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ABSTRACT

Ari Mulyani, D. Setyowirani, Achmad Surjono - The diagnostic accuracy of clinical and blood examination for sepsis in potentially infected neonates

Background: Neonatal sepsis remains a diagnostic challenge due to its nonspecific symptoms. Blood culture examination which is considered to be the gold standard, sometimes it is still a problem because it takes time to get the result, expensive and not every health facility is able to perform.

Objective: To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of clinical symptoms, hematologic findings and C-Reactive Protein (CRP) in neonatal sepsis.

Methods: Samples were taken from potentially infected neonates admitted to the Maternal-Perinatal Unit of Dr. Sardjito Hospital, Yogyakarta, between December 1st, 2000 to March 31st, 2001 using at least one of the criteria: prematurity (<37 weeks gestational age), very low birth weight infants (<1,500 g), meconium pyrexia during delivery (>38°C or white blood cell count >15,000/μL), premature rupture of the membranes (>24 hours), thick and cloudy amniotic fluid. Clinical symptoms, total white blood cell count, total neutrophil count, platelet count, CRP, and blood culture as gold standard were examined.

Results: Among 99 neonates who were enrolled in this study, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of clinical symptoms were 78.3%, 79.7%, 57.5%, and 89.9%, respectively; leukopenia/leukocytosis were 27.8%, 85.7%, 44.4%, and 74.1%; neutropenia/neutrope- nia were 41.4%, 71.4%, 37.5%, and 74.6%; thrombocytopenia were 79.3%, 51.8%, 40.4%, and 85.7%, positive CRP were 58.6%, 78.6%, 53.1%, and 82.1%. Parallel test (clinical manifestation, thrombocytopenia, and CRP) increasing sensitivity up to 89.7%. Specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and likelihood ratio were 44.3%, 40%, 91.2%, and 1.0, respectively. Serial test (CRP, clinical manifestation, and thrombocytopenia) increasing the specificity up to 88.6%. Sensitivity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 58.6%, 68%, and 83.8%, respectively.

Conclusion: Clinical sepsis, thrombocytopenia and CRP were sufficiently accurate as diagnostic test for sepsis in potentially infected neonate. Using parallel test increased the sensitivity, where negative finding reveals no sepsis. Serial test increased specificity. There was high probability of having sepsis, if the result was positive.
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ABSTRAK

Ari Mulyani, D. Setyowirani, Achmad Surjono - Akurasi diagnostik pemeriksaan klinis dan darah untuk sepsis pada neonatus dengan potensi infeksi

Latar Belakang: Diagnosa sepsis neonatus masih menjadi masalah sehingga karena gejalanya yang tidak khusus. Pemeriksaan bahan darah yang digunakan biasa diantaranya adalah kultur, walaupun kadarnya masih merupakan
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most important problems in neonatal care is infection, which is still common in Indonesia, with high morbidity and mortality rates. The major problems are to determine the infected infant to administer appropriate therapy as early as possible, and to discontinue therapy if it is not indicated. Both procedures are usually not specific. Beside the clinical manifestation, various patterns of hematologic changes are associated with sepsis including total white blood count, total neutrophil count, platelet count and increasing of CRP.

Blood culture examination provides almost 60% detection of neonatal infection cases, whereas obtaining the result requires several days and not every health facility can perform the procedure, and the cost is expensive. Although a positive blood culture is generally considered to be the gold standard for diagnosis of septicemia, spurious result from contaminated samples is not infrequent. Thus addition to the blood culture, other laboratory tests are often used in attempt to support a diagnosis of infection. So we need the clinical manifestation, hematologic and CRP examination. The study was conducted to evaluate the diagnostic value of clinical symptoms, white blood cell count, neutrophil count, platelet count and CRP in neonatal sepsis.

METHODS

Babies admitted to the Maternal-Perinatal Unit of Dr. Sardjito Hospital, Yogyakarta during the period of December 1st, 2000 to March 31st, 2001 were included in this study. Any baby who had high risk categories for infection using at least one of the criteria: prematurity (<37 weeks gestational age), very low birth weight (<1.500 g), maternal pyrexia during delivery (>38° or white blood cell count >15,000/L), premature rupture of the membrane (>24 hours), thick and cloudy amniotic fluid were tested for having infection. Neutones with congenital anomaly or blood disorder not caused by sepsis were excluded from this study.

All infants were evaluated on clinical manifestations by a pediatrician. The patient was considered clinically sepsis if they met at least 1 sign in 4 out of 6 group categories: (1) general condition (not doing well, poor feeding, fever, hypothermia, sclerengia); (2) gastrointestinal stasis (abdominal distention, vomiting, diarrhea, hepatomegaly); (3) respiratory system (apnea, dysnea, tachypnea, retractions, flaring, grunting, cyanosis); (4) cardio-
vascular system (tachycardia, bradycardia); (5) central nervous system (irritability, lethargy, tremor, seizure); (6) hematologic system (jaundice, splenomegaly, pallor, petechiae, purpura, and bleeding).xii

Blood samples for blood examination were taken from vein. White blood cell, neutrophil and platelet count were calculated using standard procedures by Central Laboratory. We defined abnormal white blood cell count if there was leukopenia/leukocytosis (<7 days old: <9,000/μL or >30,000/μL; <7 days old: <5,000/μL or >21,000/μL); neutropenia/neutrophilia (<7 days old: <6,000/μL or >26,000/μL; >7 days old: <1,500/μL or >10,000/μL). Thrombocytopenia was defined as the platelet count <150,000/μL. CRP measurement was done semi-quantitatively using latex agglutination method, CRP positive ≥6 mg/L. Blood cultures were performed to all of the high risk infants by Central Laboratory and 4-6 days examination was required for the results. Blinding was obtain due to the difference between test and gold standard result. The protocol was approved by the ethic committee of Dr. Sandjito Hospital.

Accuracy of diagnostic test was analysed with sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, and likelihood ratio. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of clinical manifestation, white blood cell count, neutrophil count, platelet count and CRP were determined using the usual 2 x 2 tables.

Results of blood culture were served as a gold standard.

**RESULT**

Between December 1st 2000 and March 31st 2001, a total of 278 neonates were admitted to Maternal-Perinatal Unit, Dr. Sandjito Hospital. Of 153 (55%) who met one of the criteria were grouped as potentially infected baby. There were only 99 cases were eligible to study due to uncompleteness of examination and exclusion criteria (congenital anomaly or blood disorder not caused by sepsis).

The characteristics of subjects were showed in TABLE 1. Blood cultures were positive in 29 neonates (29.3%). Clinical sepsis were found in 40 neonates (40.4%), leukopenia/leukocytosis were positive in 32 neonates (32.3%), Thrombocytopenia were positive in 57 neonates (57.6%). CRP >6 mg/L were positive in 32 neonates (32.3%).

Sensitivity and specificity of clinical sepsis were 79.3% and 75.7%, respectively (TABLE2). In this way, sensitivity and specificity of thrombocytopenia were high (79.3% and 51.8%, respectively). Sensitivity and specificity of CRP were high (58.6% and 78.6%, respectively). The specificity of leukopenia/leukocytosis was high (85 7%), but the sensitivity was low (27.6%). In this way, the specificity of neutropenia/neutrophilia was high (71.4%) and the sensitivity was low (41.4%)
TABLE 2. - Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of clinical sepsis in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>+ Blood culture</th>
<th>- Blood culture</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ Clinical sepsis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clinical sepsis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 79.3% (95% CI: 59.7 - 91.3)
Specificity: 75.7% (95% CI: 63.7 - 87.3)
Positive predictive value: 57.5% (95% CI: 41.0 - 72.6)
Negative predictive value: 89.8% (95% CI: 78.5 - 95.8)

TABLE 3. - Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and likelihood ratio in diagnosis of neonatal sepsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator of sepsis</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV*</th>
<th>NPV**</th>
<th>PLR</th>
<th>NLR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinically positive</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia/Leukocytosis</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia/Neutrophilia</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP+</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel test</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial test</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Positive predictive value
** Negative predictive value
† Positive Likelihood ratio
‡ Negative Likelihood ratio

(From Table 3). Therefore, clinical manifestation, platelet count and CRP can be considered for neonatal sepsis diagnostic.

Using parallel test (clinical finding, platelet count and CRP) resulted in sensitivity of 89.6%, specificity of 44.2%, positive likelihood ratio (LR) of 1.6 and negative LR of 0.23. Using serial test started from the higher specificity (CRP, clinical manifestation and thrombocytopenia) resulted in sensitivity of 58.6%, specificity of 88.5%, positive LR of 5.1, and negative LR of 0.46. In parallel test, with the prevalence 20.3%, pre test odds 0.41, post test odds 0.65, the post test probability was 0.39. In serial test, pre test odds 0.41, post test odds 2.09, the post test probability was 0.67.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study is to compare the diagnostic value of clinical findings, hematologic findings and CRP in neonatal sepsis to blood culture examination as gold standard. Our study showed that sensitivity and specificity of clinical sepsis were 79.3% and 75.7%, respectively. It has been known that total white blood cell count is of limited value in the diagnosis of sepsis in the newborn. Among neonates that were evaluated for suspected sepsis, less than half of those with decreased (<5,000/μL) or elevated (>20,000/μL) cell counts were ultimately identified as being infected, while Gaski and Naimari* defined leukopenia was blood cell count <5,000/μL (<7 days old) <5,000/μL (<7 days old) and leukocytosis was blood cell count >10,000/μL (<7 days old) or >21,000/μL (>7 days old). Leukopenia/leukocytosis had 27.6% sensitivity and 85.7% specificity, while Kosim et al* had 16.7% and 66.7%, respectively, Anwer & Musafir (290) found a specificity of 93% but a sensitivity of 14%,. Total neutrophil counts were decreased or elevated in only one quarter to one
third of infants with bacteremia, particularly when the counts were obtained early in the course of illness. Neutropenia/neutrophilia had sensitivity and specificity of 41.4% and 71.4%, while Anwer & Mustafa (2000) found over 60% and 50%, respectively.

Thrombocytopenia accompanying bacterial infection is thought to be a direct effect of bacterial or viral products on platelets and vascular endothelium leading to increased aggregation and adhesion, or by increased platelet destruction caused by immune mechanisms. 12 Thrombocytopenia (< 150,000/μL) had 79.3% sensitivity and 51.8% specificity, while Kosim et al (1993) found 11.1% and 66.7%, respectively.

CRP is known to be produced by the fetus and has been found in high concentration in the sera of newborn infants with variety of infection. Thus, the increased CRP level may be used as diagnostically in neonatal infections. In this study CRP with cut off point > 6 mg/L had sensitivity and specificity 58.6% and 78.6%, respectively, while Kosim et al (1993) found 83.3% sensitivity and 58.3% specificity. Anwer & Mustafa (2000) found sensitivity of over 60% and specificity of 50%.

Results from these analysis showed that both white blood cell count and neutrophil count had low sensitivity; on the other hand clinical manifestations, platelet count and CRP examination had higher sensitivity and specificity. Clinical manifestations, platelet count and CRP were sufficiently accurate as diagnostic test for potentially infected neonates. Parallel test increased sensitivity up to 89.7%. Specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and likelihood ratio were 44.3%, 40%, 91.2%, and 1.6, respectively. Serial test started from the higher specificity examination (CRP, clinical manifestation and platelet count) increased the specificity up to 88.6%; sensitivity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 58.6%, 68%, and 83.8%, respectively, likelihood ratio was 5.1. This test was sufficiently accurate as diagnostic test for sepsis in potentially infected neonates. Parallel test is used in clinical practice. Result of this study is limited to neonates with potentially infected. To generalize the result in large population, studies involving neonates in general are needed.

CONCLUSION

Clinical sepsis, thrombocytopenia and CRP are sufficiently accurate as diagnostic tests for sepsis in potentially infected neonates. Parallel test increases sensitivity, the negative result revealed that it is not sepsis. Serial tests will increase specificity. There is high probability of having sepsis, if the result was positive.
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