Study of Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) Sengon to Concrete Joint Using Two-Dimensional Numerical Simulation
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ABSTRACT The connection system is a critical part of Timber – Concrete Composite (TCC) floor structures. The behaviour of the connection needs to be known to predict the behaviour of composite structure accurately. Screws are one kind of connector that mostly used in the composite structure due to its installation ease and high withdrawal strength. This study carried out a two-dimensional numerical simulation to examine the behaviour of LVL Sengon-concrete joint using OpenSees software. The lag screw used to connect LVL Sengon and concrete. In this simulation, the screw was assumed as a beam with hinges element that supported by a set of springs representing the strength of LVL Sengon and concrete. Some input parameters for this simulation were obtained from the material test and previous research. The effect of secondary axial force was considered into the load-displacement curve resulted from the numerical simulation. This study performed several simulations towards the variation of the screw diameter, penetration depth, and concrete compressive strength. The capacity of the connections resulted from the numerical simulation were overestimates the manual calculation using EYM theory and NDS 2018 equations. The capacity of the connection increased about 146% to 284% due to the addition of secondary axial forces. In addition, this simulation can adequately predict the shear force, bending moment, and deformation of the screw. There is a plastic hinge formed in the screw after the screw being deformed a quite large. It shows the same yield mode with the manual calculation using EYM theory and NDS 2018 equations. This simulation also can show the contribution of each spring elements to resist the load until its ultimate strength.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) is an engineering wood that is made by gluing layers of timber with 2.5 mm to 4.8 mm thickness using adhesive. Some veneers of timber are arranged in the vertical or horizontal direction to form a laminated beam and cut into the required dimension. The beam that composed by vertically arranged veneers has higher strength although its stiffness is lower (Tjondro, et al., 2011). LVL Sengon is one of engineering wood that currently available in Indonesia. Compared to Sengon solid timber, LVL Sengon has better mechanical properties, as shown in Table 1.

A composite structure is a kind of structure obtained by combining two or more materials that aim to get a structure with better performance. In the LVL -Concrete composite floor, the composite action between LVL and timber can reach 95% if the connection system between LVL and concrete designed properly (Yeoh, et al., 2011). Design of the shear connector in a composite structure is related to not only the number of connectors being installed in the composite structure but also the behaviour of the shear connector itself. The response of the TCC floor structure can be predicted accurately if the behavior of the shear connector is considered (Oudjene, et al., 2018).

Manual calculation using European Yield Model (EYM) theory and National Design Specification (NDS) 2018 can predict the load-carrying capacity of the connection without knowing the behaviour of the connection. The behavior of the connection can be predicted using numerical simulation or experimental test.
Table 1: Mechanical properties of Sengon solid and LVL Sengon (Awaludin, et al., 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical properties</th>
<th>Sengon solid</th>
<th>LVL Sengon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (N/mm²)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOE (N/mm²)</td>
<td>1250-3500</td>
<td>5700-9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR (N/mm²)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39-40.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression // (N/mm²)</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ⊥ (N/mm²)</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension // (N/mm²)</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>46.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerical simulation is often chosen due to its easiness and practicality. Meghlat et al. (2013) modeled screwed timber joint using ABAQUS software in which they used a one-dimensional beam element to represent the steel screws and solid element for the timber. This simulation can predict the load-slip curve of the connection accurately in the elastic zone. Oudjene, et al. (2013) proposed a numerical model of screwed timber to concrete joint in detailed 3D finite element model and could accurately predict the nonlinear behaviour of the connection until a slip level of 20 mm.

Izzi et al. (2016) had performed a numerical simulation to simulate steel to timber joints for CLT structure. The fastener shank was simulated using non-linear beam element that interconnected with hinges. The result shows that the simulation can be implemented in a general model of the connection to predict the behavior of the connection after being compared by the experimental work. Hassanieh et al. (2017) had carried out a validation of three-dimensional finite element model of LVL to steel connection using bolt and coach screw and found that the friction force between steel and LVL affect the peak load capacity of the connection with screw connection. Numerical simulation using three-dimensional model sometimes is complicated and needs high specification hardware. Therefore, this study performed a numerical simulation in the two-dimensional model to obtain a new approach of connection model to predict the behavior of the LVL Sengon to concrete joint using a screw as its fastener. This simulation also considered The effect of the secondary axial force on the load-carrying capacity of the joints.

2 METHODS

2.1 Methodology

Figure 1 shows the study methodology.
2.2 Preliminary test
Some preliminary test was performed, to obtain the material behaviour as an input parameter for the numerical simulation. According to ASTM E8M, the tensile test provides information on the strength and ductility of the materials under uniaxial tensile stress and shown in Figure 2.(a). From the result of the screw tensile test shown in Figure 2.(b), steel as the screw material behaves as bilinear material.

The specimen for embedment test consists of 40 mm x 80 mm x 60 mm of LVL Sengon and screw with a diameter of 8 mm and 6 mm. A screw was placed on top of LVL Sengon, and the force was applied over the screw through a loading plate, as shown in Figure 3.(a). Figure 3.(b) and 3.(c) show that the behaviour of the embedment strength of LVL Sengon corresponds to elasto-perfectly plastic material.

Screw withdrawal test was performed based on ASTM D1037 to evaluate the resistance of LVL Sengon due to screw withdrawal.

Six specimens consist of 40 mm x 80 mm x 200 mm LVL Sengon and screw with diameter 8 mm and 6 mm. Force is applied to the screw, as shown in Figure 4.(a).

Figure 4.(b) and 4.(c) show that the withdrawal strength behaves as bilinear material. The withdrawal strength of LVL Sengon increased until reaching its maximum strength, then run into the softening stage along with the increase of slip.
Both EYM and NDS 2018 can predict the load-carrying capacity of the connection in some yield mode only, as shown in Figure 5. European Yield Model (EYM) that was proposed by Johansen in 1949 assumed that the embedment strength of wood and fastener bending strength behaves as rigid plastic material. The connection achieves its load-carrying capacity when the embedment strength of the connected member under the screw reaches its ultimate strength, or there is a formation of one or more plastic hinge in the screw followed by the occurrence of plastic stress in the timber element. According to EYM theory, the load-carrying capacity of a screw (\(Z\)) can be determined using Equation 1 to 4 (Awaludin, 2005). A connection that uses nails, screws, dowels, and bolts belongs to metal dowel type connection. Metal dowel connection is a ductile connection even though it has a lower load-carrying capacity compared by notch and plate connection (Dias, et al., 2015).

\[
Z(I_5) = \frac{3.3D t_s F_{es}}{K_D} 
\]

\[
Z(III_m) = \frac{3.3k_1D p F_{em}}{K_D(1+2R_e)} 
\]

\[
Z(III_s) = \frac{3.3k_2D t_s F_{em}}{K_D(2+R_e)} 
\]

\[
Z(IV) = \frac{3.3D^2}{K_D} \frac{2F_{em} F_{yb}}{3(1+R_e)} 
\]

In Equation 1 to 4, \(t_m\) is the thickness of the main timber member, \(t_s\) is the thickness of the side member, \(D\) is the fastener diameter, \(p\) is the depth of the fastener penetration, and \(K_D\) is the reduction factor. \(F_{em}\) and \(F_{es}\) is the embedment strength of the main and side member, respectively. \(R_e\) is the ratio between \(F_{em}\) and \(F_{es}\). The value of \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) in Equation 3 to 6 must be determined using Equation 5 and 6 (Awaludin, 2005).

2.3 The Load Carrying Capacity of the Connection

European Yield Model (EYM) theory and National Design Specification (NDS) 2018 are the popular theory to calculate the load-carrying capacity of the connection.
According to NDS 2018, the value of $k_1, k_2,$ and $k_3$ in Equation 7 to 12 must be determined using Equation 13 to 15. 

The friction effect on the connection could arise in the failure modes that involve yielding of the fasteners, as shown in Figure 5. In addition to being subjected to bending, the fastener is also subjected to a tension force or axial force. This axial force has vertical and horizontal force components. If the axial force is $N_d$ and rotation angle of the screw is $\theta$, the vertical component can be written as $N_d \sin \theta$. If the friction coefficient between concrete and timber is $\mu$, the horizontal component $N_d \cos \theta$ must be multiplied by $\mu$ to get a normal force that also acts in the vertical direction.

The presence of $N_d \sin \theta$ and $\mu N_d \cos \theta$ in the connection force gives additional resistance to the connection. Table 2 presents the value of $\mu$ for wood and concrete.
Figure 6. Secondary axial force in connection (Porteous & Kermani, 2007, with modification)

Table 2. Concrete to timber friction coefficient (Gorst, et al., 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>µ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 5795 : 1996</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorst, et al (2003)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIN 4421 and prEN 12812</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Numerical Simulation of LVL Sengon to concrete joint

Numerical simulation of LVL Sengon to concrete joint was performed using OpenSees v.2.5 finite element software. The schematization of the finite element model shown in Figure 7.

As shown in Figure 7, the fastener shank was assumed as a beam with hinges element with fibre section, with the length of each segment is 5 mm. A fibre section is a solid cross-section that consists of some fibre as described in Figure 8.

According to Du, Sun, & Xu, (2012), the use of fibre section in the analysis gives some advantages. The fibre section can include the coupling of axial force and bending moment during the analysis. A set of spring given along the screw shank supported the beam element, representing the embedment strength (red and orange springs in Figure 7) and withdrawal strength (blue and green springs in Figure 7) of LVL Sengon and concrete. The input parameter of LVL Sengon was obtained from the preliminary test, while the parameter of concrete adopted from the previous study performed by Suriani (2012) and Rao & Arora (2013). The spring element was built using two node-link elements that connect two nodes, one in the beam with hinges element and one in the rigid element located in the top and bottom of the screw. The rigid element in the LVL Sengon and the concrete side supported by roller and fixed support, respectively.

The load is applied gradually in the rigid element located in the LVL Sengon using displacement control integrator. The applied displacement increment is \( dU \) in static condition. The value of displacement increment set to the 0.001mm with the control point is at the end of the screw that located in the LVL Sengon side, as shown in Figure 9.
The structure is in equilibrium condition when the external work equal to the internal work. The external virtual work, \( W_i \), is equivalent to the first variation of potential energy due to external load caused by displacement variation as described in Equation 16. The internal virtual work written in Equation 17 is the variation of strain energy, \( \Lambda^{(e)} \). Equation 18 expressed the total potential energy, \( \Pi \), for a structure with \( n \) elements and \( m \) nodes.

\[
W_i = F_iu_i \tag{16}
\]

\[
\Lambda^{(e)} = \int \frac{1}{2} \sigma \varepsilon dV = \int \frac{1}{2} E \varepsilon^2 dV \tag{17}
\]

\[
\Pi = \sum_{e=1}^{n} \Lambda^{(e)} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_i = \sum_{e=1}^{n} \Lambda^{(e)} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i u_i \tag{18}
\]

In Equation 16 to 18, \( F \) is the external forces, and the value of \( u \) is referring to the nodal displacement. \( V \) is the volume of the system, \( E \) is the modulus of elasticity, and \( \varepsilon \) is the strain of each element. To obtain the equilibrium of the structure, Equation 18 then derived as Equation 19 and must be equal to zero. Newton Raphson and Modified Newton algorithms were used to solving Equation 19. This study performed some numerical simulation based on the availability of data and tabulated in Table 3

\[
\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial u_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \sum_{e=1}^{n} \Lambda^{(e)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i u_i = 0 \tag{19}
\]

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### 3.1 The Load Carrying Capacity of The Connection

The load-carrying capacity of the connection can be taken from the smallest values calculated using Equations 1 to 4 (according to EYM) and Equations 7 to 12 (according to NDS 2018). The load-displacement curves resulted from the numerical simulation are then compared by the results from the theoretical calculation, shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10 shows that the connection behaves in a ductile manner. In general, the load-carrying capacity resulted from the numerical simulation is higher than EYM and NDS 2018 due to the difference assumption between theoretical calculation and numerical simulation. The numerical simulation had considered the withdrawal strength of LVL Sengon and concrete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Various type of numerical simulation performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connection Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Table 4, the difference of the connection capacity between numerical simulation and EYM theory varies from 0% to 26%. The difference of the connection capacity between numerical simulation and NDS 2018 varies from 192% to 4160% and caused by the great reduction factor given in NDS 2018 equation particularly when the fastener diameter is smaller than 6.35mm. Table 4 shows that the screw diameter and screw penetration depth provides a significant effect on the load-carrying capacity of the connection.

The concrete compressive strength has a minimal effect on the load-carrying capacity of the connection.

Equations in NDS 2018 and EYM equations have not considered the effect of secondary axial force yet. Meanwhile, the numerical simulation had considered the secondary axial force. Table 5 shows the effect of the secondary axial force on the connection. There is an increase of connection capacity between 146% to 284% due to the addition of secondary axial force.
Table 4. The load carrying capacity of the connection from numerical simulation and theoretical calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection type</th>
<th>Numerical simulation (kN)</th>
<th>EYM Capacity (kN)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
<th>NDS 2012 Capacity (kN)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A6x</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>4160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8x</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8y</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6x</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.093</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>4141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8x</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8y</td>
<td>2.126</td>
<td>1.690</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. The effect secondary axial force to the load-carrying capacity of the connection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection type</th>
<th>µ=0.0 Capacity (kN)</th>
<th>µ=0.4 Capacity (kN)</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
<th>µ=0.7 Capacity (kN)</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
<th>µ=0.8 Capacity (kN)</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A6x</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>3.154</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4.104</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>4.501</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8x</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>4.557</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>5.799</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>6.213</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8y</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>5.585</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.321</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>7.899</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6x</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>3.161</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4.021</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>4.308</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8x</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>4.600</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5.842</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>6.256</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8y</td>
<td>2.126</td>
<td>5.679</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.410</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>7.987</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Screw Deformation

Figure 11 shows the deformation along the screw. At the end of the loading steps, the screw formed a plastic hinge (indicated by the blue circle in Figure 11). The value of Δ in Figure 11 shows the displacement at the end of the screw (point 0,0 in Figure 11). Table 6 shows the yield mode of the connection that calculated using existing theory (EYM and NDS 2018).

Table 6. Yield mode of the connection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection Type</th>
<th>Yield mode (Theoretical calculation)</th>
<th>Yield mode (Simulation)</th>
<th>Number of plastic hinges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYM</td>
<td>NDS 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6x</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8x</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>I_m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8y</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6x</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8x</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>I_m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8y</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>III_m</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Internal Forces of Screw

The numerical simulation results of the behavior of LVL Sengon to concrete joint show similar behavior. Figure 12 shows the shear force and bending moment distribution along the screw for B8y connection. The value of Δ in Figure 12 shows the displacement at the end of the screw (point 0,0 in Figure 12). The maximum shear force occurred near the shear plane of LVL Sengon and concrete. In this area, the screw surface changed from threaded to the smooth surface, and the diameter is also changed. Besides that, the stiffness of the spring that supports the screw also changed. It causes stress which indicated by the maximum shear force. The great support from concrete embedment and withdrawal strength makes the screw embedded in the concrete side clamped. The moment distribution shows that the screw behaves like a cantilever beam. Figure 12.(b) shows one of the maximum bending moment value has exceeded the yield moment of the screw and has a slightly different from the plastic moment of the screw, which indicates the formation of a plastic hinge on the screw. The value of the bending moment for several types of connection given in Table 7.
3.4 Internal Forces of Springs Elements

In general, the numerical simulation results regarding the behavior of LVL Sengon to concrete joint show similar behavior. Therefore, Figure 13 shows the internal forces of the spring elements for B8y connection only. The value of \( \Delta \) in Figure 13 shows the displacement at the end of the screw (point 0,0 in Figure 13).

In this simulation, the embedment strength of LVL Sengon assumed as spring elements that only resist compression stress, while the embedment strength of concrete assumed as spring elements that can resist both compression and tension stress. During the loading process, lateral loads applied through LVL Sengon cause the spring elements above the screw in the LVL Sengon side experienced to compression stress.

The spring elements located over the screw experienced compression stress until it reaches the plastic limit of LVL Sengon, whereas the spring elements under the screw in the LVL Sengon side doesn’t resist any forces except the spring near the edge of LVL Sengon. It shows that the numerical simulation is suitable with the theory in which the load-carrying capacity of the connection achieved when the embedment strength of the connected member under the screw reaches its ultimate strength, or there is a formation of one or more plastic hinges in the screw followed by the occurrence of plastic stress in the timber element. The different behavior is shown by the spring elements that represent the withdrawal and embedment strength of concrete.

The spring elements both above and under the screw are resisting the load together. When the upper spring run into the compression stress, the bottom spring experienced tension stress, and it goes the other way around. Figure 13 shows that the tension stress occurred in the spring element reaches the concrete tensile strength, but the compression stress occurred in the spring element reaches the concrete tensile strength.
4 CONCLUSIONS

This study performed a two-dimensional numerical simulation of LVL Sengon to concrete joint. The screw was assumed as a beam with hinges element that supported by a set of spring. Some variations of the numerical simulation were performed and resulted as follows.

a. The connection capacity resulted from the numerical simulation is higher than the theoretical calculation. The difference in the connection capacity resulted from numerical simulation and EYM theory varies from 0% to 26%. The difference in the connection capacity between the result from numerical simulation and NDS 2018 Equation varies from 192% to 4160%.

b. The secondary axial force gives a more load-carrying capacity of the connection about 146% to 284%.

c. The maximum shear force and bending moment occurred near the shear plane of LVL Sengon and concrete. A plastic hinge formed in the maximum bending moment point which led to the same yield mode predicted by EYM theory and NDS 2018 equation in general.

d. The load-carrying capacity of the connection is achieved when the embedment strength of the LVL Sengon reaches its plastic limit. From the simulation, the embedment spring of LVL Sengon reaches its plastic deformation after the screw deforms a quite large. The embedment spring of the concrete also reaches its tension strength limit, but not its compressive strength limit.
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