The 22 February 2018 landslide mechanism in Pasir Panjang Village, Brebes Regency, Central Java, Indonesia
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ABSTRACT. On 22 February 2018 landslide occurred in Pasir Panjang Village, Salem District, Brebes Regency of Central Java Province, Indonesia. About 8 people were died, 4 people were injured and several infrastructures were damaged due to this landslide. This research is carried out to understand geological-geotechnical condition and to study the initiation mechanism of the landslide. Field investigation and UAV mapping are carried out to detect slip surface and define slope geometry. The rainfall-induced pore-water pressure is estimated by using the Slope Infiltration Distributed Equilibrium (SLIDE) model. Then, limit equilibrium method is used to estimate the safety factor of the slope, while the shear strength parameters are determined by applying back analysis approach that compared with data from laboratory tests. The results show that landslide occurred in permeable layer of silty sand overlaid above impermeable andesitic breccia. Results from back analysis indicate that the shear strength parameters and rainfall intensity are strongly influence the stability of slope against landslide.
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1 INTRODUCTION

On 22 February 2018, around 08:45 local time, a landslide occurred at Pasir Panjang Village, Salem District, Brebes Regency of Central Java Province. Eight people reported dead, four people injured, provincial road with a length of 507 meters destroyed, one bridge cut off, and 225 meters of village road and 8.5 hectares of paddy fields buried with landslides material (PVMBG, 2018). This region is located in Bogor-Serayu Utara-Kendeng Anticlinorium zone (van Bemmelen, 1949). The area consists of steep undulating and isolated hills, undulating low hills and plains. These hills generally extend to the northwest-southeast direction. Based on regional geology, Pasir Panjang village lies on Tapak Formation and Kumbang Formation. Tapak Formation (Early-Middle Pliocene) consists of greenish grey coarse-grained grading upwards into finer greenish grey sandstone with some grey to yellowish sandy marl intercalations. Meanwhile, Kumbang Formation (Late Miocene-Early Pliocene) consists of andesitic to basaltic volcanic breccia, lava flows, dykes, tuf, tuffaceous sandstone and conglomerate (Kastowo & Suwarna, 1996). With these geological and slope conditions, the study area is categorized in the high zone of susceptibility of landslide (Kadarsetia, 2011). PVMBG (2018) confirmed that rainfall and geological condition are the main factor causing this landslide. Nevertheless, investigation and research about the mechanism of landslide in Pasir Panjang Village is still few. The objective of this paper is to: (i) understand geological-geotechnical condition of landslide area and (ii) study the initiation mechanism of landslide in Pasir Pan-
The study area is located in Pasir Panjang Village, Salem District, Brebes Regency, Central Java with coordinates of 255608-257145 mE and 9212601-9210976 mN (Figure 1).

2 Research Methodology

There are several ways to analyze initiation of landslide mechanism, such as through mathematical equilibrium method, laboratory tests and or satellite remote sensing (Montarasio and Valentino, 2008; Liao et al., 2010; and Loi et al., 2017). Research of landslide in Pasir Panjang Village was conducted in several stages started from problem formulation, literature study and secondary data gathering. Secondary data consist of DEMNAS satellite imagery, rainfall intensity, and regional geological map. The second stage includes UAV mapping, geological mapping, groundwater observation, soil and rock sampling, and laboratory analysis (Figure 2). UAV mapping with DJI Phantom 4 PRO was carried out to generate Digital Surface Model (DSM) for post-landslide topography. Three undisturbed soil samples (UDS) and two disturbed samples (DS) that represent the unstable zone and the headscarp, two samples DS of depositional zone, and two samples of bedrock were taken for laboratory tests. Laboratory tests were conducted to obtain engineering parameters including water content, specific gravity, Atterberg limits, grain-size, permeability and shear strength. The shear strength parameters for rocks are obtained by combining the Geological Strength Index (GSI) values (Hoek and Brown, 1997) with the point load test to get Hoek Brown parameter. The conversion of Hoek Brown parameters into the Mohr-Coulomb parameters are carried out using Rocdata software.

Analysis of geomorphology and geology were carried out to reconstruct the slope model. Morphology before and after the occurrence of landslide are compared by using remote sensing with the observations on the field. The rainfall-induced pore-water pressures were estimated by using the Slope Infiltration Distributed Equilibrium (SLIDE) model. The SLIDE model simplifies calculation of pore water pressure that generated from rainfall intensity. Rainfall data is taken from weather station at Malahayu provided by Meteorology, Climatology, and Geophysical Agency (BMKG). According to Liao et al. (2010) pore pressure acting on the sliding surface can be expressed by Equation 1:

\[ \Delta U = m \cdot H \cdot \gamma_n \cdot \cos^2 \beta \]  

(1)

and change in the ratio of groundwater layer to the soil layer pressure (m) is calculated by Equation 2:

\[ m_t = 0 \]
\[ O_t = K_t \cdot \sin \beta \cdot m_t \cdot H \cdot \cos \beta \cdot \Delta t \]
\[ \Delta m_t = \frac{I_t - O_t}{n \cdot H \cdot (1 - S_f)} \]
\[ m_{t+1} = m_t + \Delta m_t \]  

(2)

Where \( t \) is time, \( \Delta t \) is time interval, \( m_1 \) is initial value of \( m \), and \( m_t \) is calculated at each time-step. \( O_t \) represents the water outlet of a finite portion of a slope of finite length \( L \). \( I_t \) is rainfall intensity, and \( K_t \) is the significance of a global drainage capability due to both the intrinsic soil permeability and the presence of numerous preferential down-flow ways. The SLIDE model is a simplistic model that neglects certain effect such as transpiration from trees and vegetation, and the initial unsaturated infiltration process, but provides an appropriate model for use in computer simulation (Loi et al., 2017).

Back analysis is used to determine the shear strength parameters at critical condition (Tang et al., 1999; Berti et al., 2017; Calcaterra and Santo, 2004; Urgeles et al., 2004). Back analyses in the case of Pasir Panjang landslide is performed by using limit equilibrium Bishop method implemented in SLIDE 7.0 by Rocscience. Circular slip surfaces in the model are considered to account for failure mechanism. Failure plain for this case is assumed from result of morphology analysis.

Slope stability analysis is aim to obtain value of factor safety. The factor safety is defined as the ratio of resisting shear strength to driving shear stress. For failure slope, Bowles (1991) defines that the factor of safety is lower than 1.07. Factor of safety was calculated using the following Equation 3:
Figure 1: Study area.

Figure 2: Stopsite map.
Where \( c' \) is effective cohesion, \( b_i \) is horizontal length of the \( i \)-th slice (m), \( W_i \) is the weight of the \( i \)-th slice, \( r_u \) is ratio of pore water pressure, \( \phi' \) is effective internal friction angle.

The combination of morphology before and after the landslide event can be seen in Figure 3, where the lowering elevation ranged around 0-50 m are exist particularly in the main location of landslide. The ground movement is generally elongated towards the Northwest – Southeast direction then relatively moving to the South. According to field observations, the maximum depth of slip surface is of 26 m from the surface (Figure 4).

### 3.2 Geological and geotechnical condition

From geological mapping, the study area is divided into 2 geological units. The youngest unit is tuffaceous sandstone and the oldest unit is andesitic breccia. In this study are, the geological structure is shear joint. The geological map of study area is shown in Figure 5.

Based on field investigation and laboratory analysis, the study area is divided into 2 geotechnical units, \( i.e., \) silty sand unit and andesitic breccia unit. Silty sand interpreted as result of weathering of tuffaceous sandstone. Silty sand has engineering properties such as water content around 47.94–55.16%, specific gravity of 2.69–2.76, plastic limit around 29.86–37.38, liquid limit of 45.37–54.95, plasticity index around 9.43–18.24, unit weight around 17.65–18.82 kN/m³, permeability around 1.93 × 10⁻² – 2.06 × 10⁻² m/s, porosity around 49.25–55.37%, saturation degree around 79.51–90.95%, cohesion around 9.61–20.88 kPa and internal friction angle of 29.8°. While andesitic breccia has unit weight of 24.51 kN/m³ and point load test result of 1.2 MPa, Geological Strength Index is 60, and \( m_i \) assumed at 19. According conversion to the Mohr-Coulomb criteria, this unit have the cohesion of 84 kPa and the internal friction angle of 39°.

The combination of morphology before and after the landslide event can be seen in Figure 3, where the lowering elevation ranged around 0-50 m are exist particularly in the main location of landslide. The ground movement is generally elongated towards the Northwest – Southeast direction then relatively moving to the South. According to field observations, the maximum depth of slip surface is of 26 m from the surface (Figure 4).

### 3.3 Slope model

The slope model is constructed based on geotechnical properties and comparison of morphology pre-landslide with post-landslide. The upper layer is composed by silty sand and the lower layer is composed by andesite breccia. A firm blue line illustrates the surface boundary before a landslide occurred from the DEMNAS data. The dotted blue line describes the surface boundary after a landslide was taken from the UAV data (Figure 6).

In this study, the slip surface is assumed as a complex type. The first one is rotational landslide movement, which is characterized by a curved plane as initiation mechanism. The second one is extention of landslide triggered debris flow by the presence of river water flow.

### 3.4 Back Analysis

In the first case, the calculation for 0 m of groundwater depth resulting the cohesion from 0–2, 8–12, 18–20 kPa (in step of 2 kPa) for samples, the internal friction angle varies from 0–4, 12–16, 24–28 degrees (in step of 2 degrees) for samples. The calculation of factor of safety is then continued for variations in depth of 1 m and 2 m for variations in soil shear strength at the same interval as the previous calculation (Figure 7).

The analysis results show a strong correlation between groundwater level (Figure 8 and...
Figure 3: (a) Contour of pre-landslide and (b) post-landslide.

Figure 4: (a) Crown of landslide and (b) Slip surface of landslide.
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Figure 5: Geological map of study area.

Figure 6: Slope geometry model.
Figure 7. Relationship between cohesion and internal friction angle which generates SF = 1.06 for 0–2 m groundwater depth.

Figure 8. Relationship between groundwater depth with coefficient of cohesion variable.

Figure 9. Relationship between groundwater depth with constanta.

The results of the simulations for landslide in Pasir Panjang Village are shown in Figure 11. On February 13, 2018 when the day is not rain, the groundwater level is at a depth of 5.64 m which results in a near stable slope with a safety factor worth at 1.212. On February 15–16, 2018 rainfall came with intensity of 28–45 mm which resulted in an increase of groundwater level to 98 Journal of Applied Geology
Figure 10: Daily rainfall intensity and groundwater level rise from 13 February 2018 until 22 February 2018.

a depth of 4.71 m which cause the slope in a critical condition with a safety factor of 1.183. On February 18–20, 2018 the rainfall continues to increase and reach to its peak on February 21, 2018 at 90 mm. On February 22, rainfall began to decrease to 60 mm, but the groundwater level increase continuously until it reaches a depth of 1 m, resulting the beginning of landslide with a safety factor of 1.062. The initiation of landslide is caused by the load itself from the soil mass which is supported by the presence of static stress due to an increase of pore water pressure.

On February 22 the soil mass moving down the slope to the river originating from the east of the landslide location. This water flow has a certain speed which results in dynamic stress. In this case static stress and dynamic stress then work on soil mass which only have the residual shear strength resulting in debris flow.

4 CONCLUSION

Based on the study results, geological condition of the area consists of tuffaceous sandstone unit and andesitic breccia unit. There were also a number of shear joint and springs. Geotechnically, the study area consists of silty sand unit and andesitic breccia unit. Silty sand unit is interpreted as a result of weathering of andesitic breccia and tuffaceous sandstones which have moderate to high plasticity, low to moderate permeability. While andesitic breccia units tend to be impermeable and have very high shear strength.

Landslide occured among permeable silty sand material with low shear strength, while the slip surface of andesitic breccia has high shear strength and also impermeable. The high intensity of rainfall (209 mm for 3 days) results in an increase of the ground water level and pore water pressure which triggers the initial movement of landslide. Furthermore, the flow of water at a certain speed acts as a dynamic stress on the moving soil mass which triggers the occurrence of debris flow.
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1. 14 February 2018: $Z_w = 5.64$ m, SF = 1.212 (no rainfall)

2. 16 February 2018: $Z_w = 4.71$ m, SF = 1.183 (critical condition)

3. 20 February 2018: $Z_w = 2.76$ m, SF = 1.120 (critical condition)

4. 22 February 2018: $Z_w = 1$ m, SF = 1.062 (landslide occurred)

Figure 11: Illustration of landslide mechanism.