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ABSTRACT

Determination of the optimum reaction time, activation energy (Ea) and frequency factor (A) of methyl ricinoleate nitration has been done. The nitration was conducted with the mole ratio of methyl ricinoleate to HNO\textsubscript{3} of 1:15. The reaction was conducted at temperatures of 29 and 64 °C with a variation of reaction time for 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min. Determination of activation energy and frequency factor was performed in a temperature of 29, 33, 38, 44, 49, 57 and 64 °C. The results showed that the optimum reaction time is 90 min. The activation energy (Ea) and frequency factor (A) was 44.5 kJ/mol and 4.780 \times 10^{3} \text{sec}^{-1}, respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Research in order to find additives to improve cetane number in diesel oil is more attractive. This happens due to lower quality of the crude oil that is characterized by an increase in specific gravity, sulfur content, carbon content of residual fraction, a light fraction and a decrease in cetane index [1]. Crude oil with low quality when processed will certainly impact on the quality of diesel oil. Therefore, diesel oil required an additive to improve its quality [2].

Types of additives that widely used is an organic nitrate compounds. One type additives derived from this class is 2-ethylhexyl nitrate or better known as the EHN [3-4]. This compound is produced from propene derivative obtained from the cracking of petroleum and flammable [4-5]. Relation to this material, the researchers attempted to find alternative utilization triglycerides compound or fatty acids as raw materials [6-10]. The utilization of these compounds were based on its safety to use, highly abundant availability and renewable.

In an attempt to produce nitrate compounds made from fatty acids, Poirier et al. [6] and Adnan [7] initially undertaken the hydration reaction at the double bond of the carbon atoms (C=C), followed by reduction to obtain alcohol compound. The alcohol was then nitrated using HNO\textsubscript{3}. Meanwhile, Suppes et al. [5] conducted nitration directly on the C=C double bond without hydration and reduction in the first step. Thus the results of nitrated compounds were not only had nitrate group (–NO\textsubscript{3}), but it also a nitro group (–NO\textsubscript{2}). While Rabello et al. [10] chosen a shorter way by transesterification of castor oil (\textit{Ricinus communis}), where the compound already had an alcohol group in the nitration required. Nitration of methyl ricinoleate could occur at the hydroxyl group, forming methyl ricinoleate nitrate. However, because there is a C=C double bond as well, an addition reaction produces another compound is possible to occur.

Some of the compounds resulting from the nitration and their ability as an additive to improve cetane number had been widely reported. However, information on the kinetics of the nitration is still very...
limited. This article reported data on the optimum reaction time, activation energy and frequency factor of nitration of methyl ricinoleate. The data of the order of the reaction, the reaction rate constants and reaction rates had been reported [11]. The result showed that order of the reaction was pseudo first-order and the rate constant at 29 °C was $6.667 \times 10^{-4}$ (sec$^{-1}$). While the reaction rates were $3.736 \times 10^{-5}$, $2.471 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.724 \times 10^{-5}$ M/sec for initial concentration of 0.375; 0.325 and 0.250 M, respectively.

Based on the previous results, this article reported data the optimum reaction time, activation energy and frequency factor in order to completed kinetics data of methyl ricinoleate nitration.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials**

The materials used in this study were methyl ricinoleate, nitric acid, acetic acid anhydride, diethyl ether, anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, and distilled water.

**Instrumentation**

The instruments in research were three neck round bottom flask, thermometer, magnetic stirrer, heater, Gas Chromatography (GC, Hewlett Packard 5890 Series II) and Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Shimadzu Prestige 21).

**Procedure**

**Nitration of methyl ricinoleate**

Nitric acid (3 mL) and acetic acid anhydride (4 mL) was successively and slowly put in three neck round bottom flask equipped with thermometer, cooler and magnetic stirrer equipped with a heater. The magnetic stirrer was operated on gently run (200 rpm). The temperature was set to get the desired reaction temperature. After the temperature reach a constant, 1 mL methyl of ricinoleate was added quickly. After the reaction had carried out for t min, the reaction was stopped and continued with neutralization process.

The reaction was terminated by adding the mixture into 200 mL of ice water and 20 mL diethyl ether in separating funnel. The bottom layer was separated and the upper layer (diethyl ether) was washed with distilled water until neutral. Then the upper layer was dried with anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and allowed to stand for 24 h. The results of the nitration products were analyzed by GC and FTIR.

**Determination of the optimum reaction time**

Determination of the optimum reaction time was carried out by varying the reaction time of 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 min. The temperature reaction was set at 29 °C and 64 °C. Determination of optimum reaction time was based on the concentration of the products that observed by GC.

**Determination of activation energy and frequency factor**

Determination of activation energy and frequency factor was carried out by varying the temperature at 29–64°C, a mole ratio of methyl ricinoleate to HNO$_3$ was 1:15. Determining the optimum ratio was based on the results of previous studied [9].

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Nitration of Methyl ricinoleate (MR)**

The chromatograms of the nitration products (Fig. 1) showed that nitration at 29 °C for 10, 20 and 30 min produced a new compound (nitrated MR), where the concentration increased with time.
Furthermore, the results were analyzed by FTIR to ensure that the new compounds derived from nitration of methyl ricinoleate. According to Suppes et al. [5], the nitrate group as a result of nitrated fatty acid ester compound was characterized by a sharp absorption at wavenumber region of 1650 (cm\(^{-1}\)). Meanwhile, according to Wade [12] a group of nitrate (–NO\(_3\)) was characterized by the appearance of a sharp absorption at wavenumber region of 1650–1500 cm\(^{-1}\). FTIR spectra in Fig. 2 showed the existence of a sharp absorption at 1627 cm\(^{-1}\), which come from N–O stretching of nitrate group.

Fig. 2 showed the absorption extends to 3440 cm\(^{-1}\) region of the stretching vibration of O–H bond. The absorption indicated the existence of hydroxyl group (–OH) in methyl ricinoleate. The absorption spectra were also present in the area of 2924, 2854, 856 and 725 cm\(^{-1}\) as a result of the stretching vibration of C–H bond of the alkyl group. Sharp absorption in the region in 1735 cm\(^{-1}\) as a result of the C=O stretching vibration and the absorption at 1172 cm\(^{-1}\) area come from the C–O bond, which both absorption indicated the ester group. In the spectra of nitrated methyl ricinoleate absorption at 3440 cm\(^{-1}\) region still appeared. It could conclude that product of nitration still contained methyl ricinoleate (reactant). According to Suppes and Dasari [13], the formation of nitrate group initiated by the formation of nitronium ion (NO\(_3^+\)) derived from the reaction of HNO\(_3\) with acetic anhydride was shown in Fig. 3.

Furthermore, nitronium ion reacted with methyl ricinoleate to produce methyl ricinoleate nitrate (compound 1). Methyl ricinoleate nitrate could undergo further nitration to produce other compound (compound 2). Nitration of methyl ricinoleate schematically was shown in Fig. 4 (very simplified). This result was supported by chromatogram of nitration product as shown in Fig. 5. There were three peaks on chromatogram, peak 1 showed the residual reactants, peaks 2 and 3 showed the product compound (compound 1 and compound 2).
As shown in Fig. 4, the nitration of the compound 1 also involved NO$_3^-$$. Nitration in which involving HNO$_3$ and acetic anhydride also produced N$_2$O$_5$ in their reaction steps as noted in Fig. 1. This compound could also be used as a reagent in the nitration as be conducted by Suppes et al. [5]. The experiment showed that product of nitration contains nitro (–NO$_2$) and nitrate (–NO$_3$) groups compounds.

**The Optimum Reaction Time**

At first nitration performed for 10, 20 and 30 min at a temperature of 29, 33, 38, 44, 49, 57 and 64 °C. Fig. 6 showed that nitration at temperatures of 29 and 33 °C only produces compound 1 (C1), although the reaction has been run for 30 min. The nitration carried out at a temperature of 38 °C produced compound 2 (C2). In the nitration where temperatures were higher, the formation of compound 2 occurred at earlier time. Thus, to get a product that contains only C1, the nitration might be performed at 29 or 33 °C.

Nitration at temperatures of 64 °C performed for 30 min produced C2 as the major product. Thus, if a lot of C2 was desired, the nitration must be performed at 64 °C. In this experiment, nitration at temperatures above 64 °C was not carried out because the nitration resulted in a very rapid increase of heat. This leads to the kinetics cannot be observed.

Further nitration performed at longer reaction times, i.e. 60, 90, 120 and 150 min, in which the reaction was carried out at a temperature of 29 and 64 °C (Fig. 7). The reaction temperature at 29 °C (room temperature) was chosen because the reaction at that temperature no need additional energy (heat), so making it more efficient. In addition, nitration at 29 °C only produced C1. While nitration at 64 °C had produced C2 as major component in the product. Fig. 7 shown that nitration at a temperature of 29 °C also produced C2 if the reaction has held 120 min minimally. Therefore to get the nitration products only contain of C1, then the nitration carried at 29 °C for about 90 min. The nitration conditions were then used in subsequent experiments. Results of nitration with C2 as the major component (the largest concentration) occurred in the nitration at 64 °C, carried out for 150 min.

**Activation Energy and Frequency Factor on Nitration of Methyl ricinoleate**

Reaction rate constant was determined by the relationship between ln C versus reaction time, where C was the concentration of residual methyl ricinoleate. Fig. 8 presented graph of ln C versus time for different reaction temperatures. Based on the value of k obtained from the graph in Fig. 3, it could be seen that the reaction rate...
constant (k) for the nitration at a temperature 29 °C was equal to 7.333 x 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1}. In the same way k values obtained for the nitration at 33 and 38 °C, respectively were 9.833 x 10^{-5} and 1.467 x 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}. Based on the value of k, it could be seen that the value of k increased with the increase of reaction temperature. Furthermore, the data was used to create a graph of ln k against 1/T to obtain the activation energy (Ea).

Based on the graph in Fig. 7 the slope of 6696.3 K, Ea of 44.5 kJ/mol, and frequency factor (A) of 4.780 x 10^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1} was obtained.

**CONCLUSION**

Nitration of methyl ricinoleate at 29 °C for 90 min (the optimum reaction time) facilitated the production of methyl ricinoleate nitrate. The activation energy (Ea) and frequency factor (A) were 44.5 kJ/mol and 4.780 x 10^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1}, respectively.
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