JURNAL PERIKANAN
UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Terakreditasi Ristekdikti No: 158/E/KPT/2021

ISSN: 2502-5066 (Online)
ISSN: 0853-6384 (Print)
Vol. 25 (1), 89-95
DOI 10.22146/jfs.79653

Length-Weight Relationship and Condition Factors of Some Commercial Fish from Youtefa Bay, Jayapura City, Indonesia

Ervina Indrayani[1, 2], Baigo Hamuna[1, 2]* & Lalu Panji Imam Agamawan[1]

[1]Department of Marine Science and Fisheries, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Cenderawasih University, Jayapura City, Papua, Indonesia

[2]Centre for Marine and Fisheries Resources Studies, Cenderawasih University, Jayapura City, Papua, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author, email: bhamuna@yahoo.com.sg

Submitted: 01 December 2022; **Revised:** 17 January 2023; **Accepted :** 03 June 2023

ABSTRACT In this study, we used data on the length and weight of fish to determine the length-weight relationship and condition factors of eight commercial fish targeted by traditional Papuan fishers in Youtefa Bay, Papua Province, Indonesia. The fish species were *Lutjanus fulvus*, *Gerres oyena*, *Parupeneus barberinus*, *Siganus spinus*, *Siganus canaliculatus*, *Pelates quadrilineatus*. *Mugil cephalus*, and *Hemiramphus far*. A total of 245 fish specimens were collected from Papuan fishermen from January to March 2020. The total body length and weight ranged from 15.2 to 32.1 cm (average 20.26±3.73 cm) and 42.72 to 371.86 gr (average 124.10±52.45 gr), respectively. The b value ranged from 2.692 to 3.079, with the coefficient of determination (R2) ranging from 0.902 to 0.995. The growth patterns of the eight fish species were allometric (b≠3; t-test<t-tab), where *L. fulvus* (2.824), *P. barberinus* (2.914), *S. canaliculatus* (2.989), *P. quadrilineatus* (2.958), *M. cephalus* (2.910), and *H. far* (2.692) have negative allometric growth (b<3). In contrast, *G. oyena* (3.060) and *S. spinus* (3.079) have positive allometric growth (b>3). The relative condition factor and Fulton condition factor values ranged from 0.874 to 1.201 (average 0.999±0.321) and 0.204 to 2.726 (average 1.612±0.515). *M. cephalus* had a higher relative condition factor, while *L. fulvus* had a higher Fulton condition factor compared to other fish species.

Keywords: Fulton condition factor; growth patterns; negative allometric; positive allometric; Papuan fishers

INTRODUCTION

Youtefa Bay is a semi-enclosed bay located in Jayapura City, Papua Province, Indonesia. Youtefa Bay has mangrove and seagrass ecosystems that function as important habitats for various fish species (Tebaiy *et al*., 2014; Hamuna *et al*., 2020; Rumahorbo *et al*., 2020; Sari *et al*., 2020) and can potentially increase the economic income and wealth of the community around Youtefa Bay through the fisheries sector (Rumahorbo *et al*., 2020). On the other hand, the intensive use of fish resources can lead to overfishing. Therefore, management measures are needed to conserve fish resources in Youtefa Bay so people can exploit them sustainably. To support appropriate and sustainable fisheries management and utilization, information is needed on various aspects of fish biology, including fish growth patterns.

Growth patterns of different fish species can be determined using fish length and weight measurements (Froese, 2006; Pulapparambil *et al*., 2019; Sentosa & Chodrijah, 2020; Reis & Ateş, 2020). Length-weight relationship (LWR) describes the correlation between body length and weight (Froese, 2006). Fish body length is often more convenient to measure and is used to determine fish weight (Harrison, 2001). LWR has an important and significant role in providing information on fish population dynamics, distribution, stock estimates, mortality, and morphology (Froese, 2006; Rábago-Quiroz *et al*., 2017; Jafari-Patcan *et al*., 2018; Akter *et al*., 2019), including the morphological differentiation between different populations of the same fish species (Binohlan & Pauly, 2000). LWR can also provide information on fish maturity, growth patterns, and fish biomass (Schneider *et al*., 2000) and play an important role in fisheries status assessment (Eagderi *et al*., 2020) and environmental monitoring (Morey *et al*., 2003). Another important data in fisheries studies is the condition factor of each fish species. The condition factor is used as an index of fish growth and feeding (Fagade, 1979), so it can be used as a guide to track feeding habits, feeding ability and growth rate of fish (Oni *et al*., 1983). Two conditional factor values can be used, namely, the Fulton condition factor, which assumes that the weight and length of fish increase isometrically (Fulton, 1904; Cone, 1989), and the relative condition factor assumes allometric fish growth (not isometric) as the ratio between the observed fish weight and predictive weight (Le Cren, 1951).

Until now, there have been no research results on LWR and the condition factors of the fish found in Youtefa Bay. LWR data and condition factors are very important in sustainable fisheries assessment studies. In addition, the high utilization of fishery resources and the lack of information regarding the biological aspects of fish are feared to disrupt the sustainability of fish resources in the waters. Therefore, studying the LWR and factors condition of fish in Youtefa Bay is necessary. This study aims to determine the LWR and condition factors of several economically important fish species for Papuan fishermen in Youtefa Bay. This data is important to support the optimal and sustainable management of fisheries resources in Youtefa Bay.

©2023 Jurnal Universitas Gadjah Mada. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International license.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data collection and measurements

Specimens of commercially important fish species that are a target catch of Papuan fishermen were sampled every two weeks from January to March 2020 in two villages located in Youtefa Bay, Jayapura City, namely Enggros and Tobati Villages (Figure 1). A total of 245 specimens from eight species of fish caught by local Papuan fishermen in Youtefa Bay have been measured in length and weight. Six species of fish are demersal fish, namely *Lutjanus fulvus* (Forster, 1801), *Gerres oyena* (Forsskål, 1775), *Parupeneus barberinus* (Lacepède, 1801), *Siganus spinus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Siganus canaliculatus* (Park, 1797), and *Pelates quadrilineatus* (Bloch, 1790), while the other two species are pelagic fish, namely *Mugil cephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Hemiramphus far* (Forsskål, 1775). This fish specimen was caught by Papuan fishermen using fishing rods and gill nets. Only fish specimens that were in good condition and fresh were selected for measurement of fish length and weight to avoid data inaccuracies. The fish samples were selected because there was a long-time lag between the time of catching (from afternoon to midnight) and the measurement of fish samples in the morning or afternoon. We measured the total length of each specimen with a calliper ruler (accuracy of 0.1 cm). Body weight was measured with a digital balance (accuracy of 0.01 gr).



Figure 1. Fish sampling locations in Enggros and Tobati Villages in Youtefa Bay, Jayapura City, Indonesia.

Analysis of LWR and growth pattern

The growth pattern of each fish species is determined based on LWR analysis. The LWR equation is as follows (Le Cren, 1951):

$$W = a \times TL^b$$

where *W* is the weight (g) of the fish for a given total length (cm), *TL* is the total length of the fish (in cm), *a* is the intercept, and b is the slope of the relationship (regression coefficient). LWR of each fish species will be presented as a power curve equation. The value of coefficient *b* represents fish growth. If *b* equals 3 (*b*=3), the growth is isometric, and if it significantly differs (b≠3), the growth is allometric (*b*<3 negative allometric; *b*>3 positive allometric) (Ricker & Carter, 1958).

Condition factor analysis

The relative condition factor for each fish species is determined based on the equation (Le Cren, 1951):

$$K_R = W / (a \times TL^b)$$

where K_R is the relative condition factor, *W* is the body weight (g), TL is the total length (cm), *a* and *b* are the LWR parameters.

Fulton condition factor is determined based on the equation (Fulton, 1904):

$$K_F = 100 \times (W / TL^3)$$

where K_F is the Fulton condition factor, *W* is the body weight (g), and *TL* is the total length (cm).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was used to determine the growth pattern of each fish species by comparing the *b* value obtained with the isometric value using the *t*-Student test (Zar, 2014). To confirm whether the *b* value obtained is significantly different from the isometric value (*b*=3), a comparison between the statistical values of the *t*-test and the *t*-table at a 95% confidence interval is used. The hypothesis is to test the null hypothesis (H_0): *b*=3 (isometric) against the alternative hypothesis (H_1): *b*≠3 (allometric). If the value of *t*-test>*t*-table, then the decision rejects H_0; if the value of *t*-test<*t*-table, then the decision is to accept H_1. The equation for determining the value of the *t*-test is as follows (Sokal & Rohlf, 1987):

$$t\text{-test} = (b - 3) / S_b$$

where *b* is the LWR parameter and S_b the standard error of the *b* value.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The LWR and growth pattern of fish

Fish total length ranged from 15.2 to 32.1 cm (average 20.26±3.73 cm), and fish weight ranged from 42.72 to 371.86 gr (average 124.10±52.45 gr) (Table 1). The graph of the power curve equation showing the LWR of eight fish species is presented in Figure 2. The *a* and *b* values obtained ranged from 0.006 to 0.041 and 2.692 to 3.079, respectively. The coefficient of determination (R^2) ranged from 0.902 to 0.995, which indicates a very strong relationship between fish length and weight. The results of statistical analysis for fish growth patterns are presented in Table 2. All fish species have allometric growth ($b \neq 3$; *t*-test<*t*-tab), where fish species *L. fulvus*, *P. barberinus*, *S. canaliculatus*, *P. quadrilineatus*, *M. cephalus*, and *H. far* have negative allometric growth ($b<3$), whereas *G. oyena* and *S. spinus* have positive allometric growth ($b>3$).

Fish condition factors

The condition factors for eight fish species, both the relative condition factor and the Fulton condition factor are presented in Table 3. These values indicate the health and welfare conditions of the fish species studied. The minimum and maximum values of the relative condition factor for the eight fish species studied were 0.874 (*L. fulvus*) and 1.201 (*G. oyena*), with an average value of the relative condition factor of 0.999±0.321. Meanwhile, the minimum and maximum values of the Fulton condition factor for the eight fish species studied were 0.204 for *H. far* and 2.726 for *L. fulvus*, with an average value of the Fulton

Tabel 1. The length and weight of eight species of fish caught by Papuan fishermen in Youtefa Bay, Indonesia.

Species	n	Total length (cm)		Weight (gr)	
		Range	*Mean±SD*	*Range*	*Mean±SD*
L. fulvus	14	15.6-25.3	19.84±3.21	83.87-371.64	201.42±89.76
G. oyena	71	15.2-22.4	17.36±1.79	62.85-218.85	101.85±36.55
P. barberinus	32	17.8-24.2	19.90±1.57	87.62-214.72	123.77±31.28
S. spinus	23	17.4-21.5	20.01±1.02	100.28-190.77	154.24±23.30
S. canaliculatus	38	16.8-22.1	19.60±1.36	84.11-199.27	139.76±28.25
P. quadrilineatus	22	17.4-21.3	19.22±1.01	64.72-119.72	88.52±14.08
M. cephalus	24	21.5–27.1	23.87±1.69	135.25–256.13	184.01±38.37
H. far	21	26.5–32.1	29.41±1.76	42.72–75.82	55.73±9.83

Tabel 2. Summary of statistical analysis of fish growth patterns using *t*-Student's test

Species	b	t-test	t-tab	Growth patterns
L. fulvus	2.824	1.970	2.179	A-
G. oyena	3.060	1.510	1.995	A+
P. barberinus	2.914	1.498	2.042	A-
S. spinus	3.079	1.618	2.080	A+
S. canaliculatus	2.989	0.173	2.028	A-
P. quadrilineatus	2.958	0.434	2.086	A-
M. cephalus	2.910	0.941	2.074	A-
H. far	2.692	1.516	2.093	A-

(A+ = positive allometric; A- = negative allometric).

Tabel 3. Condition factors (K_R and $K_{F)}$ of eight fish species in Youtefa Bay, Indonesia.

Species	K_R		K_F	
	Range	*Mean±SD*	*Range*	*Mean±SD*
L. fulvus	0.874-1.085	1.002±0.049	2.209-2.726	2.434±0.141
G. oyena	0.946-1.201	0.998±0.033	1.786-2.252	1.882±0.064
P. barberinus	0.949-1.051	0.999±0.024	1.454-1.619	1.544±0.038
S. spinus	0.974-1.018	0.999±0.012	1.863-1.954	1.911±0.024
S. canaliculatus	0.951-1.069	1.001±0.027	1.741-1.956	1.830±0.049
P. quadrilineatus	0.966-1.037	0.997±0.023	1.214-1.307	1.259±0.029
M. cephalus	0.951-1.076	1.011±0.032	1.263-1.418	1.336±0.043
H. far	0.929-1.077	0.994±0.053	0.204-0.241	0.218±0.013



Figure 2. The length-weight relationship of eight fish species: (a) *L. fulvus*, (b) *G. oyena*, (c) *P. barberinus*, (d) *S. spinus*, (e) *S. canaliculatus* (f) *P. quadrilineatus*, (g) *M. cephalus*, and (h) *H. far*.

condition factor of 1.612±0.515. *M. cephalus* had a higher relative condition factor value, while *L. fulvus* had a higher Fulton condition factor value than other fish species.

In this study, LWR estimates (mainly for growth patterns) for eight fish species from Youtefa Bay were determined, where the *b* value obtained ranged from 2.692 to 3.079. Although it does not meet the minimum number of specimens for each fish species (100 fish specimens) (Froese, 2006; Froese *et al*., 2011), the estimated *b* value for the LWR of the eight fish species studied was considered valid because it was within the specified standard range of 2.50 to 3.50 (Froese, 2006) or 2.0 to 4.0 (Tesch, 1971). The results of this study indicate that the growth patterns of all fish species are allometric, in which six species (*L. fulvus*, *P. barberinus*, *S. canaliculatus*, *P. quadrilineatus*, *M. cephalus*, and *H. far*) are allometric negative (the increase in fish length was more dominant than the increase in fish weight) and two species (*G. oyena* and *S. spinus*) were allometric positive (weight gain was more dominant than the increase in fish length). In negative allometric growth, the fish will become thinner as the fish's length increases. Conversely, fish growth conditions will be optimal if the fish growth pattern is positive allometric (Ricker & Carter, 1958).

The results of previous studies that have been summarized in the FishBase database (fishbase.se) reported variable *b* values indicating different growth patterns for *L. fulvus* (2.928 to 3.120), *P. barberinus* (2.803 to 3.195), *S canaliculatus* (2.460 to 3.169), *P. quadrilineatus* (2.958 to 3.108), *M. cephalus* (1.740 to 3.580), *H. far* (1.831 to 3.576), *G. oyena* (2.960 to 3.337), and *S. spinus* (2.870 to 3.122) (Froese & Pauly, 2020). Various studies report that differences in growth patterns for the same fish species (difference in *b* values) are strongly influenced by biological and ecological factors, such as differences in geographic location, food availability, sex, population density, environment or habitat, season, disease, and species phenotype (Schneider *et al*., 2000; Muchlisin *et al*., 2010; Hossain *et al*., 2015; Sunarni *et al*., 2019; Santos *et al*., 2020). Differences in the number and length range of fish specimens and sampling procedures also affect differences in the b value (Froese, 2006). Various factors that influence the growth patterns of fish are discussed above, but we considered none of these factors in this study. Fish sampling in this study was only carried out from January to March (west season), without considering biological and ecological factors, especially the separation of fish based on fish sex. Also, the measurement of the length of the fish sample is only based on the total length.

Condition factors for various fish species, including Fulton's condition factors and relative condition factors, can be used to determine the condition and health of certain fish species and can also be used as a growth and feeding index (Fagade, 1979; Oni *et al*., 1983). In this study, the value of the condition factor varied between individuals in the same fish species. This indicates that the conditions and growth rates, including the feeding ability of each fish, are different even within the same fish species. According to Le Cren (1951), the deviation of the relative condition factor value from 1 can provide information about differences in food availability for each fish species. The relative condition factor values for *M. cephalus*, *L. fulvus*, and *S. canaliculatus* were higher than 1, indicating abundant food availability for the three species. If the fish get enough food, it can cause the growth of these fish to be optimal (Jisr *et al*., 2018).

On the other hand, the Fulton condition factor can be used to compare the health status of various fish species in the same habitat because the calculation does not require *a* and *b* values. In general, larger fish individuals tend to be in a healthier physiological state. Various factors can affect the condition and growth of fish, including feed availability, reproductive cycle, and habitat or environmental factors (biotic and abiotic factors) (Morato *et al*., 2001; Anene, 2005), as well as the influence of variations in water temperature (De Giosa *et al*., 2014; Jisr *et al*., 2018).

Based on the TL size of the fish in this study, the fish caught by traditional Papuan fishermen were dominated by small to medium fish compared to the maximum size of these fish species in the FishBase database. The maximum total length of *L. fulvus*, *G. oyena*, *P. barberinus*, *S. spinus*, *S. canaliculatus*, *P. quadrilineatus*, *M. cephalus*, and *H. far* in the FishBase database were 40, 30, 60, 28, 40, 30, 100, and 45 cm, respectively (Froese & Pauly, 2020). Policies from the local government or area managers are needed to ensure the availability and utilization of fish resources in Youtefa Bay. A policy regarding the minimum size of fish caught is important because it can provide opportunities for small fish to breed into adults to ensure the availability of sustainable fish stocks. This policy can also be supported by regulations to increase the mesh size so that small fish are not caught. In addition, continuous LWR studies (especially seasonal LWR) are needed to estimate the seasonal growth pattern and spawning season of fish in Youtefa Bay. This is necessary because there is a significant seasonal relationship between fish weight and length, relative condition factors, and condition factors (Jana *et al*., 2022). Fish spawning season information is very important to determine the fishing season, which is closely related to fish size, stock, and condition. Also, to avoid catching fish when the fish are about to spawn, allow the fish to regenerate.

CONCLUSION

This study has provided the first information on LWR and the condition factors of the eight commercial fish targeted by Papuan fishermen in Youtefa Bay, Jayapura Province, Indonesia. The results showed a negative allometric growth pattern for *L. fulvus*, *P. barberinus*, *S. canaliculatus*, *P. quadrilineatus*, *M. cephalus*, and *H. far*. At the same time, the rest were allometric positive (*G. oyena* and *S. spinus*). For further studies, it is necessary to measure the length and weight of fish seasonally in order to know the growth patterns of fish each season. However, we hope that the results of this study can be used as information and supporting data for local governments to formulate policies for planning for sustainable fisheries resource management in the study location and its surroundings, such as the policy on the minimum size of fish that we may catch and the prohibition on fishing for certain fish in their spawning season.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EI, BH, and LPIA are the conception and design of study. BH and LPIA collect field data. EI and BH analyzed and interpreted the data. EI and BH drafted the manuscript. EI and BH are critical revisions of the manuscript. EI and BH are final approval and accountability. EI provides research funding support.

REFERENCES

Akter, Y., A.H. Md Hafiz, M. Md Idris, Z.F. Ahmed, M.S. Chhanda & S.I. Md Shahriar. 2019. Impact of gonad weight on the length-weight relationships of river catfish (*Clupisoma garua*) in Bangladesh. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research. 45 (4): 375-379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2019.10.003

Anene, A. 2005. Condition factor of four cichlid species of a man-made lake in Imo State, Southeastern Nigeria. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 5: 43-47.

Binohlan, C & D. Pauly. 2000. The Length-Weight Table. International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management. Manila, Philippines.

Cone, R.S. 1989. The need to reconsider the use of conditionindices in fishery science. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 118 (5): 510-514. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1989)118<0511:TNTRTU>2.3.CO;2

De Giosa, M., P. Czerniejewski & A. Rybczyk. 2014. Seasonal changes in condition factor and weight-length relationship of invasive *Carassius gibelio* (Bloch, 1782) from Leszczynskie Lakeland, Poland. Advances in Zoology. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/678763

Eagderi, S., A. Mouludi-Saleh & E. Çiçek. 2020. Length-weight relationship of ten species of Leuciscinae sub-family (Cyprinidae) from Iranian inland waters. International Aquatic Research. 12: 133-136. https://doi.org/10.22034/IAR(20).2020.1891648.1004

Fagade, S.O. 1979. Observation of the biology of two species of Tilapia from the Lagos lagoon, Nigeria. Bull. Inst. Français d'Afr. Noire (Ser. A). 41(3): 627-653.

Froese, R. & D. Pauly. 2020. FishBase. https://fishbase.net.br/search.php.

Froese, R. 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 22 (4): 241-253. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x

Froese, R., A.C. Tsikliras & K.I. Stergiou. 2011. Editorial note on weight-length relations of fishes. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria. 41 (4): 261-263. https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2011.41.4.01

Fulton, T.W. 1904. The Rate of Growth of Fishes. 22nd Annual Report, Part III. Fisheries Board of Scotland, Edinburgh. 141-241. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570291225855291904

Hamuna, B., S. Pujiyati, L. Dimara, N.M.N. Natih & A. Alianto. 2020. Distribution and density of demersal fishes in Youtefa Bay, Papua, Indonesia: a study using hydroacoustic technology. Indian Journal of Fisheries. 67 (1): 30-35. https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2019.67.1.88578-05

Harrison, T.D. 2001. Length-weight relationships of fishes from South African estuaries. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 17: 46-48. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0426.2001.00277.x

Hossain, M.Y., S.R.M. Sayed, M.M. Rahman, M.M. Ali, M.A. Hossen & A.M. Elgorban. 2015. Length-weight relationships of nine fish species from the Tetulia

River, southern Bangladesh. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 31: 967-969. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12823

Jafari-Patcan, A., S. Eagderi & A. Mouludi-Saleh. 2018. Length-weight relationship for four fish species from the Oman Sea, Iran. International Journal of Aquatic Biology. 6 (5): 294-295. https://doi.org/10.22034/ijab.v6i5.562

Jana, A., G. Sit, P. Das, A. Chanda & S.K. Sahu. 2022. Seasonal Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors of Mystus tengara (Hamilton, 1822) in Two Habitats. Aquatic Science and Engineering. 37 (4): 205-211. https://doi.org/10.26650/ASE202221159748

Jisr, N., G. Younes, C. Sukhn & M.H. El-Dakdouki. 2018. Length-weight relationships and relative condition factor of fish inhabiting the marine area of the Eastern Mediterranean city, Tripoli-Lebanon. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research. 44 (4): 299-305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2018.11.004

Le Cren, E.D. 1951. The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). Journal of Animal Ecology. 20 (2): 201-219. https://doi.org/10.2307/1540

Morato, T., P. Afonso, P. Loirinho, J.P. Barreiros, R.S. Sanstos & R.D.M. Nash. 2001. Length-weight relationships for 21 coastal fish species of the Azores, Northeastern Atlantic. Fisheries Research. 50: 297-302. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(00)00215-0

Morey, G., J. Morantaa, E. Massut, A. Graud, M. Linde, F. Rierad & B. Morales-Nina. 2003. Weight-length relationships of littoral to lower slope fishes from the western Mediterranean. Fisheries Research. 62: 89-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(02)00250-3

Muchlisin, Z.A., M. Musman & M.N. Siti-Azizah. 2010. Length-weight relationships and condition factors of two threatened fishes, *Rasbora tawarensis* and *Poropuntius tawarensis*, endemic to Lake Laut Tawar, Aceh Province, Indonesia. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 26 (6): 949-953. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01524.x

Oni, S.K., J.Y. Olayemi & J.D. Adegboye. 1983. Comparative physiology of three ecologically distinct fresh water fishes, Alestes nurse (Ruppell), Synodontis schall (Bloch), *S. schneider* and *Tilapia zilli* (Gervais). Journal of Fish Biology. 22: 105-109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1983.tb04730.x

Pulapparambil, A., M.S. Nirichan, M. Harikrishnan & S.P. Karuppaswamy. 2019. Length weight relationship and relative condition factor study in *Sahyadria chalakkudiensis* inhabiting Western Ghat River

Systems of South India. Journal of Tropical Life Science. 10 (1): 67-77. https://doi.org/10.11594/10.01.09

Rábago-Quiroz, C.H., J.A. García-Borbón, D.S. Palacios-Salgado & F.J. Barrón-Barraza. 2017. Length-weight relation for eleven demersal fish species in the artisanal shrimp fishery areas from the Bahia Magdalena-Almejas lagoon system, Mexico. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria. 47 (3): 303-305. https://doi.org/10.3750/AIEP/02186

Reis, İ. & C. Ateş. 2020. Age, growth, length-weight relation, and reproduction of sand steenbras, *Lithognathus mormyrus* (Actinopterygii: Perciformes: Sparidae), in the Köyceğiz Lagoon, Mediterranean. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria. 50 (4): 445-451. https://doi.org/10.3750/AIEP/03016

Ricker, W.E & N.M. Carter. 1958. Handbook of Computations for Biological Statistics of Fish Populations. The Fisheries Research Board of Canada. Ottawa, Canada.

Rumahorbo, B.T., B. Hamuna & H.J. Keiluhu. 2020. An assessment of the coastal ecosystem services of Jayapura City, Papua Province, Indonesia. Environmental & Socio-economic Studies. 8 (2): 45-53. https://doi.org/10.2478/environ-2020-0011

Santos, D.A., A.D.C. Manliclic & M.N.C. Corpuz. 2020. Length-weight relationship and condition factor of silver therapon, *Leiopotherapon plumbeus* (Terapontidae) from two brackishwater habitats. AACL Bioflux. 13 (3): 1495-1503.

Sari, A., A. Tuwo, C. Rani & A. Saru. 2020. Identification and composition of fish types in the Youtefa bay tourism area. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 564: 012023. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/564/1/012023

Schneider, J.C., P.W. Laarman & H. Gowing. 2000. Length-weight relationships. In J. C. Schneider (Ed.), Manual of fisheries survey methods II: with periodic updates. Ann Arbor: Michigan Department of Natural Resources. 1-18.

Sentosa, A. A. & U. Chodrijah. 2020. Length-weight relationship and growth parameter of Indonesian houndshark (*Hemitriakis indroyonoi* White, Compagno & Dharmadi, 2009) caught from artisanal fisheries in Southern West Nusa Tenggara Waters. AACL Bioflux. 13 (3): 1211-1220.

Sokal, R.R & F.J. Rohlf. 1987. Introduction to biostatistics. New York, USA: Freeman Publication.

Sunarni, S., E.H.P. Melmambessy, N. Mote, R. Rahmatia & B. Hamuna. 2019. Length-weight relationship and condition factor of Mudskipper Moleophthalmus pectinirostris from Maro estuary, Merauke Regency, Papua. Journal of Ecological Engineering. 20 (8): 199-204. https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/110841

Tebaiy, S., F. Yulianda, A. Fahrudin & I. Muchsin. 2014. Struktur komunitas ikan pada habitat lamun di Teluk Youtefa Jayapura Papua. Jurnal Iktiologi Indonesia. 14 (1): 49-65. https://doi.org/10.32491/jii.v14i1.95

Tesch, F.W. 1971. Age and growth. In W. E. Ricker (Ed.), Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications. 98-130.

Zar, J. H. 2014. Biostatistical Analysis. Pearson Education. Harlow.

How to cite this article Indrayani, E., B. Hamuna & L.PI. Agamawan. 2023. Length-Weight Relationship and Condition Factors of Some Commercial Fish from Youtefa Bay, Jayapura City, Indonesia. Jurnal Perikanan Universitas Gadjah Mada. 25 (1): 89-96. DOI https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.22146/jfs.79653