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Abstract: Indonesia is rich with essential oils such as anise and clove leave oils. In respect to explore the potential utilization of these resources, it has been conducted the transformation of \( p \)-hydroxybenzaldehyde and vanillin (4-hydroxy-3-methoxy benzaldehyde) respectively derived from anise oil and clove leaves oil to a series of C-arylcalix[4]resorcinarenes and C-arylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene macrocycles. Treatment of these aldehydes with resorcinol in the presence of HCl in absolute ethanol at reflux for 8 h afforded C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene \( (3a) \) and C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxy phenyl-calix[4]resorcinarene \( (3b) \) in good yields. When the aldehydes were treated with pyrogallol under the similar condition, the products were C-4-hydroxyphenyl calix[4]pyrogallolarene \( (3c) \) and C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene \( (3d) \) which were also obtained in excellent yields. Treatment of these calix[4]resorcinarenes and calix[4]pyrogallolarenes with cinnamoyl chloride and benzoyl chloride in pyridine afforded the corresponding cinnamate esters and benzoate esters in high yields. The resulted C-arylcalix-[4]resorcinarenes and C-arylcalix[4]pyrogallolarenes were subjected to antioxidant activity test using DPPH method and showed strong activity with IC\(_{50}\) values of 15–80 μg/mL. In terms of the synthesized calix cinnamates, the compounds showed UV-B absorption with SPF values of 15–30 at a concentration of 25 ppm which demonstrate their potential to be applied as a UV-B protector. Furthermore, these compounds were also tested for their photostability on the UV-B region and the results showed that the compounds were still unstable under irradiation for 30 min.
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INTRODUCTION

Exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation from the sun is known to cause health problems, such as sunburns, skin cancer and severe eye damage [1]. Sunscreen is a product containing compounds that can protect the skin from the effects of UV radiation emitted by the sun. The unit of sunscreen measurement is Sun Protection Factor (SPF) indicating the level of protection given by a material against UV radiation without burning the skin. Sunscreen protects the skin through both the absorption of UV radiation by the chromophore group of organic sunscreens and the absorption, reflection, and scattering of UV radiation by inorganic sunscreens [2-3]. Several studies have shown that the use of sunscreen could prevent the occurrence of skin diseases induced by the exposure of ultraviolet radiation, such as photoaging [4] and DNA damage (cancer) [5-6].
Sunscreen compounds are classified into two types, i.e., inorganic and organic sunscreens. Some examples of inorganic sunscreens are ZnO, MgO, CaCO₃, and TiO₂ [7], whereas organic sunscreens generally have conjugated benzene ring with carbonyl groups, such as oxybenzone and octyl methoxycinnamate [8]. Inorganic sunscreens, nowadays, are no longer used because it causes skin allergies and irritation. A study shows that TiO₂ indeed damages skin cells [9]. On the other hand, the demand for organic sunscreen octyl methoxycinnamate keeps increasing as it does not damage DNA [10]. However, although the organic sunscreens are preferred, they have low stability. Meanwhile, one of the antioxidant working mechanisms is by preventing free radical produced by UV radiation. Through this mechanism, there is a trend for developing organic molecules having both antioxidant and sunscreen activity to be used as the active compounds for sunscreen products.

One of the potentials that can be used as sunscreen active compounds with broad-spectrum is calixarene derivatives either as calix[4]resorcinarenes or calix[4]pyrogallolarenes. Calixarenes have been widely used as ion selective electrode [11], transportation systems and sensor [12], complexes with metal ions [13], analytical and separation agents [14], the adsorbent of heavy metals and dichromate anions [15] and also as sunscreen [16]. These compounds are the tetracyclic compounds resulted from a condensation of phenol and aldehyde derivatives. The fact that the compounds from phenol derivatives gives the advantages to work as a perfect antioxidant and the presence of a conjugated benzene with a carbonyl group will refine the sunscreen function even more. Calix[4]arenes are known to have high chemical, radiation, thermal stability and has relatively low toxicity, making it as a superior compound for sunscreen material [16]. Additionally, the fact that it has relatively large molecular weight is also another advantage, as it is consistent with the development trend of new innovative sunscreen compounds that tends to have molecular weights above 500 g/mol [2]. The development of sunscreen compounds with large molecular weight is intended to prevent the absorption of the active compounds into the skin [17].

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials

Materials employed for the synthesis were pyrogallol (C₆H₆O₃), resorcinol (C₆H₄(OH)₂), 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (C₇H₆O₂), vanillin (C₆H₇O₃), ethanol 98%, hydrochloric acid 37%, pyridine (C₅H₅N), cinnamoyl chloride (C₉H₇OCl) and distilled water. Materials used for the antioxidant and sunscreen activity tests were 1,1-diphenylpicryl-2-hydrazil (DPPH), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), methanol, dimethylsulfoxide (C₂H₆OS), and chloroform (CHCl₃). These materials were pro-analytic reagents from Merck except for distilled water.

Instrumentation

Equipments used in the study were a set of reflux, electric heater, magnetic stirrer, oven and laboratory glassware. Instruments used to characterize the synthesis products were the melting point instrument (Electrothermal 9100), FTIR spectrometer (Shimadzu-Prestige 21), NMR spectrometers (NMR, JEOL JNMECA 500 MHz) and liquid-mass spectrometry chromatography (LC-MS Waters HPLC-SQD MS M3100). For antioxidant activity and sunscreen tests, shaker and UV-Vis spectrophotometer (UV Spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV 1800) were used.

Procedure

Synthesis of C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene (3a)

Resorcinol (5.50 g; 0.05 mol) was dissolved in 75 mL of ethanol 98% and 6.10 g of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.05 mol) was added afterward. After the mixture dissolved, 1 mL of HCl 37% was added dropwise. The mixture was then stirred and refluxed at 78 °C for 20 h. The mixture was let to cool off before distilled water was added. The precipitate formed was filtered and then dried to give as a red solid in 90% (m.p. > 290 °C). FTIR (KBr, v; cm⁻¹): (OH) 3356, (Csp3-H) 2924 and 2854, (C=C aromatic) 1612 and 1512, (C-H) 1427, (C-O) 1242. 1H-NMR (DMSO-d₆; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 5.41 (4H,s); 6.08 (4H,s); 6.30 (J=12.95 Hz, 4H,d); 6.47 (J=9.1 Hz, 4H,d); 6.62 (4H,s); 8.45 (4H,s); 8.70 (4H,s).
Synthesis of C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylcalix[4] resorcinarene (3b)

Resorcinol (5.50 g; 0.05 mol) was dissolved in 75 mL ethanol 98% and 7.60 g of vanillin (0.05 mol) was added into the mixture afterward. After the mixture dissolved, 1 mL of HCl 37% was added dropwise. Further treatment was the same as that of compound 3a afforded compound 3b a light red solid in 84% (m.p. > 290 °C). FTIR (KBr, ν; cm–1): (–OH) 3387, (Csp³-H) 2939 and 2854, (C=C aromatic) 1612 and 1512, (C-H) 1427, (–CH3) 1373, (C-O) 1280. 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 3.52 (12 H,s); 5.40 (4H,s); 6.07 (4H,s); 6.13 (4H,s); 6.30 (J=8.45 Hz, 4H,d); 6.32 (4H,s); 6.35 (J=16.85 Hz, 4H,d); 6.37 (4H,s); 8.47 (4H,s). LCMS base peak: 730 (m/z).

Synthesis of C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene (3c)

Pyrogallol (1.89 g; 0.015 mol) was dissolved in 30 mL ethanol 95% and 1.83 g (0.015 mol) 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde was added afterward. After the mixture dissolved, 1 mL of HCl 37% was added dropwise. Further treatment was the same as that of compound 3a to afford compound 3c as an off white solid in 65% yield (m.p. > 290 °C). FTIR (KBr, ν; cm–1): (-OH) 3387, (Csp³-H) 2924, (C=O) 1700-1680, (C=C aromatic) 1635, (C-H) 1458, (-CH₂-) 1373, (C-O phenol) 1242-1010. 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 5.52 (4H,s); 6.35 (J=20.85 Hz, 8H,d); 6.33 (J= 17.95 Hz, 8H,d); 5.52 (2H,s); 5.93 (2H,s); 7.31 (4H,s); 7.48 (4H,s); 7.68 (2H,s); 7.78 (2H,s); 8.72 (4H,s). 13C-NMR (DMSO; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 44 (C methoxy moiety of vanillin); 56 (C of methylene bridge); 110-120 and 133 (C of vanillin’s aromatic ring un-attached by methoxyl or hydroxyl group); 123 and 132 (C of pyrogallol’s aromatic ring un-attached by hydroxyl group); 136 and 142 (C of pyrogallol’s aromatic ring attached by hydroxyl group); 145 and 148 (C of vanillin’s aromatic ring attached by hydroxyl and methoxyl, respectively). LCMS [M]+: 1040.90 (m/z).

Synthesis of C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylcalix[4] pyrogallolarene (3d)

Pyrogallol (1.89 g; 0.015 mmol), vanillin (2.28 g; 0.015 mmol), ethanol (30 mL), and HCl 37% (1 mL) were reacted according to the method of preparation of compound 3a to give compound 3d as a purple solid in 53% (m.p. > 290 °C). FTIR (KBr, ν; cm–1): (-OH) 3387, (Csp³-H) 2924, (C=O) 1700-1680, (C=C aromatic) 1612 and 1512, (C-H) 1427, (C-O phenol) 1273, (C-O ether) 1040. 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 3.42 (12H,s); 5.52 (4H,s); 5.55 (4H,s); 7.22 (4H,s); 7.46 (2H,s); 7.96 (4H,s); 6.08 (J=10 Hz, 4H,d); 6.31 (J=10 Hz, 4H,d); 7.63 (8H,s); 7.72 (4H,s). 13C-NMR (DMSO; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 44 (C methoxy moiety of vanillin); 56 (C of methylene bridge); 110-120 and 133 (C of vanillin’s aromatic ring un-attached by methoxyl or hydroxyl group); 123 and 132 (C of pyrogallol’s aromatic ring un-attached by hydroxyl group); 136 and 142 (C of pyrogallol’s aromatic ring attached by hydroxyl group); 145 and 148 (C of vanillin’s aromatic ring attached by hydroxyl and methoxyl, respectively). LCMS [M]+: 1040.90 (m/z).

Synthesis of C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinaryl dodecabenzoate (4a)

Compound 3a (0.48 g; 0.5 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL of pyridine and afterward, 1.39 mL (0.5 mmol) benzoyl chloride was added. The mixture was placed in a cooling bath. The mixture was stirred and heated at 90 °C for 10 h. After the mixture cools off, 300 mL of distilled water was added. The precipitate formed was filtered, washed with water and dried in a desiccator to give compound 4a as an orange solid in 68% yield (m.p. > 237 °C). FTIR (KBr, ν; cm–1): (C=O ester) 1720, (C-O) 1265, (Csp³-H) 2924, (C=O ester) 1720, (C-O) 1265. 1H-NMR (CDCl3; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 4.03 (proton of calix[4]resorcinarene methine bridge, m); 6.58 and 8.20 (proton of aromatic resorcinol, b); 6.99, 7.40 and 7.45 (proton of aromatic benzoyl, b); 6.80 and 7.91 (proton of aromatic benzaldehyde, b).

Synthesis of C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylcalix[4] resorcinaryl dodecabenzoate (4b)

Compound 4b was synthesized using the same procedure as that of calix 4a in which 3b (4.30 g; 0.5 mmol), benzoyl chloride (1.39 mL; 0.5 mmol) and pyridine (8 mL) were used to afford 4b as a reddish-orange solid in 54% yield (m.p. 241 °C). FTIR (KBr, ν; cm–1): (C=O ester) 1720, (C-O) 1265, (C=O-H) 2924 and 2854, (C=O ester) 1720, (C-O) 1265. 1H-NMR (CDCl3; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 5.72 (proton of calix[4]resorcinarene methylene bridge, b); 6.32 and 8.22 (proton of aromatic resorcinol, b); 7.11, 7.46 and 7.50 (proton of aromatic benzoyl, b); 6.62, 7.80 and 8.05 (proton of vanillin aromatic moiety, b); 3.53 (proton of methoxy moiety of the vanillin, b).
**Synthesis of C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene hexadeca-cinnamate (4c)**

Compound 3c (0.46 g; 0.5 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL of pyridine and afterward 2.67 g (16 mmol) cinnamoyl chloride was added. The mixture was placed in a cooling bath. The mixture was stirred and heated at 90 °C for 10 h. After the mixture cools off, 300 mL of distilled water was added. The precipitate formed was filtered, washed with water and dried in a desiccator to give compound 4c as a white solid in 97% yield (m.p. > 290 °C). FTIR (KBr, ν; cm⁻¹): (Csp³-H) 2924, (C=O ester) 1735, (C=C aromatic) 1612 and 1512, (C-H) 1458, (-CH2-) 1442, (C-O ester) 1311-1134. 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 6.25 (s) and 7.76 (m) (Proton of the alkene of the cinnamate moiety); 7.10-7.51 (Proton of aromatic ring of cinnamate moiety, m); 5.65 (Proton of methine bridge, s); 6.47–6.77 (Proton of the aromatic ring of the pyrogallol and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde moieties, m).

**Synthesis of C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene hexadeca-cinnamate (4d)**

Compound 4d was synthesized using the same procedure as that of calix 4c in which 3d (0.52 g; 0.5 mmol), cinnamoyl chloride (2.67 g; 16 mmol) and pyridine (8 mL) were used to afford 4d as an orange solid in 90% yield. FTIR (KBr, ν; cm⁻¹): (Csp³-H) 2924, (C=O ester) 1735, (C=C aromatic) 1612, (C-H) 1458, (-CH2-) 1442, (C-O ester) 1311-1134. 1H-NMR (DMSO-d6; 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 3.51 (Proton of methoxy moiety of the vanillin, s); 5.64 (Proton of methylene bridge, s); 6.35–6.75 (Proton of aromatic vanillin and pyrogallol, m); 7.24–7.88 (Proton of cinnamate moiety, b); 6.26 (s) and 7.89 (m) (Proton of the alkene of the cinnamate moiety).

**Antioxidant activity test (DPPH) of synthesized compounds 3a-d**

Antioxidant activity was assessed using the 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical inhibition assay. Several concentrations of compounds 3a-d (0, 5, 10, 25 and 50 µg/mL) in DMSO were prepared for the sample solution. To each sample solution (0.2 mL), 1 mL of DPPH (100 µg/mL) and 3.8 mL of ethanol were added. The mixture was shaken for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 517 nm. The percentage of the inhibition was calculated using the Eq. 1.

\[
\% \text{inhibition} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

and then the linear plot of %inhibition versus concentration was analyzed (Eq. 2).

\[
y = ax + b
\]

where x is the concentration of the measured substance and y is the % inhibition. Meanwhile, the IC50 value was determined as the x value of this equation when y was equal to 50%.

**Sunscreen activity test (in vitro) synthesized compounds (4a-d)**

The compounds 4c-d (0.5 mg) were respectively dissolved into 10 mL chloroform while compounds 4a-b were respectively dissolved into 10 mL DMSO in order to obtain the test solutions with a concentration of 50 µg/mL. The absorbance of these test solutions was respectively read at a wavelength of 200–400 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Chloroform was used as a blank solution for compounds 4c-d while DMSO was used as a blank solution for compounds 4a-b. These compounds showing a strong absorbance in UV-B and UV-A area were further tested their photostability.

**Photostability test of compounds (4c-d)**

Photostability test was performed according to the methodology described by Chawla et al. [16] and Budiana [18]. However, in this report, the photostability test was only conducted in the UV-B region. Compounds 4c-d (1 mg) were respectively diluted into 10 mL of volumetric flask. Chloroform was subsequently added to the mark. Then, these solutions were respectively diluted to obtain a solution with concentration of 8 µg/mL. Afterward, 4 mL of each of the diluted solution was moved into six 10 mL vials and radiated with UV-B with the intensity of 0.283 mW/cm² for 0, 5, 15, 30, 60, and 90 min. After radiation was completed, the absorption of 4c-d solutions was measured at λ 200–400 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Spectra of these test solutions for respectively 4c and 4d in the given time range are compared in order to find the change of spectral
absorption of the 4c-d derivative compounds. The potential compounds to be developed as sunscreen compounds or more specifically UV-B protectors are those having absorbance which did not significantly decrease after being exposed to UV-B rays.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of all compounds was performed according to Fig. 1 (3a-b and 4a-b) and 2 (3c-d and 4c-d). The synthesized compounds were identified by FT-IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR, and LC-MS which proved that the expected products have already been produced. The spectroscopic data of the compounds are as presented in the experimental section.

The condensation of resorcinol with respectively 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde and vanillin to generate compounds 3a and 3b were strongly proved by the presence of methine bridge in 1H-NMR spectra of compound 3a (δ: 5.41 ppm) and 3b (δ: 5.40 ppm). Likewise, the condensation of pyrogallol with respectively 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde and vanillin to form compounds 3c and 3d were strongly indicated by
the presence of methine bridge in $^1$H-NMR spectra of compound 3c ($\delta$: 5.52 ppm) and 3d ($\delta$: 5.55 ppm). The presence of methine bridge was clear proof that the calixarene derivatives have been formed [19]. Furthermore, the absence of the aldehyde carbonyl (1700–1680 cm$^{-1}$) in the FT-IR data of compounds 3a-d also indicated that the aldehyde carbonyl moiety of either 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde or vanillin were successfully transformed to be methine bridge. Another evidence that demonstrated the formation of 3d was the presence of the [M$^+$] in LC-MS result of this compound. In addition, the LC-MS results also showed that the
trimer of C-4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylcalix[4]resorcin arene fragment as a base peak which strongly indicated the 3b compound has been synthesized.

The esterification reaction of either compounds 3c-d with cinnamoyl chloride to form respectively compounds 4c-d, or compounds 3a-b with benzoyl chloride to form compounds 4a-b was proven by the presence of 1H-NMR of the cinnamoyl moiety in compounds 4c-d and also benzoyl moiety in compounds 4a-b (served in the experimental section). However, the coupling constant of the compounds 4a-d 1H-NMR spectra cannot be served because the form of the 1H-NMR spectra of the 4a-b was multiplet (coincide with one another) while 4c-d were broad form instead of the line form. The multiplet spectra of the compounds 4a-b were probably caused by the presence of hydrogen of many aromatic rings. While the broad spectra of the compounds 4c-d occurred because of its complex hydrogen bonding interaction. Furthermore, the presence of many aromatic rings conjugated with alkene group in the compounds 4c-d which interact each other by π-π interaction [20-21] that strongly influenced and rapidly changed time by time the electron density of each aromatic ring, thus electron shielding of proton would also influenced and changed rapidly and therefore the 4c-d spectra were more likely as broad than line spectra. In addition, the presence of C=O (ester) in the FT-IR spectra of compounds 4c-d at 1735 cm\(^{-1}\) and compounds 4a-b at 1720 cm\(^{-1}\) were strongly indicated that these compounds have been formed.

**Antioxidant Activity**

Antioxidant activity test of C-arylcalix[4]resorcin arene 3a-b and C-arylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene 3c-d were performed using the DPPH free radical inhibition assay. This method was chosen because it is a fast, simple and accurate method. Moreover, the method can be applied to the samples in small quantities. DPPH is a purple organic compound containing nitrogen radicals with strong absorbance at a maximum wavelength of 517 nm. When DPPH reacts with the antioxidant compound, DPPH would be reduced and its color would turn yellow [22].

The qualitative DPPH method could be used to determine the IC\(_{50}\) value based on the obtained linear regression graph of Eq. 2. The IC\(_{50}\) is a parameter utilized to determine antioxidant activity. The IC\(_{50}\) is the concentration of antioxidant that inhibits 50% of DPPH free radical activities. The IC\(_{50}\) values come from the linear regression graph of the inhibition percentage of the absorption of the sample against blank reagent measured at 517 nm [23]. The results of the antioxidant activity test of the compounds 3a-d are presented in Table 1.

The test result showed that C-4-arylcalix[4] resorcinarene 3a-b are a very strong antioxidant agent, especially when compared to the IC\(_{50}\) values of the two derivative compounds of C-arylcalix[4]pyrogallolarene 3c-d. According to [24], level of antioxidant strength is divided into four levels, which are very strong (IC\(_{50}\) < 50 µg/mL), strong (IC\(_{50}\): 50–100 µg/mL), moderate (IC\(_{50}\): 101–150 µg/mL), and weak (IC\(_{50}\): 250–500 µg/mL). This indicated that the antioxidant activity of compound 3b is stronger than that of 3a. This is because compound 3b has hydroxyl and methoxyl substituents which act as electron donors.

The presence of the more electron donating groups led compound 3b to have the greater inductive effect than compound 3a, thus the radical electron stability of compound 3b would also be greater than 3a [25] and therefore the antioxidant activity of compound 3b is stronger than that of 3a. Nonetheless, the antioxidant activity of compound 3c-d was lower than those of 3a-b even though 3c-d have more hydroxyl groups than 3a-b. This was probably due to the existence of more effective intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the pyrogallol system of 3c-d in comparison to that of resorcinol system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>IC(_{50}) Values</th>
<th>Antioxidant Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>Very Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>25.68</td>
<td>Very Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>Very Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>88.20</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** IC\(_{50}\) values of the antioxidant activity test using DPPH method
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of 3a-b leading to the decreased ability to generate oxy radical of 3c-d compared to that of 3a-b.

**Sunscreen Assay**

Test of compounds 3a-d and 4a-d as sunscreen was performed in two stages including UV absorption measurements using UV-Vis spectrophotometer and a photostability test using UV-B radiation. Both tests were conducted to investigate the potential use of compound 3a-d and 4a-d as a sunscreen compound. Analysis of UV absorption of 3a-d and 4a-d were conducted using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The tested compounds 3a-d and 4a-d have low solubility in solvents commonly used for UV-Vis measurement (ethanol, isopropanol, and water), thus these compounds 3a-b and 4c-d were dissolved in chloroform (CHCl₃) while compounds 3c-d and 4a-b were dissolved in DMSO during the absorption measurements in UV-Vis. Actually, chloroform and DMSO are not recommended to be used for UV measurements because these solvents have electronic absorption in the UV area (chloroform: cut off 260 and 240 nm; DMSO: 265 nm). Nevertheless, the use of a double beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer with respectively solvent (DMSO or chloroform) as a blank solution and the baseline correction performed prior to the measurement is believed to keep the measurement accuracy intact both qualitatively and quantitatively.

In this study, the activity of the synthesized compound as the UV radiation absorber could be seen from the pattern of the compound absorbance when analyzed with UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Compounds with high-intensity absorption at UV-A and UV-B wavelength regions have good sunscreen activity.

Sun Protection Factor (SPF) is a number indicating the level of protection to the sunburn caused by UV radiation that could be provided by a compound. The determination of the SPF number in this study was obtained from UV-Vis spectrophotometer experiments. The SPF number would decrease when the concentration of the sunscreen compound is lowered since the absorption of the compound would decrease with the decrease of concentration. The SPF number is more about the strength of sunscreen against sunburn than about the chronic effects of the sun radiation [26].

The analysis of UV absorption and SPF values of the compounds 3a-d and 4a-d were served in Table 2. It showed that the compounds 3a-b only have the adsorption at UV-C region, but the compounds 3c-d have the adsorption at both UV-B and UV-C region. It occurred because the compounds 3c-d which were synthesized from pyrogallol have more electron donating groups (-OH) than the compounds 3a-b which were synthesized from resorcinol. This electron donating group gave the more variation of the excited state of an electron with a variation of wavelength, thus the more containing electron donating groups, it would have more variation and broader band of absorbed wavelength. Therefore, the compounds 3c-d have more broadband spectrum (UV-B and UV-C) than compounds 3a-b (UV-C).

Likewise, the compounds 4c-d which were synthesized from compounds 3c-d have more broadband spectrum (UV-B and UV-C region) compared to compounds 4a-b (only UV-C region) which were synthesized from compounds 3a-b. In addition, the SPF values of compounds 4c-d were greater than compounds 3c-d. It occurred because compounds 4c-d which have the cinnamoyl moiety while the compounds 3c-d do not have. This cinnamoyl moiety could give the extension of conjugation of the π electron that lower the gap between HOMO and LUMO, thus the probability of electron excitation would increase which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>λ_max (nm)</th>
<th>SPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. λ_max and SPF values of synthesized compounds
Fig 3. Photostability test of the 4c and 4d derivative compounds

means that the absorptivity constant of the compound would also increase. This increase of absorptivity would also increase the SPF values for each molar of the compound.

According to the American Academy of Dermatology, a good sunscreen is a compound that has a broad spectrum, could absorb UV-B and UV-A rays has high SPF (> 30) at a concentration that does not affect fibroblast cells and also does not dissolve in water within 40–80 min. The result of electronic spectrum measurement revealed that compounds 4c-d fulfill these criteria.

After determining the capability of compound 4c-d in absorbing the dangerous radiation of UV rays, the photostability test was performed to find out the stability of these compounds against UV ray exposure. A good sunscreen should have high stability, means that the structure of the sunscreen compounds will not change after being exposed to the dangerous UV rays. The change of structure could alternate the pattern of electronic spectrum of a compound. When an organic compound absorbs UV radiation, there will be electron excitations to the excited state. The faster excitation process, the better photostability of the compound. If the electron excitation did not happen, thus it will be bond-breaking to form new bonds which deliver to a new different structure. In this case, the faster a molecule reaching the excited state, the more stable the compound during that short time, the molecule will not have time to react with other species [27]. The organic sunscreen compound is relatively less stable against the exposure to the dangerous UV radiation. Therefore, a photostability test should be conducted to determine the durability and duration of protection that sunscreen compounds could provide against UV radiation.

The results of the photostability test of these two compound 4c-d are presented in Fig. 3. The results of the photostability test showed that the absorbance of the all tested compounds decreased after being radiated using UVB rays with the intensity of 0.287 mW/cm² for 5, 10, 15, 30, 60 and 90 min. The two derivative compounds of C-arylcalix[4]pyrogallolarylccinnamate 4c-d pose a relatively poor photostability. The absorbance of both cinnamate derivative compounds decreased like 65% for 3c and 44% for 3d after being radiated for 30 min. The results of the photostability test also showed there was a shift on λmax. The instability of the cinnamate derivative compounds occurs because the compounds react through cis-trans isomerization and [2+2] cycloaddition to produce other species [28]. The cis-trans isomerization is the transformation of geometry which need relatively low energy and it was frequently found, however, the [2+2] cycloaddition could only occur with the presence of the hν. The hν would excite the electron from
HOMO to LUMO and rearrange the phase of molecular orbital which causes bond breaking of the π bonding to form another σ bond [29].

The shift of λ\text{max} of the two cinnamate derivative compounds is predicted to happen due to the formation of new chromophore groups from the produced photodegradation product, such as enoate ester and dienoate groups [28]. Thus, the decrease of electronic absorbance of these two C-arylcalix[4]pirogallolarene cinnamate 4c and 4d compound are believed to work with the same mechanism. The simple version of the degradation of C-arylcalix[4]pirogallolarene cinnamate mechanism is presented in Fig. 4.

\section*{CONCLUSION}

Compound 3a-b are strong antioxidant agents, while compound 3c-d belong to the group of weak antioxidant agents. Compound 4c and 4d possess relatively similar absorbance with λ\text{max} of 291 nm (UV-B). The SPF values of 4c and 4d are 32.4 and 15.2, respectively, showing that the compounds have the potential to be developed as an active sunscreen.
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