Novel Benzo[f]coumarin Derivatives as Probable Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors: Synthesis, In Vitro, and In Silico Studies for Evaluation of Their Anti-AChE Activity
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Abstract: Novel benzo[f]coumarin derivatives bearing pyrimidine unit were successfully synthesized. The target is to develop novel acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. The benzo[f]coumarin chalcone 4 was prepared via Claisen-Schmidt condensation between 3-acetyl-5,6-benzocoumarin and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde in the alkaline medium. Then, the cyclocondensation of chalcone 4 with urea, thiourea, and guanidine HCl in the presence of glacial acetic acid led to the formation of various pyrimidines. Structures of the newly synthesized compounds were characterized by FT-IR, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR spectra, and elemental analysis. The acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activity tests were carried out using Ellman's assay and donepezil as a reference drug. The biological activity results revealed that the derivatives 6 and 7 inhibit AChE activity in healthy samples showed that the greater inhibition percentage was found respectively at concentrations of 10^-4 and 10^-10 M while low inhibition percentage was obtained at 10^-12 and 10^-4 M. AChE showed inhibition constant Ki in the range of 10^-4−10^-12 M in the presence of maximum and minimum inhibitor concentrations, probably due to variant types of inhibition from non and uncompetitive. In addition, molecular modeling simulations of targeted compounds revealed their mechanism of action as potent inhibitors for the AChE enzyme.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is known as a neurodegenerative disorder, which appears in older adults with symptoms as loss of memory, a decline in cognitive functions and language skills [1]. According to the cholinergic hypothesis, AD is mainly related to the level decrease of acetylcholine (AChE) in the brain because of the damage of cholinergic neurons, thus raising the AChE via inhibition of acetylcholinesterase is one of the effective approaches to treatment AD’s symptoms [2-3]. In this context, several AChE inhibitors such as umbelliferone, hymecromone, and ensaculin (I, II, Fig. 1), which belong to the coumarin family, were used as approved therapeutic by the FDA to curb the progression of AD in early stages [4-6]. In addition, coumarin derivatives have been studied extensively due to their biological activities such as antimicrobial [7], anticancer [8-11], anticoagulant [12], analgesic [13], ulcerogenic [14], antiviral [15], antimalarial [16], anti-inflammatory [17-18], antidepressant [19], and antioxidant [20-21] activities, as well as anti-HIV protease [22], acetylcholinesterase [23-24], and monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B) [25] inhibitors. It was worth mentioning that many coumarin derivatives were designed and found to be successful as significant organic fluorescent materials for potential applications in biochemical and biological imaging due to their light emission properties [23]. This work reports the synthesis of new series of benzocoumarin derivatives conjugated with various pyrimidines and their anti-cholinesterase activity evaluation.
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials
The reagents, including 2-hydroxynaphthaldehyde, ethyl acetoacetate, piperidine, 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde, urea, thiourea, guanidine HCl, ethanol, and glacial acetic acid, were purchased from Merck and TCI. At the same time, the materials used in the biological evaluation include 5,5-dithio-bis-(2-nitro benzoic acid) (DTNB), serum-blood, sodium phosphate, acetyl thiocholineiodide, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO).

Instrumentation
Melting points were measured on a Stuart melting point apparatus SMP30 (Büchi Labortechnik AG, Switzerland) and are uncorrected. Infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded (KBr discs) on a Shimadzu FT-IR 8201 PC spectrophotometer. NMR data were obtained on Varian INOVA (1H, 500 MHz; 13C, 125.65 MHz) spectrometers used TMS as internal standard and DMSO-d6 solvent on the δ scale in ppm. Analytical silica gel TLC plates 60 F254 were purchased from Merck. Elemental analyses (CHN) were carried out using Vario Elemental Analyzer 3000 (Shimadzu, Japan). All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification.

Procedure

Synthesis
Preparation of 3-acetyl-5,6-benzocoumarin (3). To a stirred solution of 2-hydroxynaphthaldehyde 1 (1.722 g, 0.01 mol) in absolute EtOH (15 mL) containing tree drops of piperidine, ethyl acetoacetate 2 (1.30 g, 0.01 mol) was added and the mixture was refluxed until formed the precipitate. The solid result was collected by filtration, washed with water, dried, and recrystallized from EtOH to get compound 3. Physical state: yellow crystals, yield: 93%, m.p. 186–188°C, Rf = 0.59 (Hexane:Ethyl acetate 7:3). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 1211 (C–O), 1450, 1558, 1612 (C=C), 1735, 1681 (C=O), 3031, 2931 (C –H). 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6, δ, ppm): δ 8.10 (s., 1H, H-4), 7.89 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-5), 7.84 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H-8), 7.68 (m, 1H, H-6), 7.49 (m, 1H, H -7), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H-9), 7.04 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-10), 2.21 (s, 3H, Me). 13C-NMR (DMSO-d6): δ 172.0 (C=O ketone), 161.7 (C=O coum.-2), 155.5 (C coum.-10a), 137.6 (C coum.-4), 135.1, 134.1, 131.1, 130.7, 130.2, 129.0, 128.3, 120.6, 120.4, 116.8, 113.4 (C coum.), 29.5 (Me). Anal. calculated for C15H10O3: C, 75.62; H, 4.23; Found; C, 74.35; H, 4.06.

Preparation of 2-(3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)acryloyl)-3H-benzo[f]chromen-3-one (4). A solution of compound 3 (1.191 g, 0.005 mol) in ethanol and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.61 g, 0.005 mol) was stirred at 50 °C for 6 h in the presence of piperidine (0.5 mL) (TLC check). After cooling, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and then kept in the refrigerator for 24 h, the formed solid was filtered and crystallized from ethanol to get compound 4. Brown crystals, yield: 73%, m.p. 203–205 °C, Rf = 0.58 (Hexane:Ethyl acetate 8:2). IR spectrum, ν, cm⁻¹: 1218 (C–O), 1604 (C=C), 1675 (C=O), 1738 (C=O), 3471 (OH). 1H-NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 9.80 (s., 1H, OH), 8.42 (s., 1H, H-4), 8.07 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 8.04 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-8), 7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H-13), 7.20 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H, H-12), 7.68–6.76 (8H, H coum.+Harom.). 13C-NMR spectrum, δC , ppm: 171.1 (C=O acrylate), 159.5 (C=O coum.-2), 157.8 (C=O), 149.1 (C coum.-10a), 138.5 (C coum.-4), 135.1, 134.1, 131.1, 130.7, 130.2, 129.5, 128.7, 126.7, 125.1, 124.8, 121.0, 120.0, 119.2 (C coum.+Carom.). Anal. calculated for C22H14O5: C, 75.62; H, 4.23; Found; C, 74.35; H, 4.06.
C, 77.18; H, 4.12; Found: C, 77.56; H, 4.29.

**Synthesis of 2-(2-oxo-6-(4-hydroxyphenyl)pyrimidin-4-yl)-3H-benzo[f]chromen-3-one (5).** A mixture of chalcone 4 (4.74 g, 0.01 mol), urea (0.72 g, 0.012 mol) in 20 mL of absolute ethanol containing glacial acetic acid (0.5 mL) was refluxed for 7 h (TLC check). After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured onto ice-water (50 mL) with continuous stirring. The solid obtained was filtered and crystallized from dry ethanol to get compound 5. White crystals, yield: 68%, m.p. 223–225 °C, Rf = 0.54 (Hexane:Ethyl acetate 7:3). IR spectrum, ν, cm⁻¹: 1218 (C=O), 1635 (C=N), 1728, 1679 (C=O), 3240 (NH), 3397 (OH). 1H-NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 10.54 (s., 1H, NH), 9.76 (s., 1H, OH), 8.40 (s., 1H, H-4), 8.08 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-5), 7.87 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H-8), 7.68–6.76 (8H, Hcoum.+Harom.). 13C-NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 166.8 (C=N), 164.0 (C-4Pyrim.), 161.4 (C=Ocoum.-2), 160.3 (C=O Pyrim.), 157.9 (C=O), 153.9 (C-10a coum.), 147.9 (C-4coum.), 134.2, 130.6, 130.3, 128.6, 125.1, 124.0, 118.4, 116.1, 115.2, 115.0 (Ccoum.,Haron.), 101.0 (C=O-pyrim.). Anal. calculated for C23H14N2O4:C, 72.25; H, 3.69; N, 7.33. Found; C, 71.62; H, 3.24; N, 7.02.

**Synthesis of 2-(6-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-thioxo-1,2-dihydropyrimidin-4-yl)-3H-benzo[f]chromen-3-one (6).** A mixture of chalcone 4 (4.74 g, 0.01 mol), thiourea (0.84 g, 0.011 mol) in 20 mL absolute ethanol containing glacial acetic acid (0.5 mL) was refluxed for 8 h (TLC check). After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured onto ice-water (50 mL) with continuous stirring. The solid obtained was filtered and crystallized from dry ethanol to get compound 6. White crystals, yield: 62%, m.p. 214–216 °C, Rf = 0.65 (Hexane:Ethyl acetate 8:2). IR spectrum, ν, cm⁻¹: 1232 (C=O), 1636 (C=N), 1745 (C=O), 3178 (NH), 3387 (OH). 1H-NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 10.65 (s., 1H, NH), 9.58 (s., 1H, OH), 8.32 (s., 1H, H-4), 8.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, H-5), 7.94 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-8), 7.68–6.89 (8H, Hcoum.,Haron.), 6.37 (s., 1H, H-5Pyrim.). 13C-NMR spectrum, δC, ppm: 176.3 (C=S), 169.3 (C=N), 161.0 (C-4Pyrim.), 160.4 (C=Ocoum.), 155.9 (C=O), 153.8 (C-10acoum.), 147.5 (C-4coum.), 134.4, 134.0, 123.1, 124.8, 118.6, 117.7, 116.1 (Ccoum.,Haron.), 101.5 (C=O-pyrim.). Anal. calculated for C23H14N2O4S: C, 69.33; H, 3.54; N, 7.03. Found; C, 68.56; H, 3.29; N, 6.78.

**Determination of AChE activity**

Human serum AChE activity was determined using Ellman et al. [26] method. 50 μL of DTNB solution (pH = 7.3, 0.2 M) was added to 2.25 mL of sodium phosphate buffer solution (0.001 M) and then 10 μL of serum was added. The changes in absorbency are measured before and after adding the substrate at 430 nm for 3 min. The enzyme activity is calculated as a concentration in μmol of the substrate hydrolyzed to every 1 mL of samples in 3 min and expressed as μmol/3 min/mL.

**Determination of the biological activity of newly prepared derivatives**

A stock concentration solution of 0.01 M concentration of each 6 and 7 in Fig. 1 has been prepared. Then, each complex's different concentrations (10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵, and 10⁻¹¹ M) were prepared by diluting it with DMSO as a solvent. ChE activity is measured in human serum as follows:
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DTNB solution (50 μL, 0.001 M) is added to 2.25 mL of sodium phosphate buffer solution (pH = 7.3, 0.2 M), 0.25 mL of inhibitor was mixed with 2 mL of the same buffer 10 μL of serum is added and mixed well. Two milliliters of the mixture were transferred to a measuring cell (1 cm), then 34 μL of AChI (0.06 M) was added. The changes in absorbency changes are measured after adding the substrate at 430 nm for 3 min. The inhibition percentage was calculated by comparing the activity between with and without inhibitor under the same conditions according to the equation:

\[ \text{% Inhibition} = 100 - \left( \frac{\text{The activity in the presence of inhibitor}}{\text{The activity in the absence of inhibitor}} \right) \times 100 \]

**Determination of the type of inhibition**

Constant concentrations of inhibitors (second higher inhibition and lower inhibition) were used with different concentrations (0.02, 0.04, 0.06, and 0.08 M) to study the type of inhibition. These concentrations were prepared using the stock solution of AChI 0.1 M. The enzyme activity was determined with and without the inhibitors using the Lineweaver-Burk-equation by plotting 1/V vs. 1/[s] following values were then calculated as follows: 1) Kᵢ, 2) Apparent V_max (V_mapp), 3) Apparent Kₘ (K_mapp), and 4) type of inhibition.

**Molecular docking**

A molecular docking study was carried out using the Autodock 4.2 program [27], while Discovery Studio Visualizer was used to select the best binding mode with the receptor and 3D interaction poses. The 3D structures of AChE (PDB 2ACE) were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org), followed by separating the co-crystallized ligands and water molecules. Then polar hydrogens were added. Finally, the 3D structures of the tested coumarin analogs were optimized using Gaussian 03 software with the semi-empirical AM1 method.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemical Structure**

3-Acetyl-5,6-benzocoumarin 3 were successfully prepared from a reaction of 2-hydroxynaphthaldehyde 1 with ethyl acetoacetate 2 and few drops of piperidine under reflux conditions. Next, condensation of benzocoumarin derivative 3 with 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde using piperidine as a catalyst was done via reflux to form benzocoumarin chalcone 3. Later, cyclocondensation of chalone 4 with urea, thiourea, and guanidine HCl was done by reflux and using glacial acetic acid as a catalyst to produce various pyrimidine rings. The synthetic methods to the novel compounds are shown in the following Scheme 1.

The structures of compounds 3–7 were confirmed on the basis of elemental analyses as well as spectral data (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR spectra). The FT-IR spectra of the synthesized compounds exhibited absorption bands at 1728–1745 cm⁻¹ due to lactone carbonyl stretching, whereas compounds 3 and 4 showed two bands at 1675 and 1681 cm⁻¹ assignable to carbonyl group stretching of chalcone and ketone, respectively. In addition, hydroxyl group stretching bands were exhibited in the range of 3387–3471 cm⁻¹, other absorption bands assignable to substituents were comprehensively analyzed.

In ¹H-NMR spectra of the compounds 3–7, the protons of the benzocoumarin moiety appeared almost similar pattern. The C4-H of the benzocoumarin moiety was resonated as singlets at δ = 8.20–8.42 ppm. Meanwhile, the range of resonance at δ = 9.42–9.80 ppm is due to the O–H bond. The spectrum of compound 4 exhibited two doublets at 7.20 ppm and 7.86 ppm, indicating that the ethylene group in the enone linkage is in a trans-conformation in the chalcone. In addition, the aromatic and other substituents protons were comprehensively analyzed.

In ¹³C-NMR spectra of the compounds 3–7, the carbon atom of the C=N group was resonated at the regions δ = 169.3–165.2 ppm, while carbonyl group of benzocoumarin and were resonated at the regions δ = 161.7–159.5 ppm. The resonances at the regions δ = 155.0–149.1 ppm and δ = 147.5–137.6 ppm were assigned to carbon atoms C-10a and C-4 of the benzocoumarin, respectively. In addition, the carbon atoms of the C–OH group in the phenol ring was resonated at the regions δ = 157.9–155.9. Other aromatic and benzocoumarin atoms and the other substituted were comprehensively analyzed.
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Molecular Docking Results

In the present work, *in silico* study was performed using molecular docking simulation in order to test the capability of some synthesized compounds as potential AChE inhibitors. The targeted compounds (5–7) and Donepezil were docked as ligands with the active pocket of AChE (PDB ID: 2ACE) to attain favorable conformation, with the maximum number of interactions and minimal free energy, as shown in Fig. 2–5. The findings of the study, which include binding energies and types of interactions, are shown in Table 1.

Fig. 4 shows 2D and 3D Donepezil’s interactions as ligand with the target 2ACE, including H-bond, π-π and van der Waals' interactions with residues of His398, Cys402, Tyr524, Phe284, and Pro361 amino acids in the active site of AChE with binding energy -10.6 kcal/mol.
and distances varying from 2.68 to 3.23 Å. The docking simulation of compounds 5–7 had demonstrated stronger electrostatic interactions (van der Waal’s, π–π stacking, and H-bond) with lower docking energies -17.4, 13.6, and -11.3 kcal/mol, respectively, when compared to the docking score of Donepezil - 10.6 kcal/mol, as shown in Fig. 3–5. Among the other derivatives, derivative 7 formed four H-bond interactions with key amino acids residues: Ser235, Asn230, Pro232, and His398, whereas the other electrostatic interactions formed with His362, Arg289, Arg244, Pro361, Pro232, Pro403, Val236, Leu532, and Phe284. In derivatives 5 and 6, similar binding interactions with active pockets of 2ACE were observed due to the high homology of binding site residues and other electrostatic interactions formed with residues Cys402, Trp524, and Ala234 amino acids. The huge number of various binding interactions with amino acid residues of the active pocket in the target protein and
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favorable binding energies suggests that these compounds could be used as clinically effective inhibitors for the AChE enzyme.

**Biological Evaluation**

**Acetylcholinesterase inhibition assay**

Inhibitory activity of the newly synthesized compounds was screened in vitro for their anti-AChE activity. Electric eel AChE was used as the target enzyme. Meanwhile, donepezil hydrochloride was used as a reference compound. The obtained data are listed in Table 1. The first test tried to study the effect of DMSO solvent, which no longer exhibited any inhibitory effect [28]. Then examine the 6, 7 in the mixture at exceptional concentrations (10⁻¹², 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁸, 10⁻¹⁰, and 10⁻¹² M). Before every set of inhibition experiments was conducted, the AChE activity was measured using four distinct concentrations of acetylthiocholineiodide substrate (0.02, 0.04, 0.06, and 0.08 M) in a healthy subject in Fig. 6.

The impact of distinct concentrations of every inhibitor at acetylcholine concentrations on AChE undertaking is illustrated in Fig. 7. As clear in Fig. 3, the 6 and 7 compounds had low inhibition effect on AChE activity at low concentrations (10⁻¹² M), but at high concentrations (10⁻⁶ and 10⁻⁴ M) and middle concentrations (10⁻¹⁰ and 10⁻⁸ M) of two compounds had high inhibition effect on AChE. The biochemical tests indicated that two prepared compounds have caused noticed inhibitory effects on enzyme activity compared with the measured control values of 2.5 μmol/2 min/mL, see Table 2.

![Fig 6. The hyperbolic curve of AChE at variant concentrations of AChTI and in the absence of inhibitor](image-url)
From these results, it is clear that 6 and 7 inhibited AChE activity in healthy samples. Table 2 showed that the more significant inhibition percent was found at 10^{-4} and 10^{-10} M concentrations, and low inhibition was obtained at 10^{-12} and 10^{-4} M in 6 and 7, respectively. These can be attributed to the presence of six common interactions. First, the benzocumarin ring interacts with the phenyl of the Trp286 indole by a \( \pi - \pi \) interaction—the nitrogen atom of pyrimidine forms a hydrogen bond with the hydroxy of Tyr124. Second, the carbonylphenyl ring creates a \( \pi - \pi \) interaction with the indole of Trp86. This carbonyl substituent is also essential for polar interactions. Third, the phenoxy group forms two hydrogen bonds with the carbonyl of Gly120 by acting as a hydrogen donor. Finally, the hydroxy of the Tyr133 acts as a hydrogen acceptor or may create another hydrogen bond with the carbonyl of Glu202.

Our work could not be compared exactly to other work because, as far as we know, this is the only study that demonstrates the effects of new prepared coumarins derivatives on the activities of AChE enzyme activity. However, Abdul-Rida et al. [23] concluded that the tested coumarin derivatives are potential candidates as leads for potent and efficacious ChEs inhibitors. The assayed compounds exhibited moderate inhibitory activity against AChE, with IC\textsubscript{50} values ranging from 1.828–8.252 mM.

The second part of this study is to decide the type of inhibition and kinetic parameters (K\textsubscript{m}, V\textsubscript{max}, and K\textsubscript{i}) at extraordinary substrate concentrations and beneath the same stipulations. Lineweaver-Burk’s graph showed that (6 and 7 inhibit AChE by different types of inhibition and gave a different value of K\textsubscript{i}. The results are summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 8.

The study indicated that K\textsubscript{m} was varied from same or less in the presence of prepared compounds compared with the non-inhibiting system. A low K\textsubscript{m} means the higher affinity of the substrate toward enzyme.

**Table 2. Effect of different concentrations of 6 and 7 in sera of normal subjects on the AChE activity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Inhibitor conc. (M)</th>
<th>AChE activity (( \mu )mol/2 min/mL)</th>
<th>% Inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>78.68*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>54.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-6}</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-10}</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-12}</td>
<td>2.199</td>
<td>12.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>73.92*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-4}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>62.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-10}</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>64.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-12}</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluded in the kinetic study due to turbid solution

**Fig 7.** Effect of different concentrations of 6 and 7 compounds on AChE activity represented as a percent of inhibition.
Table 3. The kinetic parameters of AChE with and without inhibitors in sera of healthy subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Inhibitor conc. (M)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (M)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (μmol/2 min/mL)</th>
<th>$K_i$ (M)</th>
<th>Inhibition type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Maximum inhibition</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{-4}$ Non-comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum inhibition</td>
<td>$10^{-12}$</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>$1.99 \times 10^{-12}$  Un-comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Minimum inhibition</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$    Un-comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum inhibition</td>
<td>$10^{-10}$</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$    Un-comp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Non-comp: noncompetitive inhibition
Un-comp: uncompetitive inhibition

Fig 8. Lineweaver-Burk graphs at four different AChTI concentrations at maximum and minimum inhibition concentrations of 6 and 7 in healthy and patient samples

and the lower affinity of inhibitors to fit into the active-site cleft of the enzyme, which is present in 6 at concentrations of $10^{-12}$ M and in 7 at concentrations of $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-10}$ M (uncompetitive inhibition). On the other hand, 10–4 M concentrations do not compute with a substrate on the enzyme’s active site (noncompetitive inhibition). The affinity is influenced by several factors, such as size, three-dimensional structure, and the presence of metals imidazole complexes that easily bind non-covalently to the active site. AChE showed inhibition constant $K_i$ in the range of $10^{-4}$–$10^{-12}$ M in the presence of maximum and minimum inhibitors concentrations, probably due to variant types of inhibition from non and uncompetitive.

Table 3 clearly showed that the $V_{max}$ value for the control sample (5 KU/L) was higher than in inhibited samples. So, it is clear that the amount of active enzyme $V_{max}$ is present in the non-inhibited system. Ilkay et al. [29] showed AChE inhibitory activities of seven coumarin derivatives (umbelliferone (1), 4-methylumbelliferone (2), 4-hydroxycoumarin (3), scopoletin (4), 8-methoxy psoralen (5), bergapten (6), and iso-bergapten (7)). A furanocoumarin mixture obtained from *Heracleum crenatifolium* Boiss (Umbelliferae), as well as two anthraquinone derivatives (rhein (8) and aloe-emodine (9)) and one stilbene, rhapontin (10), were tested by the spectrophotometric method of Ellman using an ELISA microplate-reader at
1 mg/mL. Among them, the furanocoumarin mixture (68.8 ± 0.76%), bergapten (62.4 ± 0.74%), aloe-emodine (57.2 ± 1.32%), scopoletin (53.1 ± 0.83%), and 4-methyl umbelliferone (62.3 ± 1.03%) showed over 50% inhibition against AChE. The distinction in K_i values allows us to conclude that no longer all of the assumptions underlying basic Michaelis–Menten equations are being obeyed and that the facts are steady with the kinetics of a tight-binding inhibitor. Also, the outcomes demonstrated that 6 and 7 exhibit different sorts of inhibition at maximum and minimum concentration. The combined inhibition by using 6 and 7 in most inhibition can explain for to inhibitors structure that makes conformational modifications after binding to –SH, –COOH, imidazole groups of Ser, His, and Glu in AChE, which is both localized in the active core or is essential in deciding the energetic conformation of the enzyme molecule.

On the other hand, noncompetitive inhibition can be defined according to the classical models described that the inhibitor binds to the allosteric site that reasons conformational trade lock the enzyme and prevents the substrate binding or lowering substrate affinity to AChE. Baruah et al. [30] found that inhibit AChE in an anon-competitive manner with Chromenyl Coumarate showing the highest inhibition potency. Sequestration of the inhibitors by human serum albumin rendered the reduction in AChE inhibition activity. Therefore, a potential AChEI is expected to bind with AChE strongly but with a lower tendency to be confiscated in HSA.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, novel benzo[f]coumarin derivatives bearing various pyrimidines were synthesized with good yields via cyclocondensation of chalcone 4 with urea, thiourea, and guanidine HCl in the presence of glacial acetic acid. The synthesized compounds were screened against Acetylcholinesterase activity. The obtained results revealed that the benzocoumarin derivatives conjugated with various pyrimidines contain substituted groups (–OH, –S, and –NH). It showed that 6 and 7 inhibit AChE activity in healthy samples. The more significant inhibition percent was found at 10^{-12} and 10^{-10} M concentrations, while the low inhibition was obtained at 10^{-12} and 10^{-4} M in 6 and 7, respectively.
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