The aqueous extract of Gerrardanthus macrorhizus caudex enhanced doxorubicin activity in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells
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ABSTRACT Gerrardanthus macrorhizus (GM) caudex, is traditionally used in cancer therapy by the Tetun people in Belu District, East Nusa Tenggara Province, Indonesia, where it is known as “akar batu”. This study aimed to explore the cytotoxic effects of G. macrorhizus caudex aqueous extract, as well as its combination with doxorubicin, on MCF-7 cells. Also investigated were the possible mechanisms of interaction through cell cycle progression and apoptosis induction. Single treatments of 5–320 µg/mL of the extract showed morphological alterations in MCF-7 cells, but did not show any cytotoxic effect. Combining the extract with doxorubicin resulted in a synergistic cytotoxic effect. Doxorubicin concentrations equivalent to 1/12, 1/8, and 1/5 fold of the IC50 combined with 20 µg/mL decreased viability to 48%. We then explored the combination effect of doxorubicin 0.4 µM with GM 5 and 20 µg/mL using a flow cytometer. A low concentration of the extract (5 µg/mL) combined with 0.4 µM of doxorubicin resulted in slight cell cycle modulation by G1, G2M arrested and apoptosis induction. The combination of doxorubicin and a higher concentration of the extract (20 µg/mL) did not show cell cycle modulation, and led to necrosis. Therefore, G. macrorhizus caudex at low concentrations has the potential to be developed further as a co-chemotherapeutic agent.
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1. Introduction

Plants have been used as primary source of medicines for thousands of years. Secondary metabolites in plants have been the most promising and potential source of drug discovery leads (Dias et al. 2012). Various pharmacological target including cancer becomes important leads of medicinal plant drug discovery. Recently, plant secondary metabolites and their derivatives have been adjusted in most clinical application treatment against cancer. The field of chemoprevention also played an important role in cancer treatment. Chemoprevention is one of strategy towards combating cancer by administration of synthetic or natural compounds to reverse or suppress carcinogenesis. Chemopreventive agents perform through various actions interfering initiation, promotion, and progression of carcinogenesis (Balunas and Kinghorn 2005).

Cancer is of a significant health concern and a leading cause of death worldwide. The major contributor of cancer is strongly related to family history, involvement of lifestyle, and other environmental factors with a defective gene (Kamil and Kamil 2015). In many countries, cancer is the second leading cause of death following cardiovascular diseases (Ma and Yu 2006). Breast cancer remains a major challenge, the most frequently diagnosed and the leading cause of cancer death among women. It accounts 25% of the total cancer cases (1.68 million) and 15% of the cancer deaths (520,000) worldwide. Adjuvant systemic therapy has used widespread and contributed to diminish breast cancer mortality rates (Anampa et al. 2015). Doxorubicin is one of the most commonly used chemotherapeutic for many types of cancers including breast cancer. Doxorubicin inhibits topoisomerase I and II, and also intercalates into DNA to interfere with its uncoiling, finally inducing programmed cell death (apoptosis). The greatest risk of doxorubicin is cardiotoxicity, causing the enlargement of cardiomyocytes. Thus, doxorubicin administration must be dose-limited (Tacar et al. 2013). The reduction of doxorubicin dose might be achieved through the combination with chemopreventive agent.

The combination of natural chemopreventive agent and conventional chemotherapy is one of promising application in cancer treatment. Rational combination is expected to provide simultaneous action to create more susceptible cancer cells and to exploit the chances for better efficacy, decreased toxicity, and reduced drug resistance development (Foucquier and Guedj 2015). Our unpublished study in 2012 (National Research on Medicinal
Plant and Jamu, RISTOJA) resulted some plants used for traditional cancer treatment. One of those plants is Gerrardanthus macrorhizus Har. ex Benth. & Hook.f. which belongs to Cucurbitaceae family, and characterized with unique caudex above the ground. So, commonly people use it as decorative plant. The caudex is used by traditional healers in Tetun ethnicity in cancer therapy in Belu Distric, Nusa Tenggara Timur Province, Indonesia. The anticancer activity of this plant have not been explored so far. Therefore, this present study was aimed to explore the cytotoxic effect of G. macrorhizus caudex aqueous extract in MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line, and its combination effect with doxorubicin. We also investigated the possible mechanisms of interaction between doxorubicin and the extract through cell cycle progression and apoptosis induction by flow cytometer.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and extraction

G. macrorhizus (GM) caudex was obtained from Belu Distric, Nusa Tenggara Timur Province, Indonesia. The caudex was sliced, dried in 40°C, grinded, and boiled with aquadest 90°C for 10 min, then filtered. The supernatant was dried in oven 40°C for ±3 d to obtain dried extract. The extract 10.0 mg and doxorubicin 5.0 mg was dissolved in 10% fetal bovine serum/FBS (Gibco), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), and incubated in CO₂ incubator for further analysis.

2.2. Cell culture

MCF-7 cell lines were obtained from ATCC, and maintained in Laboratory of Molecular Biology, the Medicinal Plant and Traditional Medicine Research and Development Centre, the Ministry of Health, Tawangmangu, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia.

2.3. Morphological observation and cytotoxic MTT assay

The MCF-7 cells were cultured in 10 mm dish with Minimum Essential Medium (MEM Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum/FBS (Gibco), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), and incubated in CO₂ incubator 5% at 37°C. When the cells were already confluent, the medium was discarded, washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS Sigma) 1x, and added trypsin EDTA 0.25% to detach cells. Harvested cells were counted using a haemocytometer. Approximately 1x10⁴ MCF-7 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated for 48 h. Cells were treated with increasing concentration of GM extract (10, 20, 40, 80, 160, and 320 µg/mL) or doxorubicin (0.3, 0.5, 1.1, 2.2, 4.4, 8.8, and 11 µM) for 24 h. Morphological observation of the cells were done under inverted microscope, and documented using digital camera (Canon Ixus). Then, cultured medium was removed and cells were washed with PBS (Sigma). MTT 0.5 mg/mL in medium were added into each well and incubated for 3–4 h. MTT reaction was stopped by the addition of SDS 10% in HCl 0.01 N, and incubated overnight in the dark room. The absorbance was measured using ELISA reader at λ 595 nm (Biorad). Each treatment were carried out in triplicate, and the absorbance data are provided as percentage of viability compared to control cells without treatment (untreated, only cultured medium added). The combination assay was done using the same method. The concentration used in combination treatment were below the IC₅₀ value of single doxorubicin and GM extract. In this research, we combined GM 5.0, 7.5, 15.0, and 20.0 µg/mL with doxorubicin 0.4, 0.6, and 1.3 µM. Each concentration was combined with others (12 combinations) and performed in triplicate.

2.4. Cell cycle result and apoptosis induction by flow cytometry assay

Approximately 5x10⁵ MCF-7 cells/well were cultured in 6 well plate and incubated for 48 h. Cells were then treated with G. macrorhizus and doxorubicin, either alone or combination for 24 h. Cells were harvested with trypsin EDTA, washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and centrifuged 500 rpm for 5 min. For apoptosis induction, cells then incubated with annexin-V-FITC and propidium iodide (BD Pharmingen) for 15 min in the dark, and analyzed using BD Accuri C6 Flowcytometer. To determine cell cycle distribution, cells were fixed with cold ethanol 70% for 30 min, washed with PBS, and centrifuged 500 rpm for 5 min. Cells were then resuspended in PBS containing 40 µg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma), 20 µg/mL RNase (Roche) and 0.1% TritonX-114 (Sigma) for 15 min in the dark, and then subjected to BD Accuri C6 Flowcytometer for further analysis.

2.5. Data analysis

To calculate the IC₅₀ value from single cytotoxicity assay, we plotted linear regression of concentration and percent-
age of cells viability using MS Excel 2013. Combination treatment was evaluated by calculating the Combination Index (CI) value with the following formula:

\[ CI = \frac{D1}{Dx1} + \frac{D2}{Dx2} \]  

(1)

D1 and D2 described the concentrations used in combination treatment, while Dx1 and Dx2 are single treatment concentration giving the same response as D1 and D2, respectively. Interpretation of CI value was represented as listed in Table 1 (Reynolds and Maurer 2005). The data obtained from flow cytometer was analyzed using BD Accuri C6 software, and evaluated with the Student t-Test Paired Two Samples for Means. In all analyses, p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Cell growth inhibitory effect by single treatment of G. macrorhizus and doxorubicin

Cytotoxic activity of GM caudex aqueous extract on MCF-7 cells were done by MTT assay. The cells treated with single extract in all concentrations (10–320 µg/mL) showed morphological changes similar to cell death configuration. They were detected to become losing their shapes, forming wider intercell spaces, getting shrinkage, and appeared in visibly different as compared to medium control cells (Figure 1a). However, when MTT was added to the cells, formazan crystal were formed just like in untreated cells (Figure 1b). The MTT should be converted into purple colored formazan by viable cells with active metabolism which involves reaction with NADH or similar reducing molecules. Thus, formazan formation presents as a useful and appropriate marker of only viable cells (Riss et al. 2016). In this research, the analysis of formazan color absorbance vs cell viability showed no difference effect with untreated cells (Figure 1c). It means that cells treated with GM extract, although showing a morphological changes, they were remained viable and still having ability to convert MTT into formazan.

Meanwhile, cells treated with doxorubicin for 24 h resulted in growth inhibition and cell death in a dose and time dependent manner, as shown in Figure 2. The IC\(_{50}\) determination of doxorubicin by linear regression was 5 µM (Figure 2).

Based on the MTT assay results of single doxorubicin and GM extract, we were then assessed the combination effect of GM extract and doxorubicin in some series concentration on MCF-7 cells.

3.2. Combined effect of G. macrorhizus extract with doxorubicin on MCF-7 cell viability

The combination assay was done using concentration below the IC\(_{50}\) of doxorubicin (0.4, 0.6, and 1.3 µM), and the concentration of GM that already caused morphological changes (5.0, 7.5, 15.0, and 20.0 µg/mL). Increasing concentration of G. macrorhizus extract and doxorubicin in combination was followed by a decreasing cell viability, and resulted in the CI value less than 1, as seen in Figure 3a and 3b. It indicated that combinational treatment of GM extract and doxorubicin exhibited a synergistic inhibitory effect on MCF-7 cells viability rather than additive or antagonistic. Strongly synergist was achieved at the lowest and middle concentration of doxorubicin (0.4 µM and 0.6 µM) each combined with the middle and highest concentration of the extract (10 and 20 µg/mL) successively.

3.3. Cell cycle progression and apoptosis induction

The combined effect of doxorubicin and the extract on cell growth inhibition could be developed as the result of cell cycle modulation and apoptosis induction. To investigate its synergistic mechanism, we further explored MCF-7 cell cycle progression and cell death using a flow cytome-
Two combinations were selected, doxorubicin 0.4 μM - extract 5 μg/mL for middle synergist (CI 0.7) and doxorubicin 0.4 μM - extract 20 μg/mL for strong synergist (CI 0.1). Cell cycle analysis of the treatment is shown in Figure 4. Single treatment of doxorubicin 0.4 μM slightly induced G2/M arrested (33.5%), while extract 5 μg/mL slightly induced G1 arrested (61.1%), and their combination induced both G1 (58.4%) and G2/M (30.9%) arrested, compared to untreated cells 27.6% and 52.9% successively. Single treatment of extract 20 μg/mL combined with doxorubicin 0.4 μM both significantly increased cell population in subG1 compared to untreated cells.

To understand cell death mechanism in subG1 population, whether synergistic combination was mediated through apoptosis, we stained treated cells with propidium iodide-annexin and subjected to flow cytometer as seen in Figure 5. The results showed the apoptotic population was significantly increased in MCF-7 cells treated by combination of doxorubicin 0.4 μM with extract 5 μg/mL (7.6%) and also by doxorubicin 0.4 μM with extract 20 μg/mL (8.2%). Both was compared with control cells (1.8%) and any single treatment (doxorubicin (2.7%), extract 5 μg/mL (2.1%), extract 20 μg/mL (2.6%)).

4. Discussion

Doxorubicin is one of the most active chemotherapy agent and widely used for breast cancer treatment. Its application in chemotherapy is often limited due to cardiotoxicity risk and resistance progression (Zeichner et al. 2016). The development of doxorubicin resistance in breast cancer is multifactorial process, mainly associated with wide and diverse expression of drug-resistance genes. AbuHammad and Zihlif (2013), using doxorubicin resistant MCF-7 breast cancer cells proved an up-regulation of many phase I/II metabolizing genes and drug efflux pump genes and many other changes in genes responsible for cell cycle, apoptosis and DNA repair (AbuHammad and Zihlif 2013). The combination with a natural chemopreventive agent is one of promising strategy to improve doxorubicin anti-cancer effectiveness and reduce its toxicity (Ko and Moon 2015). Therefore, we investigated the modulatory effect of G. macrorhizus caudex aqueous extract on the doxorubicin cytotoxicity, cell cycle and apoptosis in MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line.

In this study, G. macrorhizus caudex aqueous extract was first evaluated for cytotoxicity effect to MCF-7 cells. The MTT assay revealed that the extract did not influence viability and cytotoxic effect. However, the apparent cell shrinkage was clearly observed on MCF-7 cells treated with the extract in all concentration (10–320 μg/mL). Cell shrinkage characterized by smaller size, dense cytoplasm and tightly packed organelles, is identified as the early sign of morphological changes during apoptosis process (Elmore 2007). Apoptosis, one of cell death design, is an ordered and orchestrated cellular process that occurs in physiological condition and pathological of many diseases. Apoptosis evasion plays a crucial role in carcinogenesis, therefore it is created as a popular target for cancer treatment strategy (Wong 2011). Based on the morphological alteration, we suggest that the extract might have ability to improve sensitivity of MCF-7 cells to doxorubicin.
FIGURE 4 Treatment of *G. macrorhizus* extract, doxorubicin and their combination modulated MCF-7 cell cycles. Cells were treated for 24 h and stained with PI reagent, each sample was subjected to flow cytometer. GM: *G. macrorhizus* extract.

The MTT assay of doxorubicin single treatment decreased cell viability with the IC\textsubscript{50} value of 5 µM. We further demonstrated MTT assay combination for doxorubicin and the extract to obtain CI value. The CI is widely accepted as the simplest possible way to express pharmacologic drug interaction for quantifying synergism or antagonism. Synergism interaction will be very useful to treat the dreadful diseases, such as cancer. The main gains are the achievement of synergistic therapeutic effect, reduction of dose and toxicity, and also minimize or delay drug resistance (Chou 2010). In this research, we used CI values to evaluate the combination effect of doxorubicin and extract on the percentage of viability of MCF-7 cells. Based on the CI values, the extract sensitized MCF-7 cells and enhanced doxorubicin cytotoxicity by less than 1, at any concentration level. This result was indicating that the combination obviously exerted synergistic inhibitory effect on MCF-7 viability. The smallest concentration of doxorubicin (0.4 µM equal to 0.08 fold of IC\textsubscript{50}) combined with 20 µg/mL of extract decreased MCF-7 viability to 48.4%. Therefore, the extract may lead to reducing of doxorubicin dose therapy and furthermore minimizing its cardio toxicity risk. In this study, *G. macrorhizus* caudex aqueous extract potentially enhanced proliferation inhibitory effect of doxorubicin on MCF-7 cells.

The most vital characteristic of cancer cells involves the ability to sustain chronic proliferation by deregulating of growth-promoting signals through the cell growth and division cycle (Hanahan and Weinberg 2011). The cell cycle is a tidy and tightly regulated mechanism by which cell divide, involving four phases namely G1, S (synthesis), G2 and M (mitosis) (Deep and Agarwal 2008). The basic processes of cell cycle development implicate the complex interaction of various proteins in a systematic and coordinated manner (Shah and Schwartz 2001). The aberrant cell cycle progression plays a crucial role in cancer cell growth, thus targeting the cell cycle have been regarded as an ideal cancer treatment (Deep and Agarwal 2008). Doxorubicin modulates cell cycle through G1 and G2 phase arrest, as the result of its interaction with topoisomerase II mediated DNA damage (Lal et al. 2010). As our result, single doxorubicin 0.4 µM slightly induced cell accumulation at G1 and G2/M phase by 2.6% and 5.9% respectively but did not lead cell death, compared to untreated MCF-7 cells. The extract 5 µg/mL alone increased G1 while 20 µg/mL increased subG1 phase accumulation. The combination of doxorubicin with the extract 5 µg/ml enhanced cell accumulation at G1 and G2/M, compared by single doxorubicin. Furthermore, doxorubicin combined with the extract 20 µg/mL increased cell death in subG1 by 31.8%, compared by each single treatment.

The cell cycle arrest depicted a survival mechanism for the cancer cell to repair its own damaged DNA. The disruption of cell cycle checkpoints by specific agent before completing DNA repair, can activate the apoptotic pathway leading to cell death (Schwartz and Shah 2005). Doxorubicin caused apoptosis in cancer cells by early activation of p53 followed by caspase-3 activation and DNA fragmentation (Wang et al. 2004). In our study, single treatment of doxorubicin 0.4 µM and the extract 5 and 20 µg/mL had no significant effect to apoptosis induction. Interestingly, the extract 20 µg/mL caused significant necrosis on MCF-7 cells. This was not in line with the result of cytotoxic assay. MCF-7 cells treated with the extract had still interacted with MTT to form formazan crystal. Therefore, this finding need further research to establish the ac-
FIGURE 5 Treatment of doxorubicin and extract combination significantly induced apoptosis in MCF-7 cell. Cells were treated for 24 h and stained with annexin V-PI, each sample was subjected to flow cytometer in triplicate. (a) The diagrams are divided into four area, which showed distribution profiles of living cells (bottom-left), early apoptotic (bottom-right), late apoptosis (upper-right), necrosis (upper-left) in various indicated treatment. (b) Statistical analysis results for apoptosis induction for each treatment.

ual evidence occured in cells treated with high concentration of the extract. However, the combination of doxorubicin with both of the extract (5 and 20 µg/mL) increased apoptotic and necrotic cell induction, compared by each single treatment.

Cell cycle regulation and apoptosis induction plays a critical role in malignant transformation and in the development chemotherapy resistance. These have led to the development of anticancer therapeutics that target the motors of the cell cycle specifically the cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs). The abrogation of cell cycle checkpoints at critical time by a CDKs inhibitor is expected to make the tumor cell susceptible to apoptosis. The application of CDKs inhibitor as single agents is intended to target the errors of cell cycle regulation to attain cancer cells specific cytotoxicity. Currently, these agents are combined with conventional chemotherapy to overcome cell cycle mediated drug resistance and enhance cytotoxic efficacy (Schwartz and Shah 2005).

5. Conclusions

Based on these findings, G. macrorhizus caudex aqueous extract in all concentration used in this research increased and revealed synergistic effect on doxorubicin cytotoxicity. Doxorubicin concentration which equivalent with 1/12, 1/8, and 1/5 fold of IC\textsubscript{50}, combined with 20 µg/mL decreased viability to 48%. Low concentration of the extract (5 µg/mL) combined with 0.4 µM doxorubicin resulted slight cell cycle modulation by G1, G2M arrested and apoptosis induction. The combination with higher concentration (20 µg/mL of the extract) did not show cell cycle modulation, and leading to necrosis. Therefore, the extract at low concentration performs potential natural source to be developed further as co-chemotherapeutic agent. Thus, further research is needed to find the molecular mechanism underlying the anticancer effect of G. macrorhizus caudex.
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