

LEPTIN LEVEL IN NON DIABETIC POPULATION WITH AND WITHOUT NON ALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER (NAFL)

Noor Asyiqah Sofia¹, Siti Nurdjanah², Neneng Ratnasari²

1. Sub Division of Psychosomatic, Division of Internal Medicine DrSardjito General Hospital / Faculty of Medicine UniversitasGadjahMada Yogyakarta

2. Sub Division of Gastro EnteroHepatology, Division of Internal Medicine DrSardjito General Hospital/ Faculty of Medicine UniversitasGadjahMada Yogyakarta

3. Sub Division of Gastro EnteroHepatology, Division of Internal Medicine DrSardjito General Hospital / Faculty of Medicine UniversitasGadjahMada

ABSTRACT

Background. Non alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a prevalent condition associated with obesity and insulin resistance. Leptin is an adipokine which plays role in decreasing food intake and controlling energy utilization. The role of leptin pathogenesis of NAFLD remains unclear. Former studies associated with the role of leptin in NAFL were never conducted in diabetic patients.

Aim of study. The aim of the study is to analyze the difference of leptin level in non-diabetic population between subjects with and without non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

Method. This was a non-matching case control study in general check-up polyclinic Dr. Sardjito Hospital Yogyakarta. The inclusion criteria were aged 30-60 years old, no history of alcohol consumption > 20 gr/day, no diabetes mellitus. The exclusion criteria were viral hepatitis (B and C), rapid weight loss, steroid therapy, and pregnancy. Diagnosis of NAFL was based on bright liver imaging from ultrasonography.

Result. There were 48 subjects, consisted of 23 subjects with NAFL and 25 subjects without NAFL. Mean of leptin level in NAFL group was higher than non NAFL group and this difference was statistically significant (20.29 ± 15.73 ng/ml and 12.27 ± 10.1 ng/ml; $p=0.040$).

Conclusion. The conclusion of this study was leptin level significantly higher in non diabetic population with NAFL compared with non NAFL.

Keywords: leptin, non diabetic, non alcoholic fatty liver

INTRODUCTION

Today, Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) is one of clinical condition often found at hepatology area¹. There is an increasing incidence of NAFLD in metabolic syndrome that consists of obesity, hyperinsulinaemia, perifer insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, hypertriglyceridaemia and hypertension². NAFLD has a very wide clinical spectrum from the lightest to the heaviest, namely simple steatosis or non alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), fatty liver with espoused inflammation and damage hepatocyte often called as nonalcoholic steato hepatitis (NASH), and hepatic cirrhosis, or hepatocellular carcinoma³. At this time, NAFLD is important in clinical implication as the major cause of transaminase enzyme increment in America. Besides, NAFLD has a potential capability to be liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma⁴.

In western population, the NAFLD prevalence ranged from 15%-20%. NAFLD prevalence in adult population at United States, Japanese and Italian revolve 15-20%; and 20-30% presented in severe phase like NASH. Studies in obese population at developed countries found 60% simple steatosis, 20-25% NASH and 2-3% cirrhosis. NAFLD incident in diabetes mellitus type 2 populations was as big as 70% and in patient with hyperlipidaemia 60%. Based on existing studies, the prevalence of NAFLD in Indonesia is 30.6%⁴.

Leptin is a product of gene ob molecule weighing 16kDA that expressed a lot at white adipose tissue. Leptin also detectable at center nerve system, various organ and perifer such as pancreas, liver, artless muscle, and others^{5,6}. Leptin works at

hypothalamus level to decrease food intake and increase energy use. Leptin level increased along with the increasing of fat mass 6.

Nowadays, the pathogenesis of NAFLD is still unclear, but it is estimated to be the combination of genetics and environment. The tight existence between leptin, adipose network and fat storage in human body showed the involvement of leptin in etiology and pathogenesis of NAFL. However, the role of leptin in NAFLD pathogenesis is still unclear^{7,8}.

Recently, there is no consensus about NAFLD management. Experts have agreed on that the management of NAFLD were focused on the risk factor managements, like obesity and diabetes. Several medicines, like thiazolidinedione and metformin are well known to demote leptin production. It is also known that weight loss can restore body sensitivity towards leptin⁹. If the involvement of leptin in NAFLD pathogenesis is clear, along with several therapies related to leptin depreciation, supposed that there will be more benefit in managing NAFLD.

The Aim of the Study

The aim of the study was to detect the differences of leptin level in non diabetic population with NAFL and without NAFL.

Method of the Study

The design of this study was a non matching case control study, which compared the mean of leptin level in subjects with and without NAFL. This study was conducted in General Check up Polyclinic at Dr. Sardjito General Hospital Yogyakarta. The accessible population of the study was patients who visited General Check up (GCU) polyclinic with range of age 30-60 years old and willing to join the study. The inclusion criteria were age 30-60 year, no history of alcohol consumption >20 gram/day, no history of diabetes mellitus, and ready to signing informed consent. The exclusion criteria were viral hepatitis (B and C), rapid weight loss, steroid therapy, and pregnancy.

Dependent variable is non alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL). Independent variable is leptin level. Other variables that evaluated were age, sex, height, body weight, body mass index, waist circumference, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglyceride, ALT, AST, and GGT.

Subject visited GCU in a state of fasting for 12 hours. Subjects identity were registered using research form and physical examination which covered blood pressure measurement, body weight, waist circumference, and complete physical examination, with anamnesis about medicines such lipid lowering, corticosteroid, tamioxifen and special diet to lose weight. Then, blood taking were done in vena as much as ± 20 ml, for examining leptin, triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, AST, ALT, and GGT. Blood sample examinations were done at Prodia laboratory Yogyakarta. All subjects endured USG abdomen at Dr. Sardjito General Yogyakarta, to determine whether they had bright liver or not. The evaluation result of USG was done by 2 gastroenterohepatology experts with value kappa 0.95.

Result and Discussion

This study was done from 1 October 2006 to 31 January 2007 toward 48 subjects; consist of 20 malesubjects (41.7%) and 28 female subjects (58.3%). All subjects were divided into 2 groups based on USG examination, namely group with NAFL when there was bright liver (description hyperechoic homogenous in liver that compared with right kidney in USG) and group without NAFL when there was no bright liver in USG.

There were 23 subjects with NAFL, consist of 12 male subjects (52.17%) and 11 female subjects (47.82%); and 25 subjects without NAFL, that consist of 8 male subjects (32%) and 17 female subjects (68%). Table 1 showed that there were significant differences in mean of body mass index, waist circumference, TG, HDL, AST, ALT, GGT, and leptin level in group with NAFL and group without NAFL. Meanwhile, there were no significant differences in mean of age, cholesterol, and LDL in both groups.

Tabel 1. Variables comparison in group with NAFL and group without NAFL

| Variable | NAFL (n=23) | without NAFL (n=25) | p | CI 95% |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 12 (52.17%) | 8 (32%) | 0.157* | 0.134 - 1.394 |
| Female | 11 (47.82%) | 17 (68%) | | |
| Age (year) | 44.53 \pm 6.39 | 45.68 \pm 5.00 | 0.424 | -1.99 - 4.65 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 28.47 \pm 3.74 | 22.53 \pm 2.95 | <0.001 | 4.14 - 7.65 |
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 91.67 \pm 9.88 | 72.78 \pm 5.90 | <0.001 | -23.58 - -14.20 |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 214.52 \pm 41.55 | 212.44 \pm 51.21 | 0.878 | -29.32 - 25.16 |
| LDL (mg/dl) | 135.00 \pm 28.73 | 133.72 \pm 46.53 | 0.910 | -23.99 - 25.16 |
| HDL (mg/dl) | 51.57 \pm 10.41 | 59.24 \pm 10.96 | 0.017 | -13.32- -1.58 |
| TG (mg/dl) | 178.30 \pm 93.21 | 102.92 \pm 37.14 | 0.001 | 31.72-106.16 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 32.65 \pm 17.16 | 21.84 \pm 7.22 | 0.006 | 1.76-15.97 |
| AST (IU/L) | 32.78 \pm 10.25 | 20.04 \pm 5.72 | <0.001 | 7.93 - 16.78 |
| GGT (IU/L) | 34.09 \pm 18.08 | 23.40 \pm 15.21 | 0.031 | -20.37 - -1.01 |
| Leptin (ng/ml) | 20.29 \pm 15.73 | 12.27 \pm 10.16 | 0.040 | -15.66 - -0.39 |

Data displayed in mean \pm Standard Deviation, all datas were analyzed using Independent Student t test, * analysis X², CI: Confidence Interval, NAFL: non alcoholic fatty liver, BMI: Body Mass Index, LDL: low density lipoprotein, HDL: high density lipoprotein, TG: triglyceride, ALT: alanin aminotransferase, AST: aspartat aminotransferase, GGT: gamma glutamyltransferase

In table 1, there were differences on the mean of liver enzymes, such as AST, ALT, and GGT between group with NAFL and group without NAFL, which was higher in NAFL group. The mean of AST in group with NAFL was 32.78 \pm 10.25 IU/l, while in group without NAFL 20.04 \pm 5.72 IU/l. Although the mean of AST in group with NAFL showed a normal range (<37 IU/l), but statistically the mean of AST in group with NAFL was higher than group without NAFL (p=<0.001; CI 7.93-16.78). In group with NAFL the mean of ALT and GGT were higher compared to group without NAFL. The mean of leptin level in group with NAFL was 20.29 \pm 15.73 ng/ml while in group without NAFL 12.27 \pm 10.1 ng/ml. The result showed that statistically there were significant differences on the mean of leptin level (p=0.040; CI -15.66 - -0.39).

Table 2. Variable comparison between group with NAFL and without NAFL in male subjects

| Variable | NAFL (n=12) | Without NAFL (n=8) | p | CI 95% |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Age (year) | 43.17 \pm 6.19 | 46.38 \pm 6.209 | 0.384 | -3.16 - 9.58 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 28.82 \pm 2.87 | 21.60 \pm 2.14 | <0.001 | -9.73 - -4.72 |
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 94.58 \pm 10.40 | 73.63 \pm 5.34 | <0.001 | -29.38 - -12.54 |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 220.75 \pm 49.00 | 221.38 \pm 67.55 | 0.910 | -53.98 - 55.23 |
| LDL (mg/dl) | 136.67 \pm 31.93 | 150.38 \pm 65.48 | 0.970 | -32.19 - 59.60 |
| HDL (mg/dl) | 47.5 \pm 7.34 | 54.88 \pm 8.97 | 0.069 | -0.31 - 15.06 |
| TG (mg/dl) | 219.5 \pm 110.78 | 91.88 \pm 38.06 | <0.001 | -213.74- -41.51 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 40.58 \pm 19.91 | 26.13 \pm 6.98 | 0.031 | -27.82 -1.09 |
| AST (IU/L) | 34.0 \pm 6.42 | 22.63 \pm 6.84 | 0.003 | -17.69 - -5.05 |
| GGT (IU/L) | 43.83 \pm 19.81 | 22.0 \pm 8.0 | 0.003 | -37.44 - -6.23 |
| Leptin (ng/ml) | 17.64 \pm 18.16 | 6.27 \pm 9.93 | 0.007 | -26.53 - 3.79 |

Data displayed in mean \pm Standard Deviation, all datas were analyzed using Mann Whitney U test, CI: Confidence Interval, NAFL: non alcoholic fatty liver, BMI: Body Mass Index, LDL: low density lipoprotein, HDL: high density lipoprotein, TG: triglyceride, ALT: alanin aminotransferase, AST: aspartat aminotransferase, GGT: gamma glutamyltransferase.

Table 2 showed that on male subjects, there were significant differences on the mean of TG, ALT, AST, GGT, leptin, BMI, and waist circumference between group with NAFL and without NAFL. The mean of leptin level of male subjects in group with NAFL was 17.64 ± 18.16 ng/ml, while in the group without NAFL was 6.27 ± 9.93 ng/ml.

Table 3. Variable comparison between group with NAFL and without NAFL on female subjects

| Variable | NAFL (n=12) | Without NAFL (n=8) | p | CI 95% |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Age (year) | 45.64 ± 5.83 | 45.35 ± 4.51 | 0.926 | -4.31 - -3.74 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 28.09 ± 4.63 | 22.97 ± 3.22 | 0.013 | -8.16 - -2.08 |
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 88.50 ± 8.64 | 72.38 ± 6.26 | <0.001 | -21.9 - -10.33 |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 207.73 ± 32.53 | 208.23 ± 43.35 | 0.853 | -30.94 - 31.96 |
| LDL (mg/dl) | 133.18 ± 26.22 | 125.88 ± 34.16 | 0.378 | -32.33 - 17.64 |
| HDL (mg/dl) | 56.00 ± 11.73 | 61.29 ± 11.44 | 0.430 | -4.06 - 14.64 |
| TG (mg/dl) | 133.36 ± 36.80 | 108.12 ± 36.68 | 0.091 | -54.46 - 3.97 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 24.00 ± 7.33 | 19.82 ± 6.59 | 0.134 | -9.87 - 1.52 |
| AST (IU/L) | 31.45 ± 13.49 | 18.82 ± 4.86 | 0.001 | -19.95 - - 5.32 |
| GGT (IU/L) | 23.45 ± 6.99 | 24.06 ± 17.83 | 0.225 | -9.37 - 10.57 |
| Leptin (ng/ml) | 23.19 ± 12.06 | 15.09 ± 9.23 | 0.122 | -17.09 - 0.89 |

Data displayed in mean ± Standard Deviation, all datas were analyzed using *Mann Whitney U test*, CI: Confidence Interval, NAFL: *non alcoholic fatty liver*, BMI: Body Mass Index, LDL: *low density lipoprotein*, HDL: *high density lipoprotein*, TG: triglyceride, ALT: alanin aminotransferase, AST: aspartat aminotransferase, GGT: gamma glutamyltransferase.

Table 3 showed that on female subjects there were significant differences on the mean level of AST, BMI, and waist circumference between group with and without NAFL. The mean of leptin level in female subjects with NAFL was 23.19 ± 12.06 ng/ml, while in the group without NAFL was 15.09 ± 9.23 ng/ml. However, this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.122$).

Results from this study showed that the average concentrations of leptin level were higher in the group with NAFL compared to group without NAFL, and the result was consistent only on male subjects. While the difference in leptin levels between group with NAFL and group without NAFL was not statistically significant in female subjects. Results from this study is differ from previous research conducted by Huang *et al.* (2008) and Chitturi *et al.* (2002), which states that both groups of male and female leptin levels were higher in NAFLD and NASH groups compared with control^{7,10}. Several previous studies have also shown an increase of leptin level in group with NAFLD compared to group without NAFLD, but there is no

further study analyzed in the differences of leptin level on each gender^{11,12,13}. Different result showed in the study by Chalasani *et al.* (2003) and Musso *et al.* (2005), ie. both studies showed that leptin level did not significantly different in the NASH group and control^{14,15}.

The increase of leptin levels in NAFLD probably caused by the condition of the hyperleptinemia which is related to pro inflammation. Leptin is estimated to contribute at each stage of the occurrence of NAFLD. Leptin can also strengthen the process of inflammation and fibrosis in the liver. There is an evidence that injections of leptin will lead the increasing of level of procollagen-1, TGF β1, and TNFα, which leading to inflammation and more severe liver fibrosis¹⁶.

This study showed that BMI, waist circumference, triglycerides, AST, and ALT in group with NAFL were higher than group without NAFL, conversely lower HDL level showed in group with NAFL. These results are consistent with a study by Mendez *et al.* (2005), which showed that in NAFLD group there were a higher BMI, higher total

cholesterol, higher triglycerides, higher LDL cholesterol, lower HDL cholesterol, while AST and ALT levels are higher than the control group¹¹.

In NAFLD, usually there was an increase in ALT and AST levels, but the increment did not exceed 4 times the normal value. In this study, AST and ALT levels in the NAFL group still within the range of normal values. The increasing of ALT and

AST in NAFLD was due to the inflammatory processes in the liver as the result of tissue damage on the liver or steatohepatitis. The study showed that ALT and AST values were normal in group with NAFL possibly due to the processes involved was still in the stage of liver steatosis (first hit of the pathogenesis of NAFLD).

Table 4. Correlation between leptin and other variables on male

| | BMI | Waist circumference | Total Cholesterol | TG | LDL | HDL | ALT | AST |
|--------|---------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Leptin | r 0.517 | 0.536 | -0.117 | 0.536 | -0.256 | -0.198 | 0.339 | 0.494 |
| | p 0.020 | 0.015 | 0.624 | 0.015 | 0.276 | 0.404 | 0.144 | 0.027 |

Analysis were using Spearman correlation, BMI: Body Mass Index, LDL: *low density lipoprotein*, HDL: *high density lipoprotein*, TG: triglyceride, ALT: alanin aminotransferase, AST: aspartat aminotransferase.

Table 5. Correlation between leptin and other variables on female

| | BMI | Waist circumference | Total Cholesterol | TG | LDL | HDL | ALT | AST |
|--------|---------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| Leptin | r 0.441 | 0.514 | 0.089 | 0.193 | 0.156 | -0.211 | 0.260 | 0.433 |
| | p 0.019 | 0.005 | 0.652 | 0.324 | 0.429 | 0.282 | 0.181 | 0.021 |

Analysis were using Spearman correlation, BMI: Body Mass Index, LDL: *low density lipoprotein*, HDL: *high density lipoprotein*, TG: triglyceride, ALT: alanin aminotransferase, AST: aspartat aminotransferase.

Table 4 showed that leptin level on male subjects correlated with BMI, waist circumference, triglycerides, and AST. Table 5 showed that leptin level on female subjects correlated with BMI, waist circumference and AST.

The results of this study showed that leptin had correlation with BMI, waist circumference and AST levels on both male and female subjects. The correlation between BMI and leptin are consistent with the theory that leptin level is increasing along with body mass⁹. Research conducted by Chitturiet *al.* (2002), showed the opposite result, whereas, the study showed that leptin level has no correlation with BMI on both subjects, male and female. In the study by Chitturiet *al.* (2002) found precisely such a significant correlation between leptin level with ALT and C-peptida¹⁰.

Mendez *et al.* (2005) in his research found that in NAFLD subjects; body weight, body mass index, central obesity and insulin resistance were higher than control group¹¹. The research on 174 NAFLD subjects with 42 controls showed that bigger waist circumference on NAFLD was indicating central obese¹⁷.

Waist circumference portrayed more body fat because it was not consisted of lots of bone structures (spine only), whereas hip circumference was correlated with subcutaneous fat tissue than intra-abdominal fat because it is influenced by the hip circumference of the gluteal muscle mass and the size of pelvis which varies among subject¹⁸. The existence of a strong relation between leptin level and waist circumference was estimated to underlie the relation between visceral fat with resistance insulin¹⁰.

The normal value of leptin on male is 2.03 to 5.63 ng / ml, whereas on female is 3.63 to 11.09 ng/ml¹⁹. Based on the normal value, result of this study showed that leptin level <5.63 ng/ml on male and on female \geq 10.09 ng/ml leptin levels was

concluded as normal, while leptin level on male \geq 5.63 ng/ml and on female \geq 11.09 ng/ml as high leptin levels. Table 6 showed the proportion of incidence of NAFL on subjects with high leptin levels than subjects with normal leptin levels.

Table 6. Proportion of NAFL on subject with high and normal leptin level

| Leptin | NAFL | Without NAFL | p | OR | CI 95% |
|------------|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|----------------|
| High (%) | 18 (37.5) | 11 (22.9) | 0.015 | 4.582 | 1.291 – 16.267 |
| Normal (%) | 5 (10.4) | 4 (29.2) | | | |

Analysis were using X2 test. High leptin when \geq 5.63 ng/ml on male and \geq 11.09 ng/ml on female. Normal leptin when < 5.63 on male and < 11.09 on female, OR: odds ratio

Table 6 showed that from the total of patients with NAFL, there were 37.5% which have high leptin level. Statistically, there were significant relation between high leptin level and NAFL ($p=0.015$). This study showed that subjects with high leptin levels had a higher chance 4.582 times to be NAFL compared to subjects with normal leptin levels.

Table 7. Multivariate analysis on the influence of variables to NAFL

| Variable | B | S.E | p | Exp (B) |
|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| BMI | 0.282 | 0.463 | 0.542 | 1.326 |
| Waist Circumference | 0.279 | 0.217 | 0.198 | 1.322 |
| TG | 0.038 | 0.026 | 0.146 | 1.038 |
| HDL | 0.151 | 0.089 | 0.091 | 1.163 |
| ALT | 0.030 | 0.085 | 0.727 | 1.030 |
| AST | 0.203 | 0.127 | 0.109 | 1.225 |
| Leptin | -0.104 | 0.111 | 0.348 | 0.901 |

BMI: Body Mass Index, LDL: low density lipoprotein, HDL: high density lipoprotein, TG: triglyceride, ALT: alanin aminotransferase, AST: aspartat aminotransferase, GGT: gamma glutamyltransferase.

Table 7 showed the logistic regression analysis on variables which influential against NAFL. Table 7 showed that none of the variables tested can be used as a predictor of the occurrence of NAFL. Concentration of leptin tends to increased on group with NAFL compared to group without NAFL, but from the logistic analysis regression was meaningless.

Logistic analysis regression showed that none of the BMI, waist circumference, HDL, triglycerides, ALT, AST and leptin can be used as a predictor for the incidence of NAFL, whereas leptin, although the levels are elevated in conditions of NAFL, was not a factor predictor of incident of NAFL. This is consistent with research conducted

by Chitturi et al. (2002), which states that leptin is not an independent predictor of the occurrence of inflammation of the liver or severity of liver fibrosis¹⁰. Possible mechanisms underlying this was that leptin level can be influenced by many factors, such as age, sex, obesity, and the presence of diabetes mellitus. Meanwhile, the study was done by excluding patients with diabetes mellitus as the research subjects. However, the study did not control the influence of obesity, thus the research showed that most groups of NAFL were obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²).

Insulin resistance has known to have a role in pathogenesis of the hyperleptinemia condition and NAFLD. Diabetes mellitus is a condition which

mostly associated with insulin resistance. To reduce the influence of insulin resistance in diabetes mellitus on hyperleptinemia conditions, this study was conducted in populations without diabetes mellitus. Whereas, previous studies showed that the effect of leptin in NAFLD conducted in a heterogeneous population, which also included diabetic population.

There were several weaknesses in the study. First is the study design, which did not have matched control to group with and without NAFL. Second, the subjects of the study were not randomized, so that they cannot represent the population of the study. Third, there were several factors which affect leptin level that cannot be controlled in this study, such as physical activity, diet and obesity.

Conclusion and suggestion

From the study, we can conclude that there were differences in the mean leptin level in group with NAFL and without NAFL in non-diabetic population. The mean of leptin level concentration in group with NAFL was higher than the control group. Need to conduct further research by using a better study design, such as design with matched control cases on age, gender, BMI, or a prospective design, which will show a better known under the stronger role of leptin as a risk factor for NAFL. Besides, research must be done by using liver biopsy as a definitive diagnosis of NAFL.

REFERENCES

- Targher. G., Bertolini. L., Poli. F., Rodella. S., Scala. L., Tessari. R. *et al.*. 2005. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Risk of Future Cardiovascular Events Among Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *Diabetes*. 54:3541-3546.
- Kim.S.G., Kim.H.Y., Seo.J.A., Lee.K.W., Oh.J.H., Kim.N.H. *et al.*. 2005. Relationship Between Serum Adiponektin Concentration, Pulse Wave Velocity and Non alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Europ J of Endocrinol*; 152: 225-231.
- Kim.C.H., Younossi.Z.M.. 2008. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Manifestation of the Metabolic Syndrome. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*; 75(10):721-728.
- Hasan. I.. 2006. Perlemakan Hati Non Alkoholik. *Dalam: Sudoyo. A.W., Setiyohadi. B., Alwi. I., Simadibrata. M. K., Setiati. S.*. 2006. *Buku Ajar Ilmu Penyakit Dalam*; Ed^{4th}. Departemen Ilmu Penyakit Dalam FK UI. Jakarta. 1: 464-468.
- Ahima. R.S.. 2006. Adipose Tissue as An Endocrine Organ. *Obesity*; Vol. 14 : 242S-249S.

- Meier. U., dan Gressner. A.M.. 2004. Endocrine Regulation of Energy Metabolism: Review of Pathobiochemical and Clinical Chemical Aspects of Leptin, Ghrelin, Adiponectin, and Resistin. *Clinical Chemistry*; 50(9): 1511-25.
- Huang. X.D., Fan. Y., Zhang. H., Wang. P., Yuang. J.P., Li. M.J. *et al.*. 2008. Serum Leptin and Soluble Leptin Receptor in Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *World J Gastroenterol*; 14(18):2888-2893.
- Diehl. A.M.. 2002. Non alcoholic Steatosis and Steatohepatitis IV. Non alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Abnormalities in Macrophage Function and Cytokines. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*; 282: 1-5.
- Mantzoros. C.S.. 1999. The Role of Leptin in Human Obesity and Disease: A Review of Current Evidence. *Ann Intern Med.*; 130:671-80.
- Chitturi S., Farrel G., Frost L., Kriketos A., Lin R., Liddle C. *et al.*. 2002. Serum Leptin in NASH Correlates With Hepatic Steatosis but Not Fibrosis: A Manifestation of Lipotoxicity? *Hepatology* 36:403-409.
- Mendez. N.S., Chavez. N.C.T., Villa. A.R., Sanchez. K.L., Zamora. D.V., Ramos. M.H. *et al.*. 2005. Adiponectin as a Protective Factor in Hepatic Steatosis. *World J Gastroenterol*; 11(12): 1737-1741.
- Uygun. A., Kadayifci. A., Yesilova. Z., Erdil. A., Yaman. H., Saka. M. *et al.*. 2000. Serum Leptin Levels in Patients with Non alcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*; 95(12):3584-9.
- Zhang. G., Nie. L.. 2004. Leptin and Estrogen in Pathogenesis of Non alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Male Adults. *World Chin J Digestol*; 12(8):1897-1899.
- Chalasanani N., Crabb D.W., Cummings O.W. 2003. Does Leptin Play a Role In The Pathogenesis of Human Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis? *The American Journal of Gastroenterology* 98(12):2771-2776.
- Musso G., Gambino R., Durazzo M. 2005. Adipokines in NASH: Postprandial Lipid Metabolism as a Link Between Adiponectin and Liver Disease. *Hepatology* 42(5):1175-1183.
- Tsochatzis E.A., Papatheodoridis G.V., Archimandritis A. J.. 2009. Adipokines in Non alcoholic Steatohepatitis: From Pathogenesis to Implications in Diagnosis and Therapy. *Mediators of Inflammation*. doi:10.1155/2009/831670.
- Bugianessi. E., Belentani. S., Bedogni. G., Tribelli. C.. 2008. Clinical Update on Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Steatohepatitis. *Annals of Hepatology*; 7(2):157-160.
- Adam. J.M.F.. 2006. Obesitas dan Diabetes Mellitus Tipe 2 dalam Adam. J.M.F (ed). *Obesitas dan Sindroma Metabolik*. Bandung.
- Nasri. H.. 2006. Serum Leptin Concentration and Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Function in Maintenance Hemodialysis Patients. *Acta Fac Med Naiss* 23(1):3-7.