Molecular characterization of ageratum enation virus and beta satellite associated with leaf curl disease of fenugreek in India
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ABSTRACT Six fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) plant samples showing leaf curl disease symptoms were collected from Pantnagar, Uttarakhand state of India. The disease was successfully transmitted by whitefly (Bemisia tabaci) to healthy seedlings of fenugreek. PCR using begomovirus-specific primers amplified an expected 1.2-kb product of virus genome, and its determined sequence suggested that the six infected fenugreek plants were associated with previously described monopartite begomovirus, ageratum enation virus (AEV) (nucleotide identities are more than 98% among themselves). Therefore, one sample (BG199) was selected for full-length genome (DNA-A) and subviral (beta satellite) DNA amplification using rolling circle amplification method. Sequence Demarcation Tool (SDT) analysis of the complete genome of the isolate BG199 and beta satellite showed highest nucleotide (nt) identity of 93.7-98.7% and 90.7-95.6% with AEV and ageratum yellow leaf curl beta satellite (AYLCB), respectively. Further analysis of the recombinant origin of the genome (DNA-A) and beta satellite showed a major part of their genome was likely to be originated from the recombination of previously reported begomoviruses and beta satellites infecting different crops resulting in the evolution of a new recombinant virus. This is the first report of AEV, and AYLCB beta satellite components associated with leaf curl disease of fenugreek from India.
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1. Introduction

Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) belonging to the subfamily Papilionaceae under family Fabaceae is an annual legume crop native to South-Eastern Europe and Africa. The crop is now commercially cultivated in different parts of the world viz., India, Mediterranean region, China, Africa, Europe, and Australia, and North America. India is one of the major leading producers and exporters of fenugreek. The crop is being traditionally used as spice and vegetables for human consumption and forage for cattle. The seeds of fenugreek have a characteristic odor and flavor having a pleasantly bitter taste, and have important medicinal and nutraceutical properties. Extensive research on medicinal properties of fenugreek seeds revealed that its anti-diabetic, anti-infective, hypcholesterolemic, anti-cancer and thyroxine-induced hyperglycemia properties. Because of the multi-use nature, fenugreek has the potential to expand into new areas, as well as an increase in the area where it was traditionally grown. Therefore, its reaction to biotic and abiotic factors that can limit its production deserves special attention. Fenugreek is affected by many fungal (Acharya et al. 2010; Ryley 1989; Zimmer 1984; Leppik 1959), bacterial (Petropoulos 2002), and viral diseases, which are most serious, destructive and widespread diseases and can cause considerable economic losses in different parts of the world. Among the various viruses, which hampered the production and cultivation of fenugreek are Turnip mosaic virus, Pea mosaic virus, Bean yellow mosaic virus, Wisconsin pea streak virus, Cucumber mosaic virus and Pea enation mosaic virus (Fischer and Lockhart 1976; Bhaskar and Summanwar 1982; Champawat and Singh 2007). The report of DNA viruses in India on fenugreek is very scanty. With this backdrop, the roving survey was conducted for the collection of fenugreek viral disease samples in and around Pantnagar in Uttarakhand, India, during 2012. During collection, it was observed that some of the fenugreek plants showed unusual severe leaf curl disease symptoms, which were typically the diseases caused by begomoviruses on other crops (Paul et al. 2009; Venkataravanappa et al. 2016). However, an exact causal agent cannot be identified based on the visual symptoms alone. Therefore, the current study was attempted to characterize the type of virus associated with the leaf curl dis-
ease of fenugreek in India.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection of disease samples

The six fenugreek leaf samples showing the severe leaf curl disease symptoms along with non-symptomatic leaf samples were collected from farmer’s fields in and around Pantnagar, Uttarakhand state of India (Figure 1). Both symptomatic and non-symptomatic tissues were used for analysis.

2.2. Whitefly transmission

The collection and maintenance of whiteflies (Bemisia tabaci, Asia 1) and transmission studies were done as described by Venkataravanappa et al. (2017). After inoculations, the fenugreek plants were sprayed with insecticide (Imidacloprid 200 SL) and maintained under insect-proof glasshouse for symptoms expression.

2.3. DNA isolation and PCR confirmation of begomovirus in fenugreek plants

Total genomic DNA was extracted from six symptomatic and one non-symptomatic leaf samples of fenugreek by plants using the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). The PCR using a begomovirus-specific primer pair 2395F/680R (Venkataravanappa et al. 2012) produced a product with the expected size (1.2 kb) from all six symptomatic fenugreek leaf samples, suggesting begomovirus(es) as the pathogen(s). One sample (BG199) was selected for full-length amplification of the begomovirus genome (DNA-A) by rolling circle amplification method using an Illustra TempliPhi 100 Amplification kit (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The RCA products were digested with different restriction endonucleases (EcoRI, XbaI, BamHI, and HindIII) to identify enzymes, which can give the yield of 2.8 kb DNA fragment. Among the different enzymes, BamHI gave the maximum length of 2.8 kb linear DNA fragments, which were cloned into the BamHI-linearized pUC19 plasmid as described by Venkataravanappa et al. (2016). The ligated products were transformed into a competent DH5α strain of Escherichia coli. Colony PCR followed by restriction digestion with BamHI and Scal was performed for the confirmation of recombinant clones. The confirmed clones were sequenced in both orientations.

The association of the betasatellite was analyzed by PCR using the betasatellite-specific primers beta01/beta02 (Briddon et al. 2002) as described previously for the detection of subgenomic components of the begomovirus in many crops.

2.4. Sequence analysis

Sequence similarity search of DNA-A component and betasatellite of fenugreek isolate (BG199) were performed by comparing the sequence to all the available sequences in the GenBank database using BlastN (Altschul et al. 1990) (Supplementary table 1a and 1b). Sequences showing the highest identity scores with the present fenugreek isolate were aligned using the Muscle method implemented in Species Demarcation Tool (SDT) (Muhire et al. 2014) and percent pairwise identity of the identified sequences and the representative sequences were generated. A phylogenetic tree was generated using MEGA7 software (Kumar et al. 2016) using the neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstraped replications to estimate evolutionary distances between all pairs of sequences simultaneously. Recombination analysis was carried out using the Recombination detection program (RDP), GENECOV, BOOTSCAN, MAXCHI, CHIMAERA, SISCAN, 3SEQ which are integrated with RDP4 (Martin et al. 2015).

3. Results

3.1. Whitefly transmission and detection of the virus in fenugreek samples

The causal agent(s) of fenugreek leaf curl disease was successfully transmitted by the whitefly, Bemisia tabaci, from naturally infected to healthy fenugreek plants (10/10) and developed identical leaf curl disease symptoms between 10–12 days post-inoculation. As there is a probability that field-collected plants may have contaminated by more than one virus, the repeated artificial transmission was carried out under controlled conditions to check whether typical symptoms were expressed or not. The transmission experiment was repeated thrice, and the symptoms remained the same after every case of transmission, excluding the possibility of mixed infection with whitefly non-transmissible agents. The same symptom expression after repeated transmission indicated the possible absence of mixed infection in the source material.

FIGURE 1(A) Healthy fenugreek plant; (B) fenugreek plant showing a typically “severe upward leaf curl, extention, vein twisting, reduced in leaf size” symptoms under natural conditions.

The PCR using the begomovirus-specific primer pair 2395F/680R (Venkataravanappa et al. 2012) produced a product with the expected size (1.2 kb) from all six symptomatic fenugreek leaf samples, suggesting begomovirus(es) as the pathogen(s). Amplification with nucleic acid extracts yielded no product from healthy control plants. The resultant PCR amplified product (1.2kb...
fragment) was cloned and sequenced. The determined sequence of 1.2kb fragment showed all six fenugreek isolates are closely related with more than 98% nucleotide identity, indicating the presence of a single species of begomovirus. Homology search analysis revealed that these sequences were most homologous (more than 98% identity) to DNA-A of Ageratum enation virus (AEV). Therefore, one sample (BG199) was selected for complete characterization. The complete genome of begomovirus from the fenugreek plant was amplified by rolling circle amplification (RCA), cloned and sequenced. Only DNA-A sequence and not DNA-B sequence was found for a 2.8 kb product, indicating the isolate under study is a monopartite begomovirus. Amplification of a 1.4 kb product by using beta01/beta02 (Briddon et al. 2002) suggested the presence of a subviral satellite DNA.

3.2. Genome organization of DNA-A component of begomovirus

The DNA-A component of fenugreek isolate (BG199) was determined to be 2753 nt in length (under accession number JX436473) and showed it to be typical of the genome organization of Old World monopartite begomoviruses with potential to encode six conserved ORFs: AV2 (movement protein), AV1 (coat protein) in sense orientation; and AC3 (replication enhancer protein), AC2 (transcriptional activator protein), AC1 (replication-associated (Rep) protein) and AC4 (C4 protein) in antisense orientation with the capacity to encode proteins of predicted molecular mass of 11.05 kDa or more. The SDT analysis of DNA A component of AEV infecting fenugreek and previously identified 25 additional sequences of AEV present in GenBank from India (18 sequences) and Nepal (7 sequences) showed the current isolate (BG199) has nt identity ranging from 93.7-98.7% with India strains of AEV infecting different crops (Table 1) and 93.7-95.1% identity with Nepal strains of AEV infecting different crops (Table 1). This confirms the 25 sequences as isolates of a distinct begomovirus species, which has previously been named AEV (Fauquet et al. 2003). A closer analysis of the AEV sequences revealed their segregation into three groups. The first group consists of AEV infecting fenugreek and India strains of AEV infecting different crops (JX436472, KC814821, JF682242, HE861940, JQ911176, JQ911175, HM149260, JF728860-JF728864, JF728866, FN794201, FN543099, and FN794198) sharing 91.8 to 100% nucleotide sequence identity among themselves (Data not shown). The AEV sequences in the second group consist of Nepal strains (FJ177031, GQ268327, EU867513, AM698011, AJ437618, AM701770, AM261836[1]) showing relatively high sequence identities to AEV strains making 93.7-98.7% with India strains of AEV infecting different crops (Table 1) and 93.7-95.1% identity with Nepal strains of AEV infecting different crops (Table 1). This confirms the 25 sequences as isolates of a distinct begomovirus species, which has previously been named AEV (Fauquet et al. 2003). A closer analysis of the AEV sequences revealed their segregation into three groups. The first group consists of AEV infecting fenugreek and India strains of AEV infecting different crops (JX436472, KC814821, JF682242, HE861940, JQ911176, JQ911175, HM149260, JF728860-JF728864, JF728866, FN794201, FN543099, and FN794198) sharing 91.8 to 100% nucleotide sequence identity among themselves (Data not shown). The AEV sequences in the second group consist of Nepal strains (FJ177031, GQ268327, EU867513, AM698011, AJ437618, AM701770, AM261836[1]) showing relatively high sequence identities to AEV strains making 93.7-98.7% with India strains of AEV infecting different crops (Table 1) and 93.7-95.1% identity with Nepal strains of AEV infecting different crops (Table 1). When individually encoded proteins of fenugreek isolate (BG199) were compared with other AEV isolates and related begomoviruses, encoded proteins were most similar to those of AEV (Table 1). The intergenic region (IR) of fenugreek isolate BG199 is 288 nt in length, and it is most similar to those of India strain isolates of AEV (87.7 to 99.3% nt Identity) (Table 1). The IR encompasses an absolutely conserved hairpin structure containing nonanucleotide sequence (TAATATTAC) that marks the origin of virion-strand DNA replication and two repeated sequences known as “iterons” were detected adjacent to the stem-loop region in isolate BG199 that are recognition se-

![FIGURE 2 Phylogenetic trees constructed from aligned complete nucleotide sequence of begomovirus (AEV, JX436473) of fenugreek isolate with other begomoviruses using Neighbor-joining algorithm. Horizontal distances are proportional to sequence distances, vertical distances are arbitrary. The trees are unrooted. A bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates was performed and the bootstrap percent values more than 50 are numbered along branches. The species name is denoted in Table 1. Black diamond indicating the isolate in the current study.](image-url)

The BG199’s iteron and corresponding iteron related domain (IRD) of Rep were GTACT and FQIY, respectively. These replication related sequences are identical to those of another India strain AEV-IN[J:Kan:08] (ENS43099), but are interestingly distinct from those of Nepal strains (GGT/AGT and LKIN, respectively) (Tahir et al. 2015).

### 3.3. Genome organization of betasatellite and sequence affinities to other betasatellites

The complete nucleotide sequence of betasatellite isolated from fenugreek (BG199) was determined to be 1359 nt in length, which is available in the NCBI database (under accession number KX108989). The betasatellite molecule under study showed several characteristic features in common with other betasatellite homologs reported from other crops, namely a conserved nonanucleotide situated in the stem-loop region, a highly conserved SCR, a conserved βC1 ORF, and an extensive A-rich region (Briddon et al. 2003). The conserved satellite region is approximately 142 nt and contains at its 3’ end a predicted hairpin structure having a loop with the sequence TAATATTAC similar to the origin of replication of DNA-A.

The betasatellite characterized as part of this study shared nt identity ranged from 93.1-96.4% with differ-
ent isolates of Ageratum yellow leaf curl betasatellite (AYLCB) from India, Pakistan (Table 2). Based on the proposed species demarcation threshold of 78% for betasatellites (Briddon et al. 2008), the results suggested that betasatellite identified here is an isolate of AYLCB. A phylogenetic analysis based upon an alignment of the complete sequence of betasatellite isolated from fenugreek with selected betasatellites available in the databases showed that the betasatellite isolated from fenugreek is closely clustering with the previously reported isolates of AYLCB (Figure 3).

### 3.4. Neighbor-net and Recombination analysis

To detect possible evidence of recombination in the viral genome of fenugreek isolate (BG199), the RDP analysis was performed along with begomoviruses [ToLCeV (EU910141), MYMIV (AF481865), AEV (EU867513), HM149260 and FN543099], ToLCeV (EU910141) and ToLCpUv (AY754814) and betasatellites [AYLCuB (AM698010 and KU376492), CroYVM (JQ354987) and TbCSB, AJ457822). The RDP analysis revealed that the fenugreek isolate (BG199) is recombinant with known species. The analysis indicated both Intra and interspecific recombination in DNA-A and betasatellites (Table 3). A recombination fragment of 544 nucleotides was detected in the DNA-A component of BG199 with major and minor parents resembling Tomato leaf curl Kerala virus (ToLCeV, EU910141) and Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV, AF481865), respectively. The breakpoints were determined at nucleotides 580 and 1124, with an average probability value of 2.559×10⁻². Another recombination fragment of 1965 nucleotides was detected with major and minor parents resembling variants of Ageratum enation virus (AEV)(EU867513 and HM149260). The breakpoints were predicted at nucleotides 1293 and 2011, with an average probability value of 9.197×10⁻³. Another recombination fragment of 144 nucleotides was detected with major and minor parents resembling Tomato leaf curl Kerala virus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Betasatellites</th>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
<th>ORF BC</th>
<th>A-rich</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Carrot</td>
<td>JF728869</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td>98,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Ageratum</td>
<td>JF728868</td>
<td>96,3</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td>98,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>KC305085</td>
<td>96,1</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td>97,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>KC305084</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td>97,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Solanum nigrum</td>
<td>KJ028213</td>
<td>95,9</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td>97,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Ageratum</td>
<td>AM698010</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92,7</td>
<td>93,7</td>
<td>97,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Calotropis</td>
<td>KU376492</td>
<td>93,5</td>
<td>86,9</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td>98,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Calendula</td>
<td>KR922821</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91,2</td>
<td>95,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AYLCB</td>
<td>Calendula</td>
<td>KR922823</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td>95,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChLCB</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>AM279668</td>
<td>81,7</td>
<td>76,6</td>
<td>74,7</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCuB</td>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>GU440581</td>
<td>68,1</td>
<td>76,2</td>
<td>63,8</td>
<td>66,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCuMuB</td>
<td>Hibiscus</td>
<td>FJ159274</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td>63,9</td>
<td>53,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CroYVMB</td>
<td>Crotalaria</td>
<td>GQ183865</td>
<td>76,2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>68,5</td>
<td>63,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PalCuB</td>
<td>Papaya</td>
<td>EU126826</td>
<td>68,2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>62,8</td>
<td>55,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RaLCB</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>JN663873</td>
<td>76,9</td>
<td>66,1</td>
<td>81,5</td>
<td>91,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbCSB</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>AJ421485</td>
<td>69,5</td>
<td>66,1</td>
<td>71,2</td>
<td>68,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToLCJoB</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>JN663862</td>
<td>78,2</td>
<td>63,4</td>
<td>74,8</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToLCpN</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>HQ180394</td>
<td>78,4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73,9</td>
<td>94,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToLCDB</td>
<td>Okra</td>
<td>GU732208</td>
<td>80,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>73,5</td>
<td>88,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToLCuB</td>
<td>Papaya</td>
<td>HM143907</td>
<td>79,7</td>
<td>77,9</td>
<td>79,6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToLCDB</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>AJ542489</td>
<td>80,7</td>
<td>80,5</td>
<td>75,3</td>
<td>88,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Nucleotide sequence identity.
* Amino acid sequence identity.

The species are indicated as Ageratum yellow leaf curl betasatellite (AYLCB), Chili leaf curl betasatellite (ChLCB), Cotton leaf curl betasatellite (CLCuB), Cotton leaf curl betasatellite (CLCuMuB), Crotalaria leaf curl betasatellite (CroYVMB), Papaya leaf curl betasatellite (PalCuB), Radish leaf curl betasatellite (RaLCB), Tobacco curly shoot betasatellite (TbCSB), Tomato leaf curl Joydebpur betasatellite (ToLCJoB), Tomato leaf curl Patna betasatellite (ToLCpN), Tomato leaf curl betasatellite (ToLCuB), Tomato leaf curl Bangladesh betasatellite (ToLCDB). For the NSI column the highest value is underlined.
TABLE 3 Breakpoint analysis of AEV- fenugreek and their putative parental sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA-A Name</th>
<th>Break point</th>
<th>Major Parent</th>
<th>Minor Parent</th>
<th>P-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AEV</strong></td>
<td>580-1124</td>
<td>TolLCKeV-[IN:Ker3:07].EU910141</td>
<td>MYMIV-[IN:ND:Cp7:98].AF481865</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1293-2011</td>
<td>AEV-[IN:Kan:08].FN543099</td>
<td>AEV-[IN:Up:Ag10:10].HM149260</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2081-2225</td>
<td>TolLCKeV-[IN:Ker3:07].EU910141</td>
<td>TolCpVu-[IN:Pun:05].AY754814</td>
<td>1.78×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Betasatellites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA-A Name</th>
<th>Break point</th>
<th>Major Parent</th>
<th>Minor Parent</th>
<th>P-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AYLCB</strong></td>
<td>98-509</td>
<td>AYLCB-[PK:Lahe:ae:06].AM699010</td>
<td>AYLCuB-[IN:HR:CB1:15].KU376492</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>892-1086</td>
<td>CroYVB-[IN:Bang:12].JQ534987</td>
<td>TbCSB-[CN:Yn115:02].AJ457822</td>
<td>9.053×10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: Recombination Non-significance

a The text in the parenthesis of this column indicates ORF’s in which break points are identified.

(ToLCKeV, EU910141) and Tomato leaf curl Pune virus (ToLCPuV, AY754814). The breakpoints were predicted at nucleotides 2081 and 2225, with an average probability value of 5.83×10⁻⁴ (Table 3). Similarly, a recombination fragment of 411 nucleotides was detected in betasatellite of fenugreek isolate (BG199) with major and minor parents resembling Ageratum yellow leaf curl betasatellite (AYLCuB, AM699010, and KU376492). The breakpoints were determined at nucleotides 98 and 509, with an average probability value of 4.041×10⁻⁷. Another recombination fragment of 194 nucleotides was detected with major and minor parents resembling Croton yellow vein mosaic betasatellite (CroYVB, JQ354987) and Tobacco curly shoot betasatellite (TbCSB, AJ457822). The breakpoints were predicted at nucleotides 892 and 1086, with an average probability value of 9.41×10⁻¹³ (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Begomoviruses cause huge economic losses to food and fiber crops worldwide, mainly in tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Varma and Malathi 2003). The begomoviruses are transmitted by the whitefly vector, Bemisia tabaci species complex, on cultivated and weed crops and causing economically important diseases on various crops in different parts of the world. Among the different weed infecting begomoviruses, Ageratum enation virus (AEV) has wide host range covering both cultivated plants and weeds; families including AEV hosts are Asteraceae (A. conyzoides, Z. elegans, C. crepidioides, Tagetes patula and S. oleraceus), Amaranthaceae (A. cruentus), Api-
aceae (carrot), Brassicaceae (turnip), Cucurbitaceae (*T. dioica*), and Cleomaceae (*C. gynandra*). This indicates that AEV is predominantly a weed infecting begomovirus, although it apparently has the capacity to occasionally infect crop species *viz.* tomato (Swarna-latha et al. 2013), carrot (Kumar et al. 2013), Trichosanthes dioica (Raj et al. 2011), Cleome gynandra (Raj et al. 2010), Zinnia and Ageratum (Kumar et al. 2010, 2011) in India. In this report, we identified a new isolate of Ageratum enation virus (AEV) and Ageratum yellow leaf curl satellite (AYLCS) associated with the leaf curl disease of fenugreek. First, the agent was repeatedly transmitted by whitefly and produced identical symptoms, excluding the possibility of mixed infection with whitefly non-transmissible agents. Second, begomovirus-specific primers were used to amplify a part begomovirus genome (1.2 kb), indicating the involvement of begomovirus(es). Third, sequencing of the RCA amplified products (six clones) resulted in only one sequence most similar to AEV, suggesting an association of only AEV, and excluding the possibility of mixed infection with other begomoviruses. Fourth, obtaining the whole DNA-A sequence with more than 91% identity to that of AEV, finally suggesting it as an isolate of AEV associated with leaf curl disease of Fenugreek.

Recombination is a major driving force in the evolution of Geminiviruses (Seal et al. 2006a,b). The fenugreek isolate (BG199) in present study had both intra and interspecific recombination suggesting most of the DNA-A (AEV) and its betasatellite (AYLCS) sequence fragments might have descended from begomoviruses (ToLCKeV, MYMIV, AEV, and ToLCPuV) and betasatellites (AYL-CuB, CroYVMB and TbCSB) reported earlier resulting in evolution of new strain similar to its ancestors.

5. Conclusions

Fenugreek is one of the traditionally used spices, vegetables, and forage crops used human and cattle consumption. The seeds have great medicinal value and are rich in oils, alkaloids, vitamins, and minerals used in Ayurvedic medicine. Therefore, the occurrence of AEV on fenugreek (Kasuri methi) is a serious concern for growers in the traditional growing regions. Therefore the future study in the identification of resistance sources in fenugreek (Kasuri methi) may effectively manage the epidemic caused by this deadly virus.
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