



Bulletin of Animal Science

ISSN-0126-4400/E-ISSN-2407-876X

Acredited: 36a/E/KPT/2016 http://buletinpeternakan.fapet.ugm.ac.id/

Doi: 10.21059/buletinpeternak.v42i2.33522

Effects of Four Essential Oils on Nutrients Digestibility of In Vitro **Ruminal Fermentation**

Asih Kurniawati^{1*}, Widodo¹, Wayan Tunas Artama², and Lies Mira Yusiati¹

¹Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

²Department of Animal Products Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

³Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This research was done to study the effect of four essential oils (EOs) as feed

Article history Submitted: 26 February 2018 Accepted: 12 April 2018

* Corresponding author: Telp. +62 81314933085 E-mail: asihkurniawati@ugm.ac.id additives, on ruminal nutrient digestibility in order to find out candidate of rumen modifier. Those four EOs were destilated from Foeniculum vulgare (Mill), Pinus merkusii (Jungh. & de Vriese), Cymbopogon nardus (L.) Rendle and Melaleuca leucadendra (L.). Their effect on dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP) and crude fiber (CF) digestibility were studied using in vitro rumen fermentation technique according to Theodorou method. Data were statistically analysed using analysis of variance factorial 4x5 design. Feed for fermentation substrate consist of Pennisetum purpureum, rice bran and wheat pollard. Essential oil individually was added and mix with substrate to meet the final concentration in fermentation media of 0, 100, 200, 400 and 800 mg/l. P. merkusii, C. nardus and M. leucadendra EOs reduced DM, OM, and CF digestibilities. The decreasing were in line with the increasing of EOs doses. Therefore F. vulgare only reduced CF digestibility. The value of DM, OM and CP digestibilities in fermentation with F. vulgare did not differ from control. CP digestibility differed among EO treatments. In F. vulgare, and P. merkusii, treatments, CP digestibility did not differ from control, whereas overall CP digestibility in C. nardus tended to be higher than control and CP digestibility in M. leucadendra was significantly higher. All EOs addition reduced CF digestibility at all level. CF digestibility in fermentation added 800 mg/l of M. leucadendra EO was slumped to only 14.29% of control. Among four EOs M. leucadendra is the most potent on interrupt rumen feed fermentation whereas P. merkusii and C. nardus in moderate ways and F. vulgare in delicate manner.

Key words: Doses, Essential oils, Nutrient digestibility, Rumen fermentation

Introduction

Essential oils (EOs) are plants secondary metabolites in the form of complex compounds. They are characterized by volatile, natural, strong odor and are composed of aromatic compounds. be obtained by They can steam or hydrodistillation. In nature, essential oils is important part in plants defending mechanism from predators attack, as antibacterial, antivirals, antifungals, insecticide (Bakkali et al., 2008). EOs have demonstrated activity against a wide range of microorganisms, including bacteria, protozoa and, fungi (Deans and Ritchie, 1987; Sivropoulou et al., 1996; Chao et al., 2012).

Bacteria, fungi and are protozoa microorganisms which lives in rumen habitat. They play a key role of feed digestion and fermentation in the rumen. Since essential oils have antibacterial, antifungal, and insecticide activity, therefore addition of essential oils in rumen mav modifv the activity of the microorganisms either positively or negatively effect. Several studies indicated that selected essential oils and their component have potency as alternative compounds to substitute antibiotic in animal production. Monensin, an antibiotic, have been used to manipulate rumen fermentation and successfully increase feed efficiency and animal production. Effect of monensin in the rumen fermentation was briefly explained by Russell and Strobel (1989). Several essential oils demonstrated actively in inhibition of ruminal methane emission, increase ruminal propionate proportion (Roy et al., 2014) and increase by-pass protein to the intestine (Macheboeuf et al., 2008; Cobellis et al., 2015).

Anti-microbial activities of essentials oil are various depend on their chemical structure from its plant origin (Calsamiglia *et al.*, 2007; Bakkali *et al.*, 2008). Different essential oil component has different mechanism in manipulating ruminal fermentation (Calsamiglia *et al.*, 2007). The activities are determined by the bioactive compound and the doses (Tajkarimi *et al.*, 2010).

Several essential oils from Foeniculum vulgare (Mill), Pinus merkusii (Jungh. & de Vriese), Cymbopogon nardus (Linn.) Rendle and Melaleuca leucadendra (Linn.) are locally available in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, with relatively cheap in price. They are produced by home industries. Twenty eight components are identified in hydrodistilate of F. vulgare seeds, major components among them are Trans-anethole and estragole (Diao et al., 2014; Pavela et al., 2016). In C. nardus 28 compounds are identified by Bcitronellal, nerol, β-citronellol, elemol and limonene as major component (Kpoviessi et al., 2014). The essential oils of M. leucadendra are composed of mainly oxygenated sesquiterpenes (81.23% to 93.50%), followed by sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (1.84% to 11.41%), whereas the main constituent is (E)-nerolidol (76.58% to 90.85%) (Padalia et al., 2015). Furthermore, main component of essential oil from P. merkusii are apinene, followed by γ -cymene and β -pinene (Wiyono et al., 2006). Indonesia has a lot of essential oils, but nowadays research using local essential oils as feed additive for ruminant have not intensively conducted. This research was aimed to study the effect of four thus essential oil on nutrient digestibility as preliminary study in selection of suitable essential oil to increase feed efficiency and animal production.

Materials and Methods

Diet and treatments

The effect of four distillate of essentials oil were evaluated in an *in vitro* batch fermentation trial with a 60:40 forage: concentrate diet according to Theodorou et al., (1994) with modification. Smaller serum bottles were used in this research with same ratio of liquid and headspace volume. The diet consists of Pennisetum purpureum (Schumach), which cut before flowering stage, rice bran and wheat pollard, obtained from feed shop, with ratio 60:20:20 based on dry matter. Four distillates of EOs were added to the diet as additives. Treatments were control group (no additive) and other three group with addition of essential oil of F. vulgare, P. merkusii, C. nardus and M. leucadendra. All EOs are commercial product obtained from local shop. Four doses of each additive were used: (100, 200, 400, and 800) mg/l of the fermentation fluid based on final concentration. Incubations were conducted using rumen fluid from ruminal canulated Ongole grade cattle fed diet consisting of P. purpureum and beef cattle commercial concentrate 60:40 DM bases TDN 88.57% and CP 9.34%. Rumen fluid was

 bottles, the first, second, and third set bottles were used for dry matter digestibility (DMD) and organic matter digestibility (OMD) determination, crude protein digestibility (CPD), and crude fiber digestibility (CFD) respectifully. EOs were disactived in attended before added into the bottle

mg of the diet per bottle.

protein digestibility (CPD), and crude fiber digestibility (CFD) respectifully. EOs were dissolved in ethanol before added into the bottle according to treatments. The control treatment was also supplied with ethanol in the same volume with diluted EOs which added. Each tube was gassed with CO₂ gas before sealing with butyl rubber stopper plus aluminium crimp cap and pre-warmed overnight at 39°C. In the next morning, 7 ml collected rumen fluid was added into each bottle using 10 ml plastic syringe. Bottles then incubated for 24 h at 39°C. The gas pressure in bottle head space was zeroing before incubation by inserting 0.6 mm needle attached to a pressure transducer.

collected before morning feeding and squeezed

through polyester cloth into a vacuum flask, and

immediately sent to the laboratory. The incubation was conducted in a 125 mL serum bottle

containing 70 mL of diluted rumen fluid and 700

The bottles were set into three triplicate

Sample collection and chemical analyses

After 24 h, the pH was measured immediately after opening the bottles and then residual feed were collected by filtration using filter paper for further residual nutrients analysis, including DM, OM and CP. Procedure for nutrient analysis according to AOAC (2005). The data of residual nutrients were used to calculate DMD, OMD, CPD and CFD respectively.

Statistical analyses

Results of the calculation of nutrients digestibility were analyzed by analysis of variance using 4x5 Factorial design. Comparisons between means were analyzed by using t-test of Duncan multiple range test.

Result and Discussion

pH medium did not affect by all treatment Table 1, its range from pH 6.80 to pH 7.01, in normal pH for optimum rumen fermentation. Nutrient digestibility significantly affected by essential oils and it doses (p<0.01), except crude protein digestibility. Among essential oil, there were variations in type of response as well as in the concentration of product needed to produce this response.

As shown in Table 1, All essential oils affected DMD, but when the effect of each essential oils was analyzed there were varies in response. *F. vulgare* essential oils were not changed the dry matter digestibility at all doses treatment, in contrary *P. merkusii, C. nardus* and *M. leucadendra*, reduced dry matter digestibility. The higher dose of essential oil the greater dry matter digestibility reduced. Reduction of DMD were start at dose of 400 mg/l for *P.merkusii*, 200 mg/l for *C. nardus* and 100 mg/l for *M.*

leucadendra with reduction of DMD were 26%, 38.80% and 17.83% respectively . The greatest reduction occurred at addition of 800 mg/l M. leucadendra the DMD decline 50.80%. Other previous researches resulted varies result as well. The increasing suplementation level of orange oil as essential oil source resulted in lower DMD (Kamalak et al., 2011). The reduction of DMD regarding the reduction of another nutrient including OM, CP and CF. The higher concentration of EO in the diet affect fiber, starch and protein degradability, thus, decrease the ruminal dry matter digestibility (Beauchemin and McGinn, 2006; Yang et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010). However, several studies with essential oils monoterpenoids group did not change nutrient digestibility (Malecky et al., 2009; Meyer and Erickson, 2009; Santos et al., 2010). Inconsistency effect of different essential oils in nutrient digestibility may affected by several factors, for instant; the plant origin; the bioactive compounds; level of oils, which provided various results (Castillejos et al., 2006; Castillejos et al., 2007).

Table 1 showed essential oils and increasing of their dosages reduced OMD. The effect pattern of essential oils addition in feed and also the doses effect were similar to their effect on DMD. The lowest CPD was happened at addition *M. leucadendra* 800 mg \cdot L⁻¹. OMD at this level was 28.17% almost a half of the control. There is considerable evidence that EO affected rumen

microbial fermentation and nutrient degradation (Mcintosh *et al.*, 2003; Castillejos *et al.*, 2006; Kamalak *et al.*, 2011; Cobellis *et al.*, 2016). Suggested that high supplementation of EO showed anti-microbial activity which inhibited the ruminal feed degradation and fermentation process, thus, decreased organic matter degradability.

Effect of essential oils on CPD was differed among them but the doses did not affect CPD. CPD in fermentation with addition of F. vulgare and P. merkusii relatively similar with control. Therefore CPD in fermentation treated with C. nardus tended to be higher than control, and CPD in M. leucadendra was higher than control. This result was in accordance with several researches, addition of CRINA a mixture of essential oils for ruminants was not changed the CPD (Benchaar et al., 2007: Hart et al., 2008: Klevenhusen et al., 2011). Other study showed increasing in CPD by addition of 5 mg \cdot L⁻¹ garlic oil and contrary most studies reported that reduction of CPD by essential oil addition which resulted decreased of ammonia concentration (Hart et al., 2008; Cobellis et al., 2016).

All treatment reduced CFD. The reduction of CFD started at doses 100 mg \cdot L⁻¹ in all essential oil and consistent decreased with the increasing of essential oils concentration. At the highest dose, 800 mg \cdot L⁻¹, CFD dropped sharply except *F. vulgare*. CFD at dose 800 mg \cdot L⁻¹ of *F. vulgare* was 58.98% of control CFD therefore at

Nutrients	Essential oils doses	Essential oils doses (mg.L ⁻¹)					Means **
digestibility	(mg.L ⁻¹)	0	100	200	400	800	
рН	F. vulgare	6.80	6.81	6.82	6.81	6.85	6.82
	P. merkusii	6.80	6.86	6.92	6.90	6.92	6.88
	C. nardus	6.80	6.88	6.92	6.96	6.97	6.90
	M. leucadendra	6.80	6.89	6.91	6.89	7.01	6.90
	Means	6.80	6.86	6.89	6.89	6.90	
Dry matter	F. vulgare	44.88 ^m	41.44 ^m	42.75 ^m	43.19 ^m	49.34 ⁿ	44.31ª
	P. merkusii**	44.88 ^m	41.48 ^m	30.73 ⁿ	33.21 ⁿ	26.78°	35.41 ^b
	C. nardus**	44.88 ^m	45.97 ^m	27.47 ⁿ	28.46 ⁿ	28.89 ⁿ	35.13 ^b
	M. leucadendra**	44.88 ^m	36.88 ⁿ	29.01°	26.09 ^{op}	22.08 ^p	31.78°
	Means**	44.88 [×]	41.44 ^y	32.49 ^z	32.74 ^z	31.77 ^z	
Organic matter	F. vulgare	48.26	49.67	46.62	48.21	53.07	49.08 ^a
	P. merkusii	48.26	49.63	39.73	40.25	34.16	42.41 ^b
	C. nardus*	48.26 ^m	53.30 ^m	36.73 ⁿ	38.43 ⁿ	37.08 ⁿ	42.76 ^b
	M. leucadendra**	48.26 ^m	46.50 ^{mn}	39.32 ^{no}	35.88°	28.17	39.61 ^b
	Means**	48.26 [×]	49.78 [×]	40.60 ^y	40.69 ^y	38.02 ^y	
Crude	F. vulgare	45.54	45.84	45.18	45.03	46.32	45.21 ^b
protein	P. merkusii	45.54	45.09	46.65	43.05	43.93	44.85 ^b
	C. nardus	45.54	44.67	47.14	48.84	47.01	46.64 ^{ab}
	M. leucadendra	45.54	49.10	48.58	48.97	50.01	48.44ª
	Means ^{ns}	45.54	46.18	46.89	46.47	46.35	
Crude	F. vulgare	41.42	34.07	32.07	32.61	32.31	30.88ª
fibre	P. merkusii**	41.42 ^m	27.24 ⁿ	17.29°	13.03 ⁰	13.54°	22.50 ^b
	C. nardus**	41.42 ^m	21.47 ⁿ	19.08 ⁿ	13.98°	13.42°	21.87 ^b
	M. leucadendra**	41.42 ^m	27.59 ⁿ	22.69 ^{no}	15.63°	5.92 ^p	22.65 ^b
	Means**	41.42 [×]	25.10 ^y	22.78 ^y	18.81 ^z	14.28 ^z	

Table 1. Effect of essential oils and doses on ruminal nutrients digestibility of diet in vitro

* (P<0.05), ** (P<0.01).

^{a,b,c} different superscript in same column indicate significantly different

x,y,z different superscript in same row indicate significantly different

^{mnop} different superscript in the same row indicate significantly different.

the same level of *P. merkusii, C. nardus* and *M. leucadendra* the CFD only 32.69%, 32.40% and 14.29% of control CFD value respectively. This result is different with another previous study. No inhibition effect of essential oil addition in several fibrolytic bacteria (Castillejos *et al.*, 2007). This finding is suggesting the reason in several researches which reported CFD was not affected by the essential oils supplementation [33, 10, 22, 30].

Conclusions

Essential oils of *Pinus merkusii*, *Cymbopogon nardus* and *Melaleuca leucadendra* reduces DMD, OMD and CFD except for *F. vulgare* only reduces CFD. All essential oils do not change the CPD. The pattern effects differ among essential oils regarding the doses. Essential oil of *M. leucadendra* is the most potent on interrupt rumen feed fermentation whereas *P. merkusii* and *C. nardus* in moderate ways and *F. vulgare* in delicate manner.

Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge Directorate General of Higher Education (DGHE), Ministry of Research Technology and Higher Education for awarding Doctoral scholarship (Surat Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, Dirjen DIKTI no 2320/E4.4/2012). This research is part of doctoral research. Authors also acknowledge Nutritional Laboratory, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada for the supports and provide the facilities for this research.

Refferences

- AOAC. 2005. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 18th edn. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., Arlington.
- Bakkali, F., S. Averbeck, D. Averbeck, and M. Idaomar. 2008. Biological effects of essential oils - A review. Food Chem. Toxicol. 46: 446–475. http://doi:10.1016/j.fct.2007.09.106.
- Beauchemin, K. A. and S. M. McGinn. 2006. Methane emission from beef cattle: effects of fumaric acid, essential oil and canola oil. J. Anim. Sci. 84: 1489–1496. http://doi:10.2527/2006.8461489x.
- Benchaar, C., H. V. Petit, R. Berthiaume, D. R. Ouellet, J. Chiquette, and P. Y. Chouinard. 2007. Effects of essential oils on digestion, ruminal fermentation, rumen microbial populations, milk production, and milk composition in dairy cows fed alfalfa silage or corn silage. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 886–897. http://doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(07)71572-2.
- Calsamiglia, S., M. Busquet, P. W. Cardozo, L. Castillejos, and A. Ferret. 2007. Invited review: Essential oils as modifiers of rumen microbial fermentation. J. Dairy Sci. 90:

2580–2595. http://doi:10.3168/jds.2006-644.

- Castillejos, L., S. Calsamiglia, and A. Ferret. 2006. Effect of essential oil active compounds on rumen microbial fermentation and nutrient flow in *in vitro* systems. J. Dairy Sci. 89: 2649–2658. http://doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72341-4.
- Castillejos, L., S. Calsamiglia, A. Ferret, and R. Losa. 2007. Effects of dose and adaptation time of a specific blend of essential oil compounds on rumen fermentation. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 132: 186–201. http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.03.023.
- Chao, S. C., D. G. Young, and C. J. Oberg. 2012. Screening for inhibitory activity of essential oils on selected bacteria, fungi and viruses. J. Essent. Oil Res. 37–41.
- Cobellis, G., G. Acuti, C. Forte, L. Menghini, S. De Vincenzi, M. Orrù, A. Valiani, D. Pacetti, and M. Trabalza-Marinucci. 2015. Use of *Rosmarinus officinalis* in sheep diet formulations: Effects on ruminal fermentation, microbial numbers and in situ degradability. Small Rumin. Res. 126: 10– 18.

http://doi:10.1016/j.smallrumres.2015.01.0 18.

Cobellis, G., M. Trabalza-Marinucci, and Z. Yu. 2016. Critical evaluation of essential oils as rumen modifiers in ruminant nutrition: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 545–546: 556– 568.

http://doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.103.

- Deans, S. G. and G. Ritchie. 1987. Antibacterial properties of plant essential oils. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 5: 165–180.
- Diao, W. R., Q. P. Hu, H. Zhang, and J. G. Xu. 2014. Chemical composition, antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of essential oil from seeds of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Food Control. 35: 109–116. http://doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.06.056.
- Hart, K. J., D. R. Yáñez-Ruiz, S. M. Duval, N. R. McEwan, and C. J. Newbold. 2008. Plant extracts to manipulate rumen fermentation. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 147: 8–35. http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.09.007.
- Kamalak, A., A. I. Atalay, C. O. Ozkan, A. Tatliyer, and E. Kaya. 2011. Effect of essential orange (*Citrus Sinensis* I.) oil on rumen microbial fermentation using *in vitro* gas production technique. J. Anim. Plant Sci. 21: 764–769.
- Klevenhusen, F., J. O. Zeitz, S. Duval, M. Kreuzer, and C. R. Soliva. 2011. Garlic oil and its principal component diallyl disulfide fail to mitigate methane, but improve digestibility in sheep. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 166–167: 356–363. http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.071.
- Kpoviessi, S., J. Bero, P. Agbani, F. Gbaguidi, B. Kpadonou-Kpoviessi, B. Sinsin, G. Accrombessi, M. Frédérich, M.

Moudachirou, and J. Quetin-Leclercq. 2014. Chemical composition, cytotoxicity and in vitro antitrypanosomal and antiplasmodial activity of the essential oils of four Cymbopogon species from Benin. J. Ethnopharmacol. 151: 652–659. http://doi:10.1016/j.jep.2013.11.027.

- Macheboeuf, D., D. P. Morgavi, Y. Papon, J. L. Mousset, and M. Arturo-Schaan. 2008. Dose-response effects of essential oils on in vitro fermentation activity of the rumen microbial population. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 145: 335–350. http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.05.044.
- Malecky, M., L. P. Broudiscou, and P. Schmidely. 2009. Effects of two levels of monoterpene blend on rumen fermentation, terpene and nutrient flows in the duodenum and milk production in dairy goats. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 154: 24–35. http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2009.07.004.
- Mcintosh, F. M., P. Williams, R. Losa, R. J. Wallace, C. J. Newbold, and D. A. Beever. 2003. Effects of essential oils on ruminal microorganisms and their protein metabolism. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69: 5011–5014. http://doi:10.1128/AEM.69.8.5011.
- Meyer, N. F. and G. E. Erickson. 2009. Effect of essential oils, tylosin, and monensin on finishing steer performance, carcass characteristics, liver abscesses, ruminal fermentation, and digestibility. J. Anim. Sci. 87: 2346–2354.
- Padalia, R. C., R. S. Verma, A. Chauhan, and C. S. Chanotiya. 2015. The essential oil composition of *Melaleuca leucadendra* L. grown in India: A novel source of (E)nerolidol. Ind. Crops Prod. 69: 224–227. http://doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.02.019.
- Pavela, R., M. Žabka, J. Bednář, J. Tříska, and N. Vrchotová. 2016. New knowledge for yield, composition and insecticidal activity of essential oils obtained from the aerial parts or seeds of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Ind. Crops Prod. 83: 275–282. http://doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.11.090.
- Roy, D., S. K. Tomar, S. K. Sirohi, V. Kumar, and M. Kumar. 2014. Efficacy of different essential oils in modulating rumen fermentation in vitro using buffalo rumen liquor. Vet. World. 7: 213–218. http://doi:10.14202/vetworld.2014.213-218.

- Russell, J. B. and H. J. Strobel. 1989. MINIREVIEW effect of lonophores ruminal fermentation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55: 1–6.
- Santos, M. B., P. H. Robinson, P. Williams, and R. Losa. 2010. Effects of addition of an essential oil complex to the diet of lactating dairy cows on whole tract digestion of nutrients and productive performance. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 157: 64–71. http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.02.001.
- Sivropoulou, A., E. Papanikolaou, C. Nikolaou, S. Kokkini, T. Lanaras, and M. Arsenakis. 1996. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of origanum essential oils. J. Agric. Food Chem. 44: 1202–1205.
- Tajkarimi, M. M., S. A. Ibrahim, and D. O. Cliver. 2010. Antimicrobial herb and spice compounds in food. Food Control. 21: 1199–1218. http://doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.02.003.
- Theodorou, M. K., B. A. Williams, M. S. Dhanoa, A. B. McAllan, and J. France. 1994. A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 48: 185–197. http://doi:10.1016/0377-8401(94)90171-6.
- Wiyono, B., S. Tachibana, and D. Tinambunan. 2006. Chemical composition of Indonesian Pinus merkusii Turpentine oils, gum oleoresins and rosins from Sumatra and jawa. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 6: 7–14.
- Yang, W. Z., C. Benchaar, B. N. Ametaj, and K. A. Beauchemin. 2010. Dose response to eugenol supplementation in growing beef cattle: Ruminal fermentation and intestinal digestion. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 158: 57–64.

http://doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.03.019.

Yang, W. Z., C. Benchaar, B. N. Ametaj, A. V Chaves, M. L. He, and T. A. McAllister. 2007. Effects of garlic and juniper berry essential oils on ruminal fermentation and on the site and extent of digestion in lactating cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 5671–81. http://doi:10.3168/jds.2007-0369.