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Abstract

As an education area, campus or university is full with various activities which have an impact on the existence of land-use or land-cover. The variation of activities dynamically change the shape of land-use or land-cover within the campus area, thus also create variations in Land Surface Temperature (LST). The LST are impacting the coziness of human activity especially when reaches more than 30 oC. This study used the term Urban Heat Signature (UHS) to explain LST in different land-use or land-cover types. The objective of this study is to examine UHS as an Urban Heat Hazard (UHH) based on Universal Temperature Climate Index (UTCI) and Effective Temperature Index (ETI) in University of Indonesia. Thermal bands of Landsat 8 images (the acquisition year 2013-2015) were used to create LST model. A ground data known as Air Surface Temperature (AST) were used to validate the model. The result showed an increased level of maximum temperature during September-October since 2013 until 2014. The maximum temperature was reduced in October 2014, however it increased again in August 2015. The UTCI showed "moderate" and "strong heat stress", while EPI showed "uncomfortable" and "very uncomfortable" categories during that period. This research concluded that build up area in UI Campus highest temperature on UI campus based on UHS. Range UHS in Campus UI on 2013 (21.8-31.1oC), 2014 (25.0-36.2oC) and 2015 (24.9-38.2oC). This maximum UHS on September (2014 and 2015) put on levelling UTCI included range temperature 32-35oC, with an explanation of sensation temperature is warm and sensation of comfort is Uncomfortable, Psychology with Increasing Stress Case by Sweating and Blood Flow and Health category is Cardiovascular Embarrassment. This UHS occurs in September will give impact on psychology and health, that's become the UHH of the living on education area.
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use/land cover changes [Kim & Baik, 2005; Chen et al., 2005; Memon et al., 2009; Ichinose et al., 2008 Mirzaei & Haghighat, 2010]. In general, an urban land cover can be associated with buildings, road pavement, highways, green parks and also bare soil [Ahmad & Hashim, 2007; Steeneveld et al., 2014; Rena et al., 2012; Lenzholzer & Brown, 2013].

Urban Heat Signature (UHS) explains the heat characteristics of a certain land cover measured from a different period of time. Although it is a natural phenomenon in an urban area, UHS could become a threat in tropical cities, especially when the temperature was more than 30 °C [Tursilowati, 2008; Ichinose et al., 2008; Tursilowati et al., 2012]. The simple UHS can be explained from a different temperature between built-up areas and surface with vegetation. The built-up surface in an urban area is related to high temperature [Tran et al, 2006; Taha, 1997], while the vegetated surfaces with moist soil might usually have around 18 °C for its temperature [Gartland, 2008].

Urban temperatures have been measured employed data from a range of satellite sensors, medium spatial resolution thermal images provided by the Landsat [Tran et al. 2006; Kuşcu and Sengezer 2011]. This Temperature information provides a powerful way to monitor urban environment [Asmat et al., 2003]. Land Surface Temperature (LST) or UHS collected from land surface temperature generated by acquisition thermal infrared (TIR) band from satellite image [Wong & Chen, 2005; Wong et al., 2007; Mallick et al., 2008; Tursilowati, 2008; Mirzaei & Haghighat, 2010; Tursilowati et al., 2012, Wibowo, et al, 2013; Weng & Gao, 2014; Rozenstein et al., 2014]. The variation of UHS used analysis spatial-temporal [Tran et al, 2006; Wong et al., 2007; Wong & Jusuf, 2008; Srivanit & Hokao, 2013] to generated spatial pattern related the highest vegetation cover with low temperature and without vegetated cover with the highest temperature [Wong et al., 2007; Wong & Jusuf 2008; Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. The air surface temperature (AST) from survey based on land uses [Suzuki, 2008] and land cover [Oke, 2004] by mobile surveys with thermometric [Suzuki, 2008; Wibowo, et al, 2013], to generated validation of LST based on valued from predictor (LST) and actual (AST).

Environmental issues, for example, urbanize areas, had significantly higher midday surface temperature as compared to those of the surrounding rural relatively vegetated areas [Tran et al, 2006]. According to Chen & Edward [2012], urban heat has become serious in zones where inadequate shading and green spaces are unable to intercept and balance the heat from direct solar gains [Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. Urban air temperatures can be on the average 2°C higher than rural areas [Taha, 1997] and the maximum surface temperature was also associated with the high-rise city core areas of an urban area [Tran H., et al., 2006]. According to Shahhidan et al [2012] and Tran et al [2006], the highest outdoor thermal stress is observed during clear sunny days with a calm wind in the summer season. However, in subtropical and tropical climate zones, the greatest thermal stress may occur during the yearly hot dry season at noon due to the greater solar radiation exposure throughout the year [Srivanit & Hokao, 2013].

Human bodies perform within an internal temperature range much narrower than external temperatures when external temperatures are high human may overheat or feel warm [Boduch & Fincher, 2009]. Urban heating causes many problems for the inhabitants of cities and areas, in particular, those with a tropical environment, included the deterioration of living environment [Memon et. al, 2009]. In addition, Chen and Edward [2012] addressed the typical use of dark materials in buildings and pavement collect and trap more of the sun's energy and use of dark materials has led to increasing ambient air temperatures, reduces human comfort and produces many problems for urban inhabitants [Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. High temperature, sometimes, gives damage to natural ecosystem in cities [Ichinose et al, 2008]. Furthermore, high temperature may increase the potential risk of ill-health for urban populations to thermal extremes [Yuan, et al, 2012]. Temperature is the most significant component to the experience of comfort in a space [Boduch & Fincher, 2009]. That’s no doubt that temperature in an environment as importance to investigation and assessment as UHS in urban area impeding human comfort. More people are affected by higher temperatures for a longer period, make life uncomfortable for urban resident and adversely affect people's health [Ichinose et al, 2008]. Comfortless in daily activities is a very importance of human live according to Koenigsherger's said that comfort thermal for tropical area range between 22.0–27.0 °C [Karyono, 2001]. Lehman in 1964 said workers in tropical areas, not lassie, but they comfort drop cause hot climate in tropical areas [Setiono, et al, 1998]. The New Index called Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) for assessment scale by categorized in thermal stress (Sookuk et al, 2014) with four categories like Extreme Heat Stress (> +460°C), Very Strong Heat Stress (+38 to +46 0C), Strong Heat Stress (+32 to +38 0C), Moderate Heat Stress (+26 to +32 0C) and No Thermal Stress (+9 to +26 0C). The Effective Temperature Index (ETI) explain thermal with Level of Sensation Temperature by Comfort, Psychology and Health.

The accelerated rate of urban growth highlights the critical necessity of creating more outdoor spaces [Makarem et al., 2012]. University campuses or complex as education area in land use considered as a city on a smaller scale [Wong, et al, 2007] or small cities [Saadatian, et al, 2013; Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. An institutional campus is necessary for creating a better urban living environment [Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. Study at National University of Singapore (NUS) shown in the greenery along Kent Ridge Road seems like the “rural” area, with a cooler ambient temperature [Wong
et al, 2007]. A green campus improved on university community’s well-being [Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. Nowadays campus sustainability has become a major issue of global concern for university leaders (Suwartha & Riri, 2013) as they have realized that impact on university activities [Srivanit & Hokao, 2013]. Based on the background of this research, the University of Indonesia Campus in a tropical area is chosen as study region to answer the objective of this research. The objective of this research to examine a UHS as Urban Heat Hazard (UHH) with Universal Temperature Climate Index (UTCI) and Effective Temperature Index (ETI) in Campus.

2. The Methods

This study used thermal bands from Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS imagery. It is known that Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS imagery has two thermal bands (T1 and T2). Instead of using both thermal bands, the study used the 10th (or T1) band rather than the 11th band. According to Qin et al. [2015] used T1 band of Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS rather than T2, because T2 had large data uncertainty [Wang et al., 2016]. A 100x100 meter grid was used as a unit of analysis, which also has been utilized in a previous study [Suzuki, 2008; Oke, 2004, Qin et al., 2015]. The size of the grid was also being matched with a pixel size of Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS thermal band.

UHS was analyzed by observing LST in different land cover types and in different period of time. The LST data was derived from a thermal band. Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS path/rows no. 122/064 with cloud cover less than 10% were used in this study. The selected months were September-October for 2013 and 2014 and August-October for 2015 (Table 1). According to Srivanit & Hokao [2013], those were the months when the solar radiation exposure has greatly occurred.

The first step is to a conversion LST from the thermal band by transforming digital number (DN) of the band to radian using Equation 1.1 below.

\[
L_{\lambda} = (M \times DN \text{ of Band10}) + A
\]  

(1.1)

where, \(L_{\lambda}\) is spectral radiance (\(wm^{-2}sr^{-1}\mu m^{-1}\)), \(M\) is multiplicative digital number value at band 10, \(DN\) of Band 10 is Digital Number of Band 10 Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS and \(A\) is additive value of spectral radiance at band 10. The second step is to produce estimation of LST from the spectral radiance value using Equation 1.2, which has been performed by previous studies [Wong & Chen, 2005; Hernina et al., 2008; Tursilowati, 2008; Ichinose et al., 2008; Mirzaei & Haghighat, 2010; Tursilowati et al., 2012].

\[
T = \frac{K_2}{\ln \left(\frac{K_1}{L_{\lambda}} + 1\right)}
\]  

(1.2)

\(T\) is the temperature at the satellite sensor (Kelvin), \(K_1\) is the calibration constant 1 for Landsat 8, \(K_2\) is the calibration constant 2 for Landsat 8, and \(L_{\lambda}\) is the spectral radiance of band [modification from Tursilowati et al., 2012]. The last process is conversion from Kelvin to Celsius using Equation 1.3 [Wibowo et al., 2013]. This result of LST also known as UHS.

\[
\text{Temp-CELCIUS} = \text{Temp-KELVIN} - 272.15
\]  

(1.3)

The LST model was validated using ground data or Air Surface Temperature (AST). The AST were collected in October 2014. The AST data were collected from 14 points spread around campus area from north to south. The placement of the points was based on different land cover types such as buildings, dense vegetation cover and open space area in order to capture different variations of temperature (from high to low). RMSE were used to analyze the error of LST, using AST as observed data to examine the accuracy of the error.

More people are affected by higher temperatures for a longer period, not only make life uncomfortable for urban residents but also increased temperatures adversely affect people’s health [Ichinose et al, 2008]. The new index called Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) for assessment UHS behavior on 2013-2015. The UTCI had categorized in thermal stress with four category Extreme Heat Stress until No Thermal Stress to detect the UHS on UI Campus, and then detect the UHS as Urban Heat Hazard in University Campus.
Table 3. Average AST in UI Campus on 13-17 October 2014 and LST 15 October 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Land Cover</th>
<th>LST (°C)</th>
<th>AST (°C)</th>
<th>Residual (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dormitory</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>29.94</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Urban Forest</td>
<td>Open Vegetated</td>
<td>28.49</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty of Health</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking on Faculty Math's &amp; Natural Sci.</td>
<td>Paved Open Space</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>31.46</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Research and Community Dev.</td>
<td>Open Vegetated</td>
<td>28.14</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty of Economy</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>29.41</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty of Technic</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>27.79</td>
<td>30.26</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park on Dormitory</td>
<td>Dense Vegetated</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Japan Study</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road near Faculty of Public Health</td>
<td>Paved Open Space</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>30.70</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fac. of Math's &amp; Natural Sci.</td>
<td>Paved Open Space</td>
<td>29.67</td>
<td>30.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Administration</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park near Faculty of Economy</td>
<td>Open Vegetated</td>
<td>27.47</td>
<td>29.47</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front of Faculty of Technic</td>
<td>Dense Vegetated</td>
<td>28.81</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Data Processing
Table 4. UHS Behavior in UI Campus on 2013-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>10/9/13</td>
<td>12/10/13</td>
<td>13/9/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std-Dev</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data Processing

Table 5. Universal Thermal Index (UTCI) in UI Campus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTCI(OC)</th>
<th>Stress Category</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 Sept</td>
<td>12 Oct</td>
<td>13 Sept</td>
<td>15 Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above + 46</td>
<td>Extreme heat stress</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+38 to +46</td>
<td>Very Strong heat stress</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+32 to +38</td>
<td>Strong heat stress</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.1-36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+26 to +32</td>
<td>Moderate heat stress</td>
<td>27.0-30.1</td>
<td>27.0-31.1</td>
<td>27.0-31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+9 to +26</td>
<td>No thermal stress</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Analysis data

Table 6. Effective Temperature Index in 2013-2015 on UI Campus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Sept</td>
<td>12 Oct</td>
<td>13 Sept</td>
<td>15 Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp.</td>
<td>Comfort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>MAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENSATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>Very Hot</td>
<td>Very Uncomfortable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 40</td>
<td>Hot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 – 35</td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>Uncomfortable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 32</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 30</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Comfortable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 25</td>
<td>Slightly</td>
<td>Cool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Temporal Trend UHS on UI Campus 2013-2015
Figure 3. UHS Behavior on September and October in 2014 at UI Campus

Figure 4. UHS Behavior on August/September and October in 2015 at UI Campus

Figure 5. Temporal Trend UHS at UI Campus 2013-2015
used the EFI with a level sensation of temperature and comfort within the sensation of temperature level had a Very Hot until Neutral and the level sensation of comfort had very uncomfortable until comfortable (Sookuk et al, 2014).

Remote Sensing (RS) and Geographic Information System (GIS) used in this research to explain the spatial-temporal variation of UHS. The analysis of UHS using spatial-temporal analysis, spatial analysis using spatial pattern, density and overlay between land cover and UHS and trend analysis to get a temporal trend in both campus universities. The result showed a land cover and its impact on UHS in university campus using remote sensing.

3. Result and Discussion

Urban Heat Signature (UHS)

Land cover types in the University of Indonesia Campus are water bodies laid on a middle of campus from south to north, the building was covered from center to south campus, and dense vegetated covered from center to north campus. Percentage of land cover types between 2013 until 2015 saw on Table 2.

The Land cover types in UI Campus had relation with highest temperature and lowest temperature with previous study since 1997 (Taha, 1997; Kim & Baik, 2005; Wong & Chen, 2005; Tran et al, 2006; Gartland, 2008; Memon et al., 2009; Tursilowati, 2008; Ichinose et al, 2008; Mirzaei & Haghighat, 2010; Rena et al, 2012; Tursilowati et al., 2012; Srivanit & Hokao, 2013).

Figure 1 explains distribution of UHS on minimum temperature until maximum temperature. Yellow color is lowest temperature and red color was highest temperature. This spatial distribution similar with spatial distribution of build-up covered on UI Campus. UHS Behavior in UI Campus covered difference area in 2013-2015. UHS Behavior in UI Campus as spatial density within the distribution of UHS with high temp was relative centralized on south UI Campus area and UHS with lowest temp concentrated from middle until north area of UI Campus. The UHS with yellow color covered 70% UI campus and UHS with red color covered almost 30% area. UHS in UI Campus found as spatial pattern in 2014 until 2015 related with land cover.

The Air Surface Temperature (AST) was collected in October 2014. The AST data were collected from 14 points spread around campus area from north to south. The placement of the points was based on different land cover types such as buildings, dense vegetation cover and open space area in order to capture different variations of temperature (from high to low). The data collection started at 6 AM and ended at 6:30 PM. The data were compared with Land Surface Temperature (LST) derived from 15 October 2014 Landsat 8 thermal bands. The AST and LST data collection in UI campus showed on Table 3.

AST data were used to verify Land Surface Temperature (LST) model derived from Landsat thermal bands. Based on the calculation, the average value of LST was less than AST (29.39 for LST and 30.43 for AST). This study used Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) to assess errors from LST model. The residual between observed value (AST) and model value (LST) ranged from 0.19 to 2.46. The RMSE showed 1.35, which indicates a relatively low error and a minimum variation between LST and AST. This study also tested the relationship between LST and AST. A Pearson’s correlation test was used to determine the correlation between model temperature and observed temperature. The test showed a significant difference of mean value between LST and AST, with the linear correlation of 0.56.

The result of UHS behavior in 2013-2015 was dynamic based on land cover types. UHS behavior in the year 2013 until 2015, had variations temperature with minimum 21.80C and maximum 38.20C, with the highest of UHS saw in August 2015 and the lowest of UHS saw in September 2014 (Table 4).

Based on Figure 2 UHS in UI Campus explains UHS behavior in the year 2013 from yellow color as lowest temperature and red color as highest temperature. The spatial pattern of yellow to red color related with a spatial distribution of land cover on UI Campus. The UHS on 10 September 2013 had minimum temperature is 21.80C and the maximum temperature is 30.10C and UHS on 12 October 2013 had minimum temperature is 25.00C and the maximum temperature is 31.10C saw on Table 1. UHS in UI Campus year 2014 on figure 3 saw the distribution of UHS with a spatial pattern similar but UHS 2014 had the highest temperature compare to UHS 2013. The UHS on 10 September 2014 had minimum temperature is 28.20C and the maximum temperature is 36.20C and UHS on 12 October 2013 had minimum temperature is 25.00C and the maximum temperature is 33.10C. The UHS in 2014 upper 2-30C than UHS 2013. Figure 4 saw UHS in UI Campus with a spatial pattern similar with UHS 2013 and UHS 2014. The UHS on 31 August 2015 had minimum temperature is 25.80C and the maximum temperature is 38.20C and UHS on 2 October 2015 had minimum temperature is 24.90C and the maximum temperature is 32.60C. In general UHS 2013-2015 had maximum temperature > 300C. This result of UHS on UI Campus had the maximum temperature > 300C related with the previous study in tropical cities (Tursilowati, 2008; Ichinose et al, 2008; Tursilowati et al., 2012). The spatial pattern related with a land cover in UI Campus, the build-up related with highest temperature (Tran et al, 2006; Taha, 1997) and the lowest temperature related with vegetated surfaces (Wong et al., 2007; Gartland, 2008, Wong & Jusuf 2008; Srivanit & Hokao, 2013).

Spatial-Temporal Analysis UHS

Spatial Distribution

UHS spatial distribution in UI Campus had
The percentage of spatial distribution UHS saw on Table 4 with tree scale's temperature related with Karyono [2001], Ichinose et al [2008], Tursilowati et al [2012] and Sookuk [2013]. Based on Figure 1 and Table 4, the spatial distribution of UHS on September 2014 covered with 45.5% maximum temperature (>300°C), covered with 24.1% moderate temperature (27-300°C) and covered with 30.4% minimum temperature (<270°C). Spatial distribution of UHS on October relative similar with September 2013, it’s covered with 31.5% moderate temperature and 24.5% low temperature. Spatial distribution UHS on September 2014 covered with 77.8 of maximum temperature and 22% moderate temperature. The other hand, UHS October 2014 covered 43.0% with maximum temperature, 32.5% with moderate temperature and 24.5% with the lowest temperature. The spatial distribution of UHS in 2015 on August covered with 77.4% maximum temperature, 14.4% with moderate temperature and only 8.2% minimum temperature. Spatial distribution of UHS on October 2015 had similarity with a spatial distribution of UHS in October 2014.

**Temporal Trend**

Based on UHS on Figure 5 and Table 2, those are explained that the behavior of UHS on 2013 with minimum temperature is 21.8°C and the maximum temperature is 31.1°C, UHS on 2014 with minimum temperature is 25.0°C and the maximum temperature is 36.2°C, and UHS on 2015 with minimum temperature is 24.9°C and maximum temperature is 38.2°C. The temporal trend since 2013 until 2015, both of maximum and the minimum temperature had temporal trend positive, trend maximum is positive 7.10°C (31.1 become 38.20°C) and trend minimum is positive 3.2 (21.8 become 25.00°C). This result saw trend temporal UHS Behavior 2013-2015 had a positive trend with R2 0.00175. This temporal trend related with temperature global trend.

**UHS as Urban Heat Hazard**

To address the urban hazard in education area based on UHS, this research used UTCI. This index used for assessment UHS scale by UTCI categorized in term thermal stress (Sookuk et al, 2014). This research use five categories start from No thermal stress (>9 – 260°C) until Extreme heat stress (>460°C). UHS behavior since 2013-2015 seen on Table 5. The UHS on 2013 (21.8-31.10°C) only had moderated heat stress and no thermal stress without strong heat stress. The UHS on 2014 (25.0-36.20°C) had no thermal stress and strong heat stress. The UHS on 2015 (24.9-38.20°C) had strong heat stress and very strong heat stress.

Those are UHS categories strong heat stress and very strong heat stress in 2014 had distribution totally covered 55% (between 43.0-77.8%) and 2015 had distribution totally covered 50% (between 31.8-77.4%) area of UI Campus. This condition on September and October since 2014 include categories strong heat stress and very strong heat stress to be UHH. Those are importance information for University of Indonesia to anticipate the effect of that hazard and implication for student and their activity, especially outdoor activity.

The analysis UHS as UHH this research also uses ETI to explain thus heat stress category with Level of Sensation Temperature and Comfort. Thus result shown in Table 6. For Sensation Temperature for UI Campus are Neutral until hot temperature Sensation and Sensation Comfort level for UI Campus is Comfortable until Very Uncomfortable. If very uncomfortable level input into psychology and health this level included Increasing Stress Caused by Sweating and Blood Flow and Health level had Cardiovascular Embarrassment level and Heat Attack.

The maximum UHS as UHH in 2013 on September and October had a level of sensation temperature is Slightly warm with a level of sensation comfortable is Uncomfortable. The maximum UHS as UHH in 2014 and 2015, both on September and October, had level of sensation temperature is Warm-Hot sensation with level of sensation comfortable is Very Uncomfortable sensation.

In general levelling of Effective Temperature on UI Campus [2013, 2014 and 2015] had the maximum UHS in September between 35-40°C with level sensation for temperature is hot and for comfort level is very uncomfortable. Level sensation in October [2013, 2014 and 2015] had the maximum UHS between 32-35°C with level sensation for temperature is Warm and for comfort level is very uncomfortable. This result conclusion that UHS behavior on UI Campus in September and October had sensation level is very uncomfortable. That’s condition will become the hazard for living on education area.

5. Conclusion

Build up area in UI Campus highest temperature on UI campus based on UHS. Range UHS in build-up area on 2013 (21.8-31.10°C), 2014 (25.0-36.20°C) and 2015 (24.9-38.20°C). This maximum UHS on September (2014 and 2015) put on levelling of Effective Temperature included range temperature 32-35°C, with an explanation of sensation temperature is warm and sensation of comfort is Uncomfortable, Psychology with Increasing Stress Case by Sweating and Blood Flow and Health category is Cardiovascular Embarrassment. This temperature occurs in September will give impact on psychology and health, become the heat hazard of the living on education area.
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