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ABSTRACT

Background: Osteoporosis occurred in 64% of Indonesian women aged 60-64 years. Risk of osteoporosis can be reduced by achieving optimal peak bone mass in ages 25-32 years. However, 33.4% women had low peak bone mass (LPBM). Objective: We aimed to develop a tool to identify women at risk of developing LPBM in order to ameliorate this situation. Some risk/protective factors were explored in a case-control study. Method: We recruited 25 cases, those with LPBM (T-score <1) according to peripheral bone densitometry and 25 controls from Cengkareng District, West Jakarta. They were assessed using questionnaires to explore their historical intake of calcium, tea/coffee, and weight-bearing activity. We also measured BMI and body composition. Parameters among case and control groups were analyzed using independent T-test or Mann-Whitney, and odds ratio in relation to peak bone mass was also computed. Results: Between cases and controls, there were no differences observed in BMI, body composition, weight-bearing activity, and historical tea/coffee consumption. Calcium intake from sources other than milk and its derivatives were also found not to differ. Historical calcium index (HCI), measuring weekly calcium intake since childhood, was found lower in cases (median=160 vs 965; p=0.001). HCI cut-off analysis found that the values of 300 and 1000 yielded good specificity (80%) and sensitivity (92%) for LPBM. OR analysis identified those with HCI <1000 (OR=0.61; 95% CI: 2.05–54.95) as at moderate risk of developing LPBM, and HCI ≤300 as at higher risk. Conclusion: We concluded that, as low HCI was the risk factor for developing LPBM, calculation of HCI should be done to earlier identify women at risk, thus prompting earlier nutrition and life-style intervention to prevent the occurrence of LPBM and future osteoporosis.

KEY WORDS: women, peak bone mass, calcium intake, body composition, osteoporosis, prevention

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis and osteopenia are the conditions of decreased bone density. People with osteoporosis have lower bone mass than individuals with the osteopenia.
Both have been shown to increase the risk of fractures in various age groups. A cross-sectional study in 13 provinces of Indonesia found the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in Indonesia in 2005 in the age group 60-64 years was 13% and 57% in men and 21% and 64% in women (1).

Women were more vulnerable in developing osteoporosis or osteopenia because their peak bone mass is lower, had lower levels of physical activity, as well as a higher likelihood of restricting certain foods than men. One’s peak bone mass is achieved by age 25-32. The bone mass is called a “peak” because it is the highest bone mass achieved in a person’s lifetime. After that period, the bone mass will decrease physiologically (2). As a result, if someone had not reached optimal peak bone mass, then that person does not have the opportunity to further improve their bone mass after that period.

Research in Indonesia in 2005 found 40.6% of women aged 25-29 years had a low peak bone mass, an increase from 33.4% in 2002 (3). This figure not only shows that the risk of fracture of the problems we faced today, but also the problems that will arise in the next 20 years when the women entered menopause period. Insufficient calcium intake, their short duration, as well as the relationship between body composition, sports activities, and the consumption of tea or coffee with peak bone mass has not been widely studied. Therefore, this study was done to determine the risk factors of peak bone mass, particularly in Indonesian women.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

After obtaining ethical clearance from ethic committee of Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia/ Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, a case-control study was done in the Cengkareng District of West Jakarta in May 2014. The sample size of this study was calculated in the range of 50-60 subjects, using Fleiss formula for unmatched case control studies with alpha of 0.05, power of 80%, and assumption 40% of control with risk factor present (4). The subjects were informed of this study by distribution of printed materials and by informing visitors of local administrative office and government-run health care center.

The inclusion criteria used were: women willing to participate and signed informed consent; at the time of study the subjects’ age were 25-32 years old (period when peak bone mass were attained). The exclusion criteria were habit of smoking; use of antihypertensive, proton-pump inhibitor, and anti-seizure medications; history of gastrointestinal surgery; vegetarian/vegan dietary practice; and less than 45 minutes of sun exposure per week or the use of abaya/niqab (to exclude low vitamin D status) (5). Those satisfying the criteria were enrolled consecutively in this study, with a total of 74 subjects completed all the required study protocols. To satisfy the case control ratio of 1:1 (25 case and 25 control), a simple randomization were performed to ensure the number of subjects between groups matched.

The women were divided into case and control groups based on bone densitometry measurements at peripheral sites using ultrasound attenuation of calcaneus bones. The case group had a low peak bone mass (T-score <-1) and the control had a normal peak bone mass. The device used was Sahara Ultrasound Bone Densitometry made by Hologic Corporation–Bedford, Massachusetts, USA, with good sensitivity and specificity (6) and also the same device as what was used in previous study conducted in 13 provinces (3). While the gold standard of bone mineral measurement is the use of dual X-ray absorptiometry, this quantitative ultrasound method reflect the bone quality, could predict future fracture risk and ionizing radiation free (7). The subjects right foot calcaneus region were cleaned using water and paper wipes before applied with ultrasound gel as per the instrument manual. The procedure of subjects’ heel positioning and machine operation were conducted by technician trained in operating the machine. Each subjects were measured twice and the results are averaged.

Both groups are assessed using questionnaires to explore their historical intake of main food sources of calcium, tea, and coffee. We tried to explore the historical habit of calcium consumption from main food sources such as milk, sweetened and condensed milk, cheese, yoghurt, ice cream, bread, and calcium supplement use. The data for each particular food items contains the brand used, the quantity consumed, the frequency of their consumption, and the duration of their use. Those
parameters were used to calculate historical calcium index (HCl) after standardizing them as calcium intake in mg per week from milk and its derivatives per year by dividing total calcium from those data by subjects’ age minus six. The six year deduction was used because the data from recall by subjects was thought to be unreliable if they were also asked of their habit when they were younger than six years old (Figure 1). We also taken into account the different brands consumed during the life course of subjects, since those brands accounts for difference of calcium contents. Historical tea and coffee consumptions were also assessed by similar means, albeit using slightly different approach (by using how many serving per month) (8).

A semi quantitative food frequency questionnaire (SQ-FFQ) to explore previous month dietary calcium intake were also used in broader food groups, to assess whether other than main source of calcium consumption was different between cases and controls. These subjects’ data were collected by physician trained in collecting dietary data, in order to minimize bias, the physician was blinded to the bone status of subjects.

Measurement of subjects’ height and weight were done using calibrated stadiometer and Omron HBF-514 scale. The height and weight data were used to calculate subjects’ body mass index (BMI) by dividing weight (in kilogram) with square of height (in meter). The subjects’ body composition were measured using Omron HBF-514 four extremities/eight electrode body impedance analyzer (9). The measurements were done twice by a well-trained physician, and the results were then averaged. The data were acquired to explore the effects of body weight and body composition of fat and muscle on peak bone mass (10). Weight-bearing physical activity levels were assessed using questionnaires to determine current duration of daily activities with walking components and weight-bearing sports of subjects (11). Parameters among case and control groups were analyzed, variables with normal distribution using T-test of two independent groups, whereas variables with non-normal distribution were tested with Mann-Whitney test, odds ratio for the presence of risk factor in relation to peak bone mass status was also computed. A multi- or single-variable modeling to predict low peak bone mass occurrences was attempted with their cut-off analysis and its sensitivity specificity values (12).

RESULTS

A total of 50 subjects completed all examination and interview. The characteristics of subjects belonging to case and control groups’ results can be seen in Table 1. The age and height of subjects were similar in both groups, while the bone mineral density (BMD) was different as expected, as it was the criteria of case and control classification.

There are no observed differences in the mean of most of the study variables between cases and controls which were statistically significant. An exception was found in the HCl, which was lower in cases compared to controls (median cases vs. controls: 160 vs. 965; p=0.001).
Table 1. Characteristics of cases and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case (n=25) mean ± SD or median (min−max)</th>
<th>Control (n=25) mean ± SD or median (min−max)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>31 (25–32)</td>
<td>28 (25–32)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Score</td>
<td>-2.200 (-3.400−-1.700)</td>
<td>-0.600 (-1.000−-1.500)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>0.329 (0.201−0.389)</td>
<td>0.509 (0.465−0.745)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>152.00 ± 5.01</td>
<td>152.11 ± 5.54</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>50.1 (38.7−74.6)</td>
<td>53.9 (40.8−98.4)</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.6 (16.6−31.1)</td>
<td>22.8 (17.3−44.0)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>32.41 ± 8.53</td>
<td>36.46 ± 8.71</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body muscle (%)</td>
<td>26.38 ± 2.41</td>
<td>25.34 ± 2.02</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking duration (hour/week)</td>
<td>9 (1.0−25.5)</td>
<td>7 (2.5−19.5)</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports duration (hour/week)</td>
<td>0 (0−7.0)</td>
<td>0 (0−3.5)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total physical activity duration (hour/week)</td>
<td>9 (1.0−27.0)</td>
<td>8.50 (2.5−19.5)</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical calcium index (mg.year/week)</td>
<td>160 (1−2361)</td>
<td>965 (19−3185)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical tea consumption (serving/month)</td>
<td>7.4 (0−90)</td>
<td>7.5 (0−60)</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical coffee consumption (serving /month)</td>
<td>0 (0−33.3)</td>
<td>0 (0−63)</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p <0.05; BMD: bone mineral density, BMI: body mass index

Figure 2. HCI components of cases and controls

All subjects were able to remember the historical habit of milk and its derivatives consumption since the age of six years. We also found no significant difference in calcium intake data of other than main source of calcium consumption nonmilk and its derivatives products from FFQ between groups.

Among food groups assessed by HCI, cow’s milk is a major contributor of calcium consumed daily (49.3% in cases and 47.0% in controls) so that among subjects who did not consume milk, lower HCI is more likely to be found. Other contributors of HCI can be seen in Figure 2.

As the difference between case and control were only observed in HCI parameter, we attributed low HCI as a risk factor of the occurrences of low peak bone mass. The odds ratio at various cut-off value and their respective sensitivity and specificity value can be seen in Table 2.
DISCUSSION

In the study, there were no differences in body composition and physical activity level between case and control. Mean BMI in the group of cases and controls were not different (cases vs. controls = 22.6 vs 22.8 kg/m²; p=0.190). This is different from the meta-analysis which showed that higher BMI was a protective factor against osteoporosis and hip fracture incidence, so the effect of BMI on bone mass of later age remains to be considered (13).

We also did not find differences between cases and controls in measurements of body fat composition (32.41% vs. 36.46%; p=0.128) and body muscle composition (26.38% vs. 25.34%; p=0.132). However, both BMI and body composition results are not necessarily describe the condition of subjects in their childhood. Therefore, whether BMI and body composition are risk factors of low peak bone mass cannot be fully concluded.

This study also found there was no statistically significant difference in the level of physical activity between cases and controls, whether using measurement of duration of walking per week, weight bearing exercise activities besides walking per week, and total physical activity per week (9 vs 8.5 hours/week; p=0.669). It shows that physical activity is not a factor associated with the level of peak bone mass of women. However, we should consider that physical activity data obtained in this study were the activity data at the time of when the research was conducted, which was not necessarily similar to the activity when the subjects are younger. This is in contrast to studies that conducted in North Sulawesi, Yogyakarta and West Java which found proportion of patients with osteoporosis who exercise regularly was lower than the group with normal bone mass (18.3% vs. 25.8%; p<0.01), the results was observed using wider age range of 25-70 years, perhaps suggesting that positive effect of exercise on bone mass occurred after in a long-term period after peak bone mass period (3).

We also found no statistically significant differences between cases and controls in the historical consumption of tea and coffee. This finding was consistent with studies showing that moderate consumption of tea and coffee (about 2-3 servings/day) only slightly increases the excretion of calcium in urine. These results are also in accordance with a systematic review which showed a decrease in bone density was observed only at excessive caffeine consumption (more than 744 mg/day, equivalent to 7-9 cups of coffee) (14). The negligible effect of coffee consumption on bone mass was also reported among Korean women, which shows no significant difference of bone density among women who consumed one, two and three cups of coffee daily (adjusted OR=0.94 (95% CI: 0.70-1.26); 0.93 (0.67-1.28); and 1.02 (0.69-1.50), respectively (p for trend=0.927) (15). We conclude that reasonable consumption of tea or coffee (tea <3 servings/day, coffee <2 servings/day, or a combination of both for <4 servings/day) since childhood, did not pose as a significant risks of developing low peak bone mass.

As HCI was the only variable found to be different in cases and controls, the HCI had been further analyzed to determine the effects of low historical calcium intake as a risk factor for developing low peak bone mass in women. Calculation of odds ratios at various cut-off values as well as sensitivity and specificity resulted in HCI cut-off of 300 and 1000 as useful level to gauge someone’s risk. A person with HCI <1000 are considered at increased risk of low peak bone mass (OR=10.61; 95% CI: 2.05-54.95) compared to others with better HCI. Those cut-off values had a high sensitivity (92%) which can correctly detect almost all cases which were found to have low peak bone mass. However, the cut-off value of 1000 has poor specificity (48%). Meanwhile, the cut-off value of 300 had an OR of 10.23 (95% CI: 2.05-54.95) compared to others with better HCI. Those cut-off values had a high sensitivity (92%) which can correctly detect almost all cases which were found to have low peak bone mass. However, the cut-off value of 1000 has poor specificity (48%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCl cut-off</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>7.11 (1.99−25.46)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.23 (2.77−38.21)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>6.73 (1.94−23.36)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>4.03 (1.20−13.53)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>4.03 (1.20−13.53)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>7.94 (1.88−33.50)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10.61 (2.05−54.95)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Odds ratio, sensitivity and specificity at various cut-off value of HCl
of calcium, namely milk and its derivatives to attain optimal peak bone mass in women. The large proportion of milk consumption of HCI in this study reflect the importance of milk in fulfilling dietary requirements of calcium. The effect of milk avoidance could be observed even in childhood period. New Zealand children who avoid milk were having shorter (p<0.01), had smaller skeletons (p<0.01) and had a lower total-body mineral content (p<0.01) compared to their milk consuming peers (16). A similar finding was found in Indiana, where childhood and adolescent intake of milk was correlated with total body, radius and spine bone mineral density of young women (17).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Calcium intake during childhood and adolescence period, which influence the peak bone mass in women, can be easily and quickly calculated by using HCI. Historical calcium index values can be used to determine whether a woman has a risk of low peak bone mass or not. We could said that those with HCI value <300 to have a high risk of low peak bone mass, HCI of 300-999 as having moderate risk, and those with HCI >1000 could be considered to have little risk. The HCI value of <1000 clearly indicates that the person had more risk, prompting a need of comprehensive lifestyle and nutritional modification as an effort to prevent low peak bone mass occurrence, and ideally the bone mass of those high risk individuals should be evaluated regularly.

As we now know that the main determinant or risk factors of low peak bone mass was known to be related to calcium consumption in childhood and early adulthood period, especially in the form of milk and its derivatives consumption, and that HCI can be used to determine those at risk, we recommend the HCI calculation as a tool to identify women at high risk or increased risk of having low peak bone mass. HCI can also be used to simplify the acquisition of data regarding historical calcium intake of an individual. Those with HCI over 1000 also should be encouraged to maintain their calcium intake level. Nevertheless, the real-world usefulness of HCI calculation must be further investigated by using them in a population-based cohort setting or as a screening tool of young women, especially to obtain the positive predictive value and negative predictive value of low HCI value and low peak bone mass.
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