finding is similar to several previous studies which also revealed the significant effect of corporate image on customer loyalty (Shu, 2010). Since there is an essential effect of image on loyalty, banking companies should be able to create positive corporate images. This is plausible since the banking sector is a business sector which needs to gain the trust of its customers. Customers would feel more secure having transactions with a bank if the bank has a positive image in running its business.

The other important finding of this study is the indirect effect of service quality on customer loyalty. While several studies indicate a direct relationship of service quality on customer loyalty, this study shows that the corporate image mediates this relationship. In brief, this study finds that, as a central construct in the service marketing field, service quality influences customer bank loyalty both directly and indirectly through the corporate image. Hence, service providers should show some concern to the quality of their offered services.

CONCLUSIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This current study found that a banking company needs to pay attention to its interaction quality, physical quality, and outcome quality in order to provide an excellent service quality for its customers. In the Indonesian banking sector, providing a high quality service is proven to be a way to enhance corporate image and customer loyalty.

While this study makes a contribution to the body of service marketing literature, it has several limitations that need to be acknowledged. The first is about the sampling method used by this study. Since this study takes convenience sampling as its sampling technique, the findings of this study may not be widely generalized. The second limitation is related to the self-administered questionnaire used in the data collection process. The lack of researcher control in such a situation may lead to misinterpretation by the participants, which could create validity problems. Moreover, the self-administered method may also present limitations since participants may sometimes give expected answers (social response bias) or patterned responses to the questions. The last limitation is about the factors influencing customer loyalty. In predicting customer loyalty, this study just focused on service
quality and corporate image. Theoretically, there are several other factors that have been identified as affecting loyalty; therefore, further studies may include other factors to predict customer loyalty when arranging a more comprehensive study.
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