# An Analysis of Energy Consumption in the Campus Building's Operation (Case Study: The Building of Faculty of Engineering and Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada)

Syarifudin Politeknik Negeri Nunukan, Nunukan, INDONESIA syarifudisya@gmail.com

Ashar Saputra

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, INDONESIA saputra@ugm.ac.id

#### Suprapto Siswosukarto

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, INDONESIA suprapto.siswosukarto@ugm.ac.id

#### ABSTRACT

Energy Consumption Intensity (IKE) is an indicator that is used to determine the amount of energy used per area by an airconditioned building within a month or a year as a reference to determine how much energy conservation can be done in the building. To determine the energy consumption of buildings and to determine the savings opportunities, there should be an effort to review the energy consumption through energy audits. In this research, energy consumption analysis was conducted at the Main Office building of the Faculty of Engineering (KPFT) and Department of Civil Engineering and Environmental (CEED) of Universitas Gadjah Mada Yogyakarta. The analysis was conducted on the main variables of energy efficiency, namely: measurement of temperature and relative humidity, calculation of Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) and Roof Thermal Transfer Value (RTTV), calculation of Energy Consumption Intensity (IKE) in air-conditioned and non-airconditioned rooms as well as an analysis of opportunities to increase the efficiency of energy consumption in the buildings. The results showed that the temperature and the relative humidity of the KPFT building =  $28.4^{\circ}$ C and  $62^{\circ}$ , while CEED Building =  $28.4^{\circ}$ C dan 65%. Calculation of average OTTV of the KPFT building =  $17.61 \text{ W/m}^2$  and CEED building = 43.05W/m<sup>2</sup>. Average IKE of the KPFT building in 2015 was 3.25 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month and in 2016 was 3.45 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month, while the average IKE of CEED building in 2015 was 1.5 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month and in 2016 was 0.79 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month. Based on the calculation of IKE in both buildings, they are still considered in the category of efficient. However, based on the measurement of temperature and relative humidity, it shows that in both buildings air conditioning is still necessary to achieve the level of thermal comfort, therefore an increase of efficiency in the load is needed to avoid wastage.

Keywords: Energy Audit, IKE, Conventional Building, Thermal Comfort.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays it cannot be denied that energy has become a basic need that has an important role as a stimulus of almost all economic and social activities in the society. As a means of infrastructure supporting those activities, buildings also have a high level of need for energy for their operational activities.

Energy consumption in buildings should have been planned and agreed upon from the initial planning between planners, owners and contractors/ implementers so that material selection, determination of design as well as electrical equipment used such as lights, air conditioners, and electrical appliances are more energy efficient (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, 2012). For buildings that have been built conventionally, there should be an effort to review energy consumption through energy audits to determine the profile of energy usage and energy savings opportunities so that it can increase the efficiency of energy usage. This paper describes the use of energy consumption as one of the dominant aspects that determines the cost efficiency in building operation.

Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM) of Yogyakarta as one of the best universities in Indonesia should have applied the savings or efficiency in the use of energy in the operational activities of the buildings. Considering that there has been no calculation analysis of energy consumption in the KPFT, used as administrative building of engineering faculty, and in CEED's building, used as a lecture building, the energy use in both buildings cannot be categorized as efficient, yet. Therefore, energy audit activities are necessary to be carried out.

Purposes of the researchs are to figure out the value of energy consumption intensity and the existing energy usage profile in the operational activities of KPFT building and CEED building of UGM Yogyakarta in the last 2 years, 2015 and 2016, to figure out the application of several major variables of energy efficiency namely; temperature setting and relative humidity of air, value of OTTV and RTTV at KPFT building and CEED building of UGM Yogyakarta, and to determine alternative methods of energy conservation to make energy savings as well as cost savings based on real conditions.

# 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Marzuki and Rusman (2012) conducted a study of Energy Audit on Building Director of PT. Perkebunan Nusantara XIII (Persero). Analysis of energy consumption was carried out through a comprehensive energy audit on a building by calculating the Energy Consumption Intensity (IKE) Gross, as well as Net IKE. The results show that the composition of the use of energy is divided into three groups, namely; lighting expenses 4.54%, air conditioner (AC) 57.36% and other office equipment 38.10%. The potential savings from the difference energy use of conventional AC replacement with energy-efficient AC inverters for all office buildings is significant. In addition, Replacement of TL lamps with LED lamps that have a much lower heat can reduce the workload of AC to make it more energy efficient in lighting systems. Yuliatna (2015) conducted a study on the comparison of energy consumption in buildings with the concept of green building and conventional buildings. This research was carried out by calculating the value of OTTV and RTTV and the value of Energy Consumption Intensity (IKE). Result of analysis of several research variables; namely temperature, relative humidity, OTTV, RTTV, (IKE) show that buildings with green building concept have better energy audit results compared to conventional buildings.

# 3 RESEARCH METHOD

Based on Inpres No.13 year 2011 on energy and water conservation, it is necessary to do energy management so that energy usage, especially electric energy becomes more efficient (Syarifudin, 2017). The purpose of the energy audit is to study the profile of energy use and energy saving opportunities so as to improve the efficiency of energy use. The energy audit is a thorough study of the calculation of Energy Consumption Intensity (IKE), either in the form of IKE Gross, or IKE Netto. Based on the energy conservation standards used by the Ministry of National Education of the Republic of Indonesia and ASEAN - USAID, the intensity of energy consumption (IKE) in buildings is classified into two parts: air-conditioned and non-AC rooms (ASEAN-USAID, 1992).

Indonesian National Standard (SNI) 6389-2011 provides guidance on design criteria, energy conservation, and recommendations for the optimal building envelope as well as SNI 6197-2011 (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2011) rules procedure of energy audit for building so that energy use can be efficient without compromising comfort and work productivity (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2011). In calculating the value of Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) there are several variables that must be studied, namely; the absorbance value of solar radiation, wall thermal transmittance (W/m<sup>20</sup>C), window to wall ratio or the ratio of the width of the window to the entire outer wall in the specified orientation, the difference in the equivalent temperature between the outer and inner side, solar factor or solar radiation factor in orientation determined (W/m<sup>2</sup>), and shading coefficient or shade coefficient of the fenestration /aperture system. In addition to OTTV, RTTV (roof thermal transfer value) is also calculated to define the thermal transfer for roof area. RTTV is calculated by considering several factors, as follows; a transparent roofing area (W/m°C), the difference between the outer and inner side (°C), the width of the roof light hole (m<sup>2</sup>), the roof hole transmittance (W/m°C), the temperature difference between the outer and inner planning conditions (°C), and shading coefficient or coefficient of roof hole lightning (Krishan, 2001).

Considering that there has been no audit on energy consumption in Faculty of Engineering (KPFT) building and Civil Engineering and Environment Department (CEED) building of Universitas Gadjah Mada University, the efficiency use of energy consumption in both buildings cannot yet be determined, therefore an energy audit is necessary.

# 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

# 4.1 Measurements of temperature and relative humidity

Measurements of temperature and relative humidity were conducted directly at the KPFT building and the CEED building. Measurement of these two variables was performed using a *Thermohygrometer* by taking some of the main rooms on each floor as samples. In each room, the measurement took approximately 5 to 10 minutes while the air was not being conditioned (AC turned was off). Measurements were conducted on holidays (Saturdays and Sundays) 3 times with a three-week rest at each measurement in each of the buildings between November 2016 and January 2017. Measurements were carried out in the morning at 07.00-09.00 am noon at 11.00-13:00 pm and the afternoon at 15.00-17:00 pm.

By the time of the measurement, it was figured out that the sun inclining on the south side of the building, thus affecting the measurement results conducted on the rooms located on the south side of the building. Result recapitulation of temperature and relative humidity measurement in KPFT and CEED buildings is presented in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that the average air temperature of the KPFT building is 28.4 with a relative humidity (RH) of 62% and the average temperature of the CEED building is 28.4 with a relative humidity (RH) of 65%. Based on the standard by SNI regarding thermal comfort that the relative humidity is about 60% and the temperature is between 20.5 - 22.8 (comfortably cool), 22.8 - 25.8 (Optimum Comfort), 25.8-27.1 (almost comfortable), it was revealed that air conditioning is still needed in both buildings.

# 4.2 OTTV dan RTTV Calculation

#### 4.2.1 KPFT building

OTTV calculations on this building were done directly on the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  floors. The calculation was not performed on the 1st floor because it is an open space since there is no wall so that calculation was not applicable. In addition to OTTV calculations, roof RTTV calculations were also performed.

The material used in the  $2^{nd}$  floor wall of the north side is normal solid concrete as thick as 152 mm ( $\alpha_w =$ 

0.86), brick specific gravity ( $\rho$ ) = 2,400 kg.m<sup>3</sup>, transmittance (U) = 3.58 W/m<sup>3o</sup>C, with slightly shiny white color finishing ( $\alpha\rho$ =0.30), window glass is clear glass with shading coefficient (*SC*) = 0.86. So, the weight of the wall per m<sup>2</sup> is:

 $\begin{aligned} \rho &= weight /volume \\ weight &= (\rho)(V) = (2,400 \text{ kg.m}^3)(1x1x \ 0.152 \text{ m}^3) \\ &= 354.8 \text{ Kg} \end{aligned}$ 

for 354.8 > 195, equivalent temperature difference obtained ( $\Delta T_{eq}$ ) = 10 K.

Wall Absorption is combination between the absorption of wall materials that is normally solid concrete and absorption coat paint (white slightly shiny).

Wall Absorption ( $\alpha$ ) =( $\alpha_w + \alpha_p$ )/2=(0.86+0.30)/2= 0.58

Window Size L2  $(A1) = (1.8x21.4m^2)+(4x4m^2) = 54.52 m^2$ Well and window size L2  $(A2) = (5x77.2 m^2) = 286 m^2$ 

Wall and window size L2 (A2)=  $(5x77.2 \text{ m}^2) = 386 \text{ m}^2$ Window to wall ratio (*WWR*) = 54.52 / 386 = 0.14

Solar factor (*SF*) north side =  $130 \text{ W/m}^2$  *OTTV*<sub>North</sub> =  $\alpha [(U(1-WWR)]\Delta T_{eq} + (SC)(WWR)(SF)$ =0.58[(3.58(1-0.14)](10)+(0.86)(0.14)(130)=33.51 m<sup>2</sup>

Then, with the same calculation method, calculations are performed on all floors for each orientation, with the results as shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Recapitulation of OTTV value in KPFT building.

No	Area	OTTV value orientation					
		North	East	South	West		
2	$2^{nd}$	33.51	24.62	29.54	27.73		
3	Floor 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor	36.24	27.53	31.42	44.29		
Averag	e	34.88	26.08	30.48	36.01		

Table 1. Result of temperature and relative humidity measurement in KPFT and CEED building.

No	Time			KPFT		CEED	
				Temperatu	ire (°C)	RH (%)	
1	Day 1	Morning	07:00 to 09:00	27.5	63	27.4	68
	28/11/16	Noon	11:00 to 13:00	28.5	62	29.0	65
		Afternoon	16:00 to 18:00	29.4	62	29.5	64
2	Day 2	Morning	07:00 to 09:00	27.2	66	27.5	67
	11/28/16	Noon	11:00 to 13:00	28.3	62	28.6	65
		Afternoon	16:00 to 18:00	29.8	60	28.7	65
3	Day 3	Morning	07:00 to 09:00	27.3	62	27.1	67
	28/11/16	Noon	11:00 to 13:00	28.3	61	28.9	65
		Afternoon	16:00 to 18:00	29.5	63	29.2	63
	Average			28.4	62	28.4	65

Based on the conducted OTTV calculation and on the standard set by SNI with maximum OTTV value of 45  $W/m^2$ , it was revealed that the OTTV value of the whole orientation of the wall of the KPFT building is still below the specified threshold.

Then, RTTV calculation in the KPFT building by using the following method; roof material is clay tile with transmittance value (U) = 1.7 W/m<sup>2</sup> °C and  $\alpha$  = 0.8. Roof area = 1,982.4 m<sup>2</sup>. Shading coefficient (*SC*) and solar factor (*SF*) value are not needed because the roof of the CEED building does not have any skylight. Weight roof per m<sup>2</sup> is determined by calculating the total weight of all components per m<sup>2</sup>. From the calculation result, it is assumed that weight per m<sup>2</sup> = 200 kg, so that  $\Delta T_{eq} = 20$  K or °C.

 $\begin{array}{l} OTTV_{total} = \left[ (A_{north} \ x \ OTTV_{north}) + (A_{east} \ x \ OTTV_{east}) + (A_{south} \ x \ OTTV_{south}) + (A_{west} \ OTTV_{west}) + (A_{roof} \ x \ OTTV_{roof}) \right] / (A_{north} + A_{east} + A_{south} + A_{west} + A_{roof}) \end{array}$ 

 $= [(782 x 34.88) + (412 x 26.08) + (782 x 30.84) + (412 x 36.01) + (1982.4 x 34)] / (782+412+782+412+1982.4) = 17.61 W/m^{2}$ 

Based on the conducted calculation, results of OTTV and RTTV calculations on KPFT building on each wall orientation are; the north wall of  $34.88 \text{ W/m}^2$ . the east wall of  $26.08 \text{ W/m}^2$ , the south wall of  $30.84 \text{ W/m}^2$  and the west wall of  $36.01 \text{ W/m}^2$  and RTTV roof at  $34 \text{ W/m}^2$ .



Figure 1. Comparison OTTV value with SNI on KPFT building.

The comparison on Figure 1 shows that OTTV value of all orientation of the entire wall of KPFT building is still below the threshold of the SNI, which amounted to  $45 \text{ W/m}^2$ .

#### 4.2.2 CEED building

Similar to the calculation method performed on the KPFT building, OTTV calculations on CEED building are performed floor per floor in each direction of wall orientation. Recapitulation of OTTV calculation on 1st - 3rd floor for the four sides of the building wall of CEED building are presented in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Recapitulation of OTTV value in CEED building.

No	Area	OTTV v	OTTV value orientation					
		North	East	South	West			
1	1 <sup>st</sup> Floor	49.94	36.31	37.89	70.33			
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	52.71	37.22	37.75	95.06			
3	3rd Floor	50.68	37.39	37.85	69.86			
Average		51.11	36.97	37.83	78.42			

Based on the calculations that have been done, the results of OTTV and RTTV calculations on CEED buildings on each wall orientation are; the north wall =  $51.11 \text{ W/m}^2$ , the east wall =  $36.97 \text{ W/m}^2$ , the south wall =  $37.83 \text{ W/m}^2$  and the west wall =  $78.42 \text{ W/m}^2$ .

Based on Figure 2, OTTV values of east and south walls remain below the threshold while for the north and west walls' OTTV value are above the standard threshold SNI, which amounted to  $45 \text{ W/m}^2$ .



Figure 2. Comparison OTTV value with SNI on CEED building.

#### 4.2.3 IKE Calculation

There are two variables that can be calculated on IKE building electricity, namely: IKE Gross which is total area of the air-conditioned room (with AC) plus total area of the non-air-conditioned room (non-AC), and IKE nett which is detailed IKE with clear separation per unit of total area between air-conditioned and nonair-conditioned rooms.

Month	2015			2016		
	Electrical Consumption (kWh)	Electrical Cost (Rp)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	Electrical Consumption (kWh)	Electrical Cost (Rp)	Building IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)
January	16,600	14,641,200.00	3.01	19,000	18,098,640.00	3.44
February	16,160	14,253,120.00	2.93	19,320	18,403,459.20	3.50
March	16,080	14,182,560.00	2.91	19,200	18,289,152.00	3.48
April	19,680	17,357,760.00	3.57	20,120	19,165,507.20	3.65
May	18,720	16,511,040.00	3.39	23,280	22,175,596.80	4.22
June	15,480	13,653,360.00	2.80	18,680	17,793,820.80	3.38
July	14,960	13,194,720.00	2.71	86,80	8,268,220.80	1.57
August	16,720	14,747,040.00	3.03	21,240	20,232,374.40	3.85
September	19,560	17,251,920.00	3.54	19,840	18,889,790.40	3.59
October	18,160	16,017,120.00	3.29	18,400	17,527,104.00	3.33
November	22,320	19,686,240.00	4.04	23,240	20,479,680.00	4.21
December	20,880	18,416,160.00	3.78	17,680	15,593,760.00	3.20
Average	17,943	15,826,020.00	3.25	19,057	16,807,980.00	3.45

Table 4. IKE based on electricity account bill of CEED building UGM 2015 and 2016.

Table 5. IKE based on electricity account bill of CEED building UGM 2015 and 2016.

Month	2015			2016		
	Electrical Consumption (kWh)	Electrical Cost (Rp)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	Electrical Consumption (kWh)	Electrical Cost (Rp)	Building IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)
January	3680	3,245,760.00	0.75	3880	3,422,160.00	0.67
February	3320	2,928,240.00	0.72	2360	2,081,520.00	0.57
March	3160	2,787,120.00	0.77	4680	4,127,760.00	0.98
April	4120	3,633,840.00	0.93	3840	3,386,880.00	0.76
May	2120	1,869,840.00	0.57	3960	3,492,720.00	0.98
June	4120	3,633,840.00	0.95	1960	1,728,720.00	0.40
July	2520	2,222,640.00	0.57	3280	2,892,960.00	1.64
August	2640	2,328,480.00	0.59	3680	3,245,760.00	0.01
September	2800	2,469,600.00	0.79	3680	3,245,760.00	0.99
October	3520	3,104,640.00	1.02	3160	2,787,120.00	0.86
November	3720	3,281,040.00	0.99	3440	3,034,080.00	0.93
December	3360	2,963,520.00	0.82	3280	2,892,960.00	0.68
Average	3257	2,872,380.00	1.50	3433	3,028,200.00	0.79

KPFT and CEED buildings are using electrical energy source from PT. PLN (Persero) as the main supplier. Based on customer data, connection model and installation, the connection category in this building is categorized as medium voltage consumer with government fare (P-1/TR) with power capacity of 164,350 VA (164.35 kVA). Based on the data of monthly energy consumption in 2015 and 2016 as presented in Table 4 and Table 5 it is known that the monthly Energy Consumption Intensity in KPFT and CEED buildings based on IKE standard 5444rconditioned building that has been determined is still in the category of efficient.

#### 4.2.4 IKE Gross Calculation

# a) KPFT Building

On the basis of the secondary data of monthly electricity bills, calculation of IKE gross in 2015 and

2016 on KPFT building with an area of 5,519 m<sup>2</sup> was done. To figure out the level of energy efficiency in each month in every year, a calculation was conducted based on this equation IKE = (total kWh/month/gross area). For example, to calculate the IKE of November 2015, this is how the calculation is done; IKE = (22,320 kWh) / (5,519 m<sup>2</sup>) = 4.04 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/ month. Meanwhile, the calculation of IKE per year is calculated with this formula; IKE = (total Kwh/year/gross area). For example, to calculate IKE of 2015; IKE = (215,320 kWh) / (5,519 m<sup>2</sup>) = 39.01 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year.

Based on Table 6 regarding data of monthly energy consumption in 2015 and 2016 as shown, it was found out that the monthly Energy Consumption Intensity in KPFT building based on IKE standards for airconditioned buildings that have been determined is still in the category of efficient.

Month	2015		2016		Information
	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year)	
January	3.01	36.09	3.44	41.31	Efficient
February	2.93	35.14	3.50	42.01	Efficient
March	2.91	34.96	3.48	41.75	Efficient
April	3.57	42.79	3.65	43.75	Efficient
May	3.39	40.70	4.22	50.62	Efficient
June	2.80	33.66	3.38	40.62	Efficient
July	2.71	32.53	1.57	18.87	Efficient
August	3.03	36.35	3.85	46.18	Efficient
September	3.54	42.53	3.59	43.14	Efficient
October	3.29	39.49	3.33	40.01	Efficient
November	4.04	48.53	4.21	50.53	Efficient
December	3.78	45.40	3.20	38.44	Efficient

Table 6. Category of energy consumption KPFT building on 2015-2016

Table 7. Category of energy consumption CEED Building on 2015-2016

Month	2015		2016		Information
	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	IKE (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year)	-
January	0.75	9.00	0.67	8.09	Efficient
February	0.72	8.61	0.57	6.78	Efficient
March	0.77	9.26	0.98	11.74	Efficient
April	0.93	11.22	0.76	9,13	Efficient
May	0.57	6.78	0.98	11.74	Efficient
June	0.95	11.35	0.40	4.83	Efficient
July	0.57	6.78	1.64	19.70	Efficient
August	0.59	7.04	0.01	0.13	Efficient
September	0.79	9.52	0.99	11.87	Efficient
October	1.02	12.26	0.86	10.30	Efficient
November	0.99	11.87	0.93	11.22	Efficient
December	0.82	9.78	0.68	8.22	Efficient

# b) CEED Building

The area of CEED builing is 4,600 m<sup>2</sup>. As an example, calculation of IKE in april 2015 is IKE = (4,120 kWh)/ (4,600 m<sup>2</sup>) = 0.90 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month. The calculation of IKE per year is calculated by formula; IKE = (total kwh/year/gross area). For example, to calculate IKE of 2015 is as follows, IKE = (39,080 kWh) / (4,600 m<sup>2</sup>) = 8.50 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year.

Based on Table 7, regarding data of monthly energy consumption in 2015 and 2016 as shown, it was found out that the monthly Energy Consumption Intensity in KPFT building based on IKE standards for airconditioned buildings that have been determined is still in the category of efficient.

# 4.2.5 Calculation of IKE in AC and Non AC room

#### a) KPFT Building

The proportion of areas of air-conditioned and nonair-conditioned rooms in KPFT building for each floor can be seen in Table 8.

Table 8. Air-conditioned and unconditioned room area in KPFT building

No	Floor	Area	Conditioned	Unconditioned
		Total	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
		(m <sup>2</sup> )		
1	1 <sup>st</sup> Floor	2,093	0	2,093
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	1,461	808	653
3	3rd Floor	1,965	1,322	643
Tota	1	5,519	2,130	3,389

This calculation is conducted to get the net IKE value in the KPFT building. To calculate the IKE in the rooms, it is necessary to firstly observe and collect the data of electronic device types, power capacity (watt), and the amount contained in the room.

Assumed that the operational activity (total working hours) is for 8 hours (07:30-15:30), the load requirement for 5 days in a week (22 effective days in one month) equal to 70%. Daily energy consumption for KPFT 3rd floor (Light + AC + other loads) = 32.09 kW x 8 hours x 0.70 = 179.71 kWh/day (SEE Table 9).

Consumption of electric energy per month = 179.71 kWh/day x 22 days = 3,953.49 kWh/month.

The are of air-conditioned room on the 3rd floor is 1,322 m<sup>2</sup>. IKE of AC room in 3rd floor = 3,953.49 kWh/month/ 1,322 m<sup>2</sup> = 2.99 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month.

The first floor (typical) of the KPFT building is an open hall without walls, so the calculation of the electrical load is only done on a load of light and other loads without HVAC load with the following details.

Lighting load = 2628 watts/day or 2,628 kW/day HVAC load = 0 Other loads = 1000 watts/day or 1.00 kW/day Total electrical load=(Lighting+ HVAC+other loads) = (2,628+0+1,000)=3,628 Watts/day = 3.628 kW/day.

Calculation of energy consumption on the 1st floor is assumed starting when the lights are turned on until the end of the activities in the hall which is at 17.00-22.00 pm every day. So, daily load on the 1st floor can be calculated:  $3,628 \times 5 = 18,140$  watts/day or 18.14 kW/day and per month  $18,140 \times 30 = 544,200$ Watt/month or 544.20 kW/month. The are on the first floor is 2,093 m<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, the consumption of nonair-conditioned room on the 1st floor is 544.20kW/month/ 2,093 m<sup>2</sup> = 0.26 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month.

#### b) CEED Building

The proportion of air-conditioned and non-airconditioned rooms in Civil Engineering and Environmental Department buildings for each floor can be seen in Table 10 and Calculations of IKE of air-conditioned and non-AC rooms are presented in Table 11 and Table 12.

Based on the calculation of Energy Consumption Intensity (IKE) in the main CEED building presented in Table 11, average IKE per unit of area of the airconditioned room is 4.40 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month and from Table 12 it is figured out that the average IKE per unit of area of non-air-conditioned room is 1.40 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/month. Based on the established standard, it is found out that air-conditioned room is categorized as very efficient, while non-air-conditioned room is categorized as efficient

Table 10. Air-conditioned and unconditioned room area in CEED building

No	Floor	Area Total	Conditioned Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Unconditioned Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	
		(m <sup>2</sup> )			
1	1st Floor	1,302.48	847.80	454.68	
2	2nd	1,211.76	963.36	248.40	
	Floor				
3	3rd Floor	1,097.64	879.12	218.52	
Tota	ıl	3,611.88	2,690.28	921.60	
100		5,011.00	2,090.20	21.00	

Floor	Total	Conditioned	Energy (	Consumption	(kW/hr)	Load	Load	Load	IKE
	Area	Area	Lamp	HVAC	Other	Total	(kW/day)	(kW/mth)	(kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /mth)
						(kW)			
2nd	1,461	808	8.60	5.96	3.63	18.19	101.86	1,120.51	1.39
3rd	1,965	1,322	6.21	9.75	16.14	32.10	179.71	3,953.49	2.99
Total	3,426	2,130	14.81	15.71	19.77	50.29	281.57	5,074.00	2.19

Table 9. IKE in Air Conditioned Rooms KPFT building.

Table 11. IKE in Air Conditioned Rooms CEED building	3.
--	----

Floor	Total Area	Conditioned Area	Energy Consumption (kW/hr)		Load Total (kW)	Load/day (kW/day)	Load (kW/mth)	IKE (kwh/m <sup>2</sup> /mth)	
			Lamp	HVAC	Other	-			
1st	1,302.48	847.80	4,824	17,240	6,105	28,169	158.98	3,497.53	4.13
2nd	1,211.76	963.36	8,460	21,850	6,485	36,795	206.05	4,533.14	4.71
3rd	1,097.64	879.12	6,300	21,910	2,980	31,190	174.66	3,842.61	4.37
Total	3,611.88	2,690.28	19,584	61,000	15,570	96,154	539.69	11,873.28	4.40

Table 12. IKE in Air Conditioned Rooms KPFT build
---

Floor	Total	Unconditioned	Energy Consumption (kW/hr)			Load	Load/	Load/mth	IKE
	Area	Area	Lamp	Lamp	Lamp	Total	day	(kWh/mth)	(kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /mth)
			-	-		(kW)	(kW/day)		
2nd	1,302.48	454.68	0.609	2.391	3.00	16.80	369.60	0.813	1302.48
3rd	1,211.76	248.40	0.587	0.400	0.99	5.54	121.97	0.491	1211.76
Total	1,097.64	218.52	4.929	0.200	5.13	28.72	631.89	2.892	1097.64

# 4.2.6 Recommendation on Opportunities for Energy Efficiency Increase

The need for air conditioning to create comfort in the rooms of both buildings has resulted in energy consumption, in this case, the electrical load, for air conditioner becomes greater than the electrical energy consumption of other electrical equipment. High electrical loads on air conditioner equipment results to an increase of operational expenses in both buildings. Percentage of electricity expense between KPFT and CEED Building are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.



Figure 3. Percentage of electricity expense KPFT building.



Figure 4. Percentage of electricity expense CEED building.

Based on the profile of energy usage in KPFT building and CEED building, in order to avoid waste and to improve the efficiency of energy consumption

Table 13. Result of calculation of cooling load KPFT building.

in the air conditioning activities without having to reduce the comfort level for the building occupants, it takes some efforts of repair, replacement and addition of components in the building, and also the application of better procedures for the building occupants.

a) Analysis of the Implementation of Opportunities for Energy Efficiency against KPFT Building

As an effort to increase the efficiency of electrical energy consumption in air conditioning load in the KPFT building is to evaluate the calculation of heat or solar radiation based on the type of window glass used in the building in order to reduce heat absorption into the room. As a matter of fact, the hall located on the 2nd floor or known as R. 2.3 of the KPFT building has a window as big as 10.8 m<sup>2</sup>. Using clear glass for the window, the average solar radiation is (I) = 700 W/m<sup>2</sup>. Since the angle of the sun towards the window is 30°, clear glass has shading coefficient  $\theta = 0.7$ . Thus, heat penetrating the glass can be figured out.

The heat penetrating through the glass Qs =  $AI\theta$ .  $W = 10.8 \times 700 \times 0.7 = 5,292 \text{ W}$ 

This type of clear glass provides a fairly high transmission that is about 90%.

As an effort to decrease the amount of heat that penetrates through the glass, the glass can be replaced with rayben glass type which has a shading coefficient  $\theta = 0.7$ . The result of the calculation is as follows.

The heat penetrating through the glass Qs =  $AI\theta$ .  $W = 10.8 \times 700 \times 0.57 = 4,309.2 \text{ W}$ 

Replacement to Rayben glass type (tinted glass) is proven to reduce the amount of heat since it has a fairly high heat absorption which is about 55%, so it will reduce the load on air-conditioning (HVAC) and give comfort to building occupants. Using the same calculation method, further calculations are done to some other room as presented in Table 13.

Room		Window (m <sup>2</sup> )	Solar radiation (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	Shading coefficient		Solar heat through the glass (V	
				Clear glass	Raybean glass	Clear glass	Raybean glass
2nd Floor	R.2.1	32.24	700	0.7	0.57	15,797.60	12,836.76
	R.2.2	14.40	700	0.7	0.57	7,056.00	5,745.60
	R.2.3.	10.80	700	0.7	0.57	5,592.00	4,309.20
	R.2.4	10.80	700	0.7	0.57	5,592.00	4,309.20
	R.2.5	14.40	700	0.7	0.57	7,056.00	5,745.60
3rd Floor	R.3.1	29.12	700	0.7	0.57	14,268.80	11,618.88
	R.3.2	19.92	700	0.7	0.57	9,760.80	7,948.08
	R.3.3.	27.04	700	0.7	0.57	13,249.60	10,788.96
	R.3.4	27.04	700	0.7	0.57	13,249.60	10,788.96
	R.3.5	19.92	700	0.7	0.57	9,760.80	7,948.08

b) Analysis of the Implementation of Opportunities for Energy Efficiency against CEED Building

In order to increase the efficiency of electric energy consumption in the air conditioning load, calculation of cooling needs by thermal balance method was carried out in some rooms in the CEED building.

In the calculation of cooling needs with thermal balance method in undergraduate administration room in the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of CEED building, the dimensions of the room; length: 14.4 m, width: 14.4 m, and height: 3.5 m. It used the split type air conditioner. The east and west walls are directly exposed to outer space, consisting of a solid concrete wall as thick as 152 mm, the transmittance (U wall) =  $3.58 \text{ W/m}^{2\circ}\text{C}$ , wall absorption ( $\alpha$ ) = 0.58, sizes of window for each side of the east and west with a width of 12.96 and a height of 2.05 with raybean glass type which transmittance values (Uglass) is 4.48 W/m<sup>2</sup>°C, at the time of the calculation, the angle of incidence of the sun on the wall and Glass ( $\beta$ ) was 60°. Average solar radiation (I) = 700 W/m<sup>2</sup>, the transmittance of air ( $f_{0}$ ) = 10 W/m<sup>2</sup>°C, hot air type 1,300 J/m<sup>3</sup>°C, ventilation = 0.5 ACH, indoor temperature (T<sub>i</sub>) 24°C (comfortable condition), outdoor temperature (To) was measured at 31°C. The room contains 16 administrative personnel using 12 fluorescent lamps (TL lamps) @ 36 watts, each person was assumed to generate 140 W heat. Based on those data, the cooling load required is:

External wall surface temperature:

 $\begin{array}{l} T_{s}=T_{o}+(I.\alpha\ cos\beta/f_{o})=51.3\ ^{o}C\\ Then\\ \Delta T_{wall} &=T_{s}\text{-}T_{i}=51.3-24=27.3^{o}C\\ \Delta T_{glass} &=T_{o}-T_{i}=31-24=7^{o}C \end{array}$ 

The formula for cooling needs is:

 $\begin{array}{ll} Qm &= Qi + Qs + Qc + Qv\\ Qi &= \Sigma \text{ heat (human, electrical equipment)}\\ &= (12)(36) + (16)(140) &= 2,672 \text{ W} \end{array}$ 

$$\label{eq:Qs} \begin{split} Qs &= solar \ heat \ through \ the \ glass \\ &= A_{glass}. \ I. \ \theta = (12.96)(2.05)(2)(700)(0.75) \end{split}$$

= 27,896.4 W

 $\begin{aligned} Qc &= heat through wall + heat through glass \\ &= A_{wall}.U_{wall}.\Delta T + A_{glass}.U_{glass}.\Delta T \\ &= ((14.4)(1.45)(2)(3.58)(27.3)) + ((12.96)(2.05)) \\ (2)(4.48)(7)) &= 5,747.72 \ W \end{aligned}$ 

Qv = heat for ventilation =  $1,300 \cdot V. \Delta T$ = 1,300.((14.4)(14.4)(3.5)(0.5)/3,600))(7)=917.28 W

Qm = Qi + Qs + Qc + Qv = 2,972+27,896.4+5,747.72+917.28 = 37.53 kW

Based on the performed calculation, it was found out that the heat that went through the undergraduate administrative room on the 2nd floor of the CEED building was very high. Therefore, any afforts to minimilize the load are needed. Some possible efforts to do:

- a) Preventing solar radiation by installing wide enough shades or by using a glass type which has a high solar absorption.
- b) Reducing the heat load can also be achieved by using energy-efficient bulbs. It is recommended to use 12 energy-save CFL bulbs (Compact Fluorescent Lamp) @ 24 W with the assumption that each bulb produces 24 W of heat as well.
- c) It is recommended that the inside part of the normal concrete wall is coated with a 25 mm cork board which can make the transmittance value of the wall become  $0.85 \text{ W/m}^{2\circ}\text{C}$ .

Furthermore, a re-calculation was done to find out how much is the efficiency of cooling load in the undergraduate administrative room on the 2nd floor of CEED building which in the existing condition is known to have a cooling load as much as 37,533.4 W or 37.53 kW and after implementing some repair and addition of wall components, it decreased down significantly to 6,080.66 W or 6.08 kW so that the efficiency improvements in AC loads can also be lowered. With the same calculation assumption, further calculation was carried out in some other rooms as shown in Table 14.

Level		Room	Wall			Window	Energy f	Qm			
		Function	р	1	t	(m <sup>2</sup> )	$Q_i(W)$	$Q_{s}(W)$	Q <sub>c</sub> W)	$Q_{v}(W)$	(W)
1 st	R. 202	Adm.	14.4	14.4	3.5	26.57	2,528	0	2,635	917.28	6,080.66
Floor		room									
	R. 206	Meeting	7.2	3.6	3.5	15.33	1,826	0	1,501	114.66	3,441.75
		room									
3 <sup>rd</sup>	R. 301	Meeting	18.0	12.6	3.5	70.45	28,109	0	7,311	1,003.28	36,423.86
Floor		room									
	R. 303	Meeting	14.4	7.2	3.5	25.58	7,404	0	2,843	458.64	10,705.64
		room									
	R. 307	Classroom	14.4	14.4	3.5	51.16	15,692	0	3,645	917.28	20,254.34
	R. 310	Classroom	14.4	14.4	3.5	51.16	15,800	0	3,645	917.28	20,362.34

Table 14. Result of calculation of cooling load CEED building.

# 5. CONCLUSIONS

- a) The average temperature of the KPFT building is 28.4°C, while its average relative humidity is 62%. Meanwhile, the average temperature of the CEED building is 28.4°C, while its average relative humidity is 65%. Based on those results, it can be concluded that in order to achieve thermal comfort which temperature is around 24°C and relative humidity is around 60%, a quite intensive air conditioning is still needed in both buildings.
- b) OTTV value of the KPFT building is 17.61 W/m<sup>2</sup>, while CEED building OTTV value is 43.05 W/m<sup>2</sup>. Those values are still below the standard of SNI which is 45 W/m<sup>2</sup>. The OTTV value of CEED building is higher than KPFT building because the direction of its glass windows are facing on the west and east which get direct exposure of sunlight which makes heat radiation penetrating through the rooms in the CEED building is also higher.
- The IKE gross value indicates that the KPFT c) building has average IKE value per month as much as 3.25 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> in 2015 and as much as 3.45 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> in 2016. Meanwhile, the CEED building has average IKE value per month as much as 1.50 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> in the year of 2105 and as much as 0.79 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> in 2016 which are still in the category of EFFICIENT. The significant lowering of IKE value of CEED building is affected by the fact that there was some replacement of electrical appliances in the building in 2016, specifically replacement of the air conditioner to a more energy-efficient airconditioner, while no such replacement was done in the KPFT building.
- d) A couple of efforts to improve the efficiency of electrical energy consumption in air conditioning activity (HVAC) in KPFT building that can be taken are 1) replacing the air conditioner (AC) 2)

replacing the glass window with a better heat absorbance glass.

e) Several efforts to improve the efficiency of electrical energy consumption in the airconditioning activities (HVAC) in CEED building that can be done: 1) adding a sunshade to avoid direct solar radiation on the glass. 2) adding some layer in the inner part of the wall which has lower transmittance value.

# 6. SUGGESTIONS

- a) To increase the quantity or number as well as various functions of the buildings to be taken as the study case objects
- b) To add more measurement variables in order to strengthen the arguments, similarities, differences as well as to provide alternative solutions to be offered.
- c) To involve the residents or users of the building in direct research.

# REFERENCES

ASEAN-USAID, 1992. Building Energy Conservation Project, Final Report, June 1992, United States: ASEAN Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.

Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2011. SNI 6197:2011 tentang Prosedur Audit Energi pada Bangunan Gedung. Jakarta: Badan Standarisasi Nasional.

Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2011. SNI 6389:2011 tentang Konservasi Energi Selubung Bangunan pada Bangunan Gedung. Jakarta: Badan Standarisasi Nasional.

Krishan, A., 2001. *Climate Responsive Architecture: A Design Handbook for Energy Efficient Buildings.* New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company. Marzuki, A. & Rusman, 2012. Audit Energi pada Bangunan Gedung Direksi PT. Perkebunan Nusantara XIII (Persero0, Pontianak: Department of Electrical Engineering, Politeknik Negeri Pontianak.

Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, 2012. Ministry Law No.13 Year 2012 Tentang Penghematan Pemakaian Energi Listrik. Jakarta: Directorate General of Energy Saving.

Satwiko, P., 2009. *Fisika Bangunan [Physics of Building]*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.

Syarifudin, 2017. Analisis Konsumsi Energi pada Operasional Bangunan Gedung Kampus [Analysis of Energy Consumption towards Campus Building Operational], Yogyakarta: Master Thesis, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada.

Yuliatna, F., 2015. Analisis Perbandingan Konsumsi Energi pada Kegiatan Operasional dan Pemeliharaan Bangunan Gedung dengan Konsep Green Building dan Bangunan Gedung Konvensional, Yogyakarta: Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada. [this page is intentionally left blank]